Consultation Questions

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Plain Writing Amendments

Questi	on 1.	Do you have any comments on the plainer writing amendments? Do you consider any part(s) of the plainer writing amendments will have unintended consequences?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
CHAI	PTER 2	: PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS
PART	I: DIF	RECTORS
1.	Direct	ors' Duties and Time Commitments
Questi	on 2.	Do you agree with our proposed change to Rule 3.08 to clarify the responsibilities the Exchange expects of directors?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views

As this will impact on listed issuers not only incorporated in HK but also those incorporated in jurisdictions recognised by the HKEx, this should be done only after extensive consultation so that directors of listed issuers will have a chance to express their views on that. In addition, this would overlap with the obligations to be implied under common law and the express duties set out in the Companies Ordinance. Accordingly, further deliberation on this subject is required.

Question 3.		Do you agree with our proposed addition of the Note to Rule 3.08 referring to the guidance issued by the Companies Registry and HKIOD?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Same	reason as stated in response to Q2.
Questio	on 4.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(e)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should regularly review the time required from a director to perform his responsibilities to the issuer, and whether he is meeting that requirement?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	any de listed reviev regula	ch director has different experience and expertise, it is difficulty to say with egree of certainty how much time should a director spend on the affairs of the issuer in order to properly discharge his/her duties. An alternative may be to the performance and effectiveness of the Board and individual directors on a probability when the amount of time spent could be taken as, but only one, of the sto consider.
Questio	on 5.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(f)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should review NEDs' annual confirmation that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Same	reason as stated in response to Q4.

Questio	on 6.	Do you agree to include a disclosure requirement in the Corporate Governance Report (paragraph L(d)(ii) of Appendix 14) that NEDs have made annual confirmation to the nomination committee that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Same	reason as stated in response to Q4.
Questio	on 7.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3(re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that a director should limit his other professional commitments and acknowledge to the issuer that he will have sufficient time to meet his obligations?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	stated such a of hou for the draw a	matter of general principle, we agree with the proposal. However, it should be as a general principle only and should avoid any prescriptive requirements, s setting the maximum no. of other commitments or setting the minimum no. rs that the director should dedicate to the affairs of the listed issuer per annum situations varies with different companies and individuals. It is impossible to a line which fits all purposes. Thus, it is better to leave the matter to be decided directors themselves and the listed companies concerned.
Questio	on 8.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3 (re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that an NED should confirm annually to the nomination committee that he has spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Same	reason as stated in response to Q4.

Questio	on 9.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP D.1.4 to a CP (re-numbered CP D.1.4) and amending it to state that an NED's letter of appointment should set out the expected time commitment?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 10.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP A.5.6 to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.6) and to amending it to encourage timeliness of disclosure by a director to the issuer on any change to his significant commitments?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 11.	Do you consider that there should be a limit on the number of INED positions an individual may hold?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	issuer his ou the ex	depends on a number of factors, of which the time commitment and the no. of tside appointments are, but two of, such factors. It also depends very much on pertise and experience of the director, and whether the director is a full-time tive of another company or he is retired. Further, given the very limited pool

Question 12. If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", what should be the number? Please give reasons for your views.

of competent and experienced INEDs in HK, the proposed restriction will significantly increase the difficulty of listed issuers (particularly those smaller

issuers) in identifying and engaging suitable INEDs.

Questic	on 13.	If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", do you think that it should be a Rule or a CP?
		Rule
		СР
	Please	give reasons for your views.
2.	Direct	ors' Training and Independent Non-executive Directors
Questio	on 14.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous professional development) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.5)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	profes purely issuers promo operat	ggest to use "training and development" in the place of "continuous sional development" to avoid any narrow interpretation that the area of focus is academic and technical courses/ qualifications. More importantly, listed a may offer in-house training and development opportunities to directors which te their awareness and understanding of the issuers' business operations, ing and regulatory environment, which will be conducive to the directors' rge of his/her obligations to the issuer.
Question 15.		Do you agree that the minimum number of hours of directors training should be eight?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Same	reason as stated in response to Q14.

Questio	on 16.	What training methods do you consider to be acceptable for the requirements stated in the proposed CP (re-numbered RBP A.6.5)? Please give reasons for your views.
		aining methods will vary according to individual needs and preferences and annot be narrowly defined.
Questio	on 17.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.3.2 (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) to a Rule (re-numbered Rule 3.10A)?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	smalle is true listed listed view t	is a very limited pool of INEDs in HK, listed issuers (particularly those er issuers) may have difficulty in identifying and engaging suitable INEDs. It that the search may extend beyond HK but currently there are only a few issuers which have overseas INEDs. If the proposal were to be adopted, some issuers may even cut back the overall size of their board of directors, with a o addressing the difficulty in identifying suitable and willing INEDs to fulfil e-third requirement.
Questio	on 18.	Do you agree that this Rule (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) be effective after a transitional period as described in paragraph 87 of the Consultation Paper?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 19.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.4.3 (shareholder to vote on a separate resolution for the further employment of an INED who has served more than nine years) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.4.3)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No

Please give reasons for your views.		give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 20.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.4.8 (issuer should include explanation of its reasons for election and independence of an INED in a circular) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.5.5)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Board	Committees
A.	Remui	neration Committee
Questi	on 21.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to establish a remuneration committee with a majority of INED members from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.25)?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	suppo over-p	expectation for a listed issuer to establish a Remuneration Committee is rted. The matte should remain a Code Provision (instead of a Rule) to avoid prescription and retain appropriate flexibility to explain different governance gements.
Questi	on 22.	Do you agree with our proposal that the remuneration committee must be chaired by an INED?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 23.		Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to have written terms of reference for the remuneration committee from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.26)?
		Yes
	V	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Pls ref	Fer to our response to Q21.
Questic	on 24.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule (Rule 3.27) requiring an issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Pls ref	Fer to our response to Q21.
Questio	on 25.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers that fail to meet Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27 should have three months to rectify this?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Pls ref	Fer to our response to Q21.
Questic	on 26.	Do you agree that we should add "independent" to the professional advice made available to a remuneration committee (CP B.1.2, re-numbered CP B.1.1)?
		Yes

	sees fi	emmittee should be given the flexibility to engage professional advi . To address the issue of potential conflict of interests, it may consi e connections between the professional advisors and the listed issue	der to
Questi	on 27.	Do you agree that, in order to accommodate Model B, we should B.1.3 (re-numbered CP B.1.2) as described in paragraph 1 Consultation Paper?	
	\checkmark	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
Questi	on 28.	(i) Do you agree that where the board resolves to approve any rewith which the remuneration committee disagrees, the board shouther reasons for its resolution in its corporate governance report)? answer is "yes", do you agree that RBP B.1.8 should be revised art to a CP (re-numbered CP B.1.6).	ald disclose (ii) If you
	(i)	☑ Yes □ No	
	(ii)	☑ Yes □ No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
Questi	on 29.	Do you agree that the term "performance-based" should be deleted B.1.2(c) (re-numbered CP B.1.2(b)) and revised as described in part of the Consultation Paper?	
	\checkmark	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	

 \checkmark

No

Please give reasons for your views.

B.	Nomin	ation Committee
Questic	on 30.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.4 (establishment and composition of a nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.1) should be upgraded to a CP?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	that lis INED should	the limited no. of INEDs on the Board of most listed issuers and given the fact ted issuers are required to set up a Remuneration Committee, chaired by an and with majority of members being INEDs, it is suggested that listed issuers be allowed to combine the Remuneration Committee and Nomination ittee by setting up, say, Nomination and Remuneration Committee.
Questic	on 31.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.4) should state that the nomination committee's chairman should be an INED?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	flexibi	le should not be too prescriptive such that the listed issuer should be given the lity to make its own governance arrangement as it sees appropriate according wn circumstances.
Questic	on 32.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.5 (nomination committee's terms of reference, renumbered CP A.5.2) should be upgraded to a CP?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 33.		Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should be performed at least once a year?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questic	on 34.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should implement the issuer's corporate strategy?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	size ar	pport the proposal that the Nomination Committee's review of the structure, and composition of the Board should complement the issuer's corporate strategy by the Board of Directors.
Questic	on 35.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.6 (availability of nomination committee's terms of reference) should be upgraded to a CP?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 36.		Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.6, re-numbered CP A.5.3) should state that issuers should include their nomination committee's terms of reference on the HKEx website?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	HKEx treated	rms of reference of the other committees are not required to be posted on the 's website. There is no reason why the nomination committee should be differently. Further, posting the terms of reference on the listed issuer's e should be sufficient from the investors' perspective.
Questic	on 37.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.7 (sufficient resources for the nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should be upgraded to a CP?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 38.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.7, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should clarify that a nomination committee should be able to seek independent professional advice at the issuer's expense?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

C.	Corpo	rate Governance Committee
Questi	on 39.	Do you agree with the proposed terms of reference listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons and alternative suggestions.
	existir Comn	natters listed have already been included in the responsibilities of the Board or ng Committees. The proposed establishment of a Corporate Governance nittee will result in duplication of responsibilities, without achieving any value benefit.
Questi	on 40.	Do you consider that the committee(s) performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should submit to the board a written report on its work annually?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Pls ref	Fer to response to Q39.
Questi	on 41.	Do you consider that this report (as described in paragraph 140 of the Consultation Paper) should be published as part of the issuer's corporate governance report?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Pls ref	Fer to response to Q39.

Questi	on 42.	Do you agree with introducing RBP D.3.3 stating that an issuer should establish a corporate governance committee?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Pls ref	Fer to response to Q39.
Questi	on 43.	Do you agree the duties of an existing committee or committees can be expanded to include those of a corporate governance committee?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		s of existing Committees already included such responsibilities of the proposed rate Governance Committee.
Questi	on 44.	Do you agree with the addition of CP D.3.2 stating that the committee performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should comprise a majority of INEDs?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 45.	Do you agree with the proposal to add a note to CP D.3.2 stating that the committee should include one member who is an executive director or non-executive director with sufficient knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day operations?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
D.	Audit	committee
Questio	on 46.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.3.7 (audit committee's terms of reference should include arrangements for employees to raise concerns about improprieties in financial reporting) to a CP?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 47.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP C.3.3(e)(i) to state that the audit committee should meet the external auditor at least twice a year?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 48.	Do you agree that a new RBP should be introduced to encourage audit committees to establish a whistleblowing policy?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	of the should may b suppor	orting channel to the Audit Committee would serve to ensure the independence investigation and the protection of the person raising the concerns. The policy I be drafted to clearly define the kind of improprieties and irregularities that he reported to the Audit Committee. Further, the Committee should be reted by relevant functions to ensure adequate resources in dealing with the reported.
4.	Remu	neration of Directors, CEO and Senior Management
Questio	on 49.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers should disclose senior management remuneration by band (Appendix 16, new paragraph 25A)?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	emolun invariat required aggrega personn further	ly, companies are required by HKFRS and IFRS to disclose the breakdown of the aggregate nents and the emolument bands of the five highest paid individuals of the company (who oly are also members of the Senior Management of the company). Further, banks are also disclose the total by HKMA's Supervisory Policy Manual on Sound Remuneration System to disclose at equantitative information on the remuneration for the bank's senior management and key nel. The aforesaid disclosure should be adequate information for the public and investors. Any disclosure requirement will create further complexity for the listed companies in compiling nancial reporting and for the readers in comprehending the information disclosed.
Questio	on 50.	If your answer to Question 49 is yes, do you agree with our proposal that senior management remuneration disclosure should include sales commission?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questi	on 51.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Appendix 16 to require an issuer to disclose the CEO's remuneration in its annual report and by name?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
o .:	52	
Questi	on 52.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP B.1.6 to a CP (a significant proportion of executive directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rewards to corporate and individual performance, re-numbered CP B.1.5)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
5.	Board	Evaluation
Questi	on 53.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new RBP B.1.8 that issuers should conduct a regular evaluation of its own and individual directors' performance?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	initial Board	st to start with evaluation of the performance of the Board as a whole in the stage. As regards individual performance, the current arrangement for the or a duly delegated Board Committee to review the size, composition and of the Board/Board Committees should suffice.

6. Board Meetings

A.		dering a matter where there is a conflict of interest by a physical board meeting than a written board resolution
Questi	on 54.	Do you agree that, except for plain language amendments, the wording of CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) should be retained (issuers to hold a board meeting to discuss resolutions on a material matter where a substantial directors or a director has a conflict of interest)?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	resolu confli	oard should be given the flexibility to decide the optimal way of passing a tion as it sees fit. If any director wants to discuss a matter with potential ct of interest at a physical board meeting, he/she can do so by requisitioning a meeting.
Questi	on 55.	Do you agree with our proposals to add a note to CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) stating that attendance at board meetings can be achieved by telephonic or video conferencing?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
B.	Direct	ors' Attendance at Board Meetings
Questi	on 56.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the notes to paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14 (on attendance at board meetings) as described in paragraph 195 of the Consultation Paper?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questi	on 57.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new requirement (paragraph I(d) to Appendix 14) that attendance by an alternate should not be counted as attendance by the director himself?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		dance of the alternate director should only be counted for the purpose of shing whether there is a quorum for the meeting.
Questi	on 58.	Do you agree with our proposal that an issuer disclose, for each named director, the number of board or committee meetings he attended and separately the number of board or committee meetings attended by his alternate?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Remov an Inte	ving Five Percent Threshold for Voting on a Resolution in which a Director has erest
Questi	on 59.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 13.44 to remove the exemption described in paragraph 199 (transactions where a director has an interest)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		nce as to what constitutes "material interest" will be helpful for listed issuers to compliance with the amended Rule.

7. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Questio	on 60.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the words "at the board level" from Code Principle A.2 to clarify the division between management of the board and day-to-day management of an issuer's business?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 61.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.2.3 to add "accurate" and "clear" to describe the information that the chairman should ensure directors receive?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 62.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.4 to a CP to give greater emphasis to the chairman's duty to provide leadership for the board, to ensure that the board works effectively and discharges its responsibilities, etc.?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	L	

Questic	on 63.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.5 to a CP and amend it to state: "The chairman should take primary responsibility for ensuring that good corporate governance practices and procedures are established"?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questic	on 64.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.6 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's responsibility to encourage directors with different views to voice their concerns, allow sufficient time for discussion of issues and build consensus?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questic	on 65.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.7 to a CP and amend it to state that the chairman should hold separate meetings with only INEDs and only NEDs at least once a year?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 66.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.8 to a CP to highlight the chairman's role to ensure effective communication between the board and shareholders?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 67.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.9 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's role to enable NED contributions and constructive relations between EDs and NEDs?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
8.	Notify	ing directorship change and disclosure of directors' information
Questio	on 68.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to require issuers to disclose the retirement or removal of a director or supervisor?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question	69.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to apply to the appointment, resignation, re-designation, retirement or removal of a CEO (and not only to a director or supervisor)?
\checkmark	1	Yes
		No
P	lease	give reasons for your views.
Question		Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2)(o) to cover all civil judgments of fraud, breach of duty or other misconduct involving dishonesty?
V	1	Yes
		No
P	lease	give reasons for your views.
Question	71.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51B(3)(c) to clarify that the sanctions referred to in that Rule are those made against the issuer (and not those of other issuers)?
V	1	Yes
		No
P	lease	give reasons for your views.

✓ Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Question 73. Do you agree with our proposed amendment to the CP (RBP A.3.3 upgraded that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website? Yes No Please give reasons for your views.
Please give reasons for your views. Question 73. Do you agree with our proposed amendment to the CP (RBP A.3.3 upgraded that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website? Yes No
Question 73. Do you agree with our proposed amendment to the CP (RBP A.3.3 upgraded that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website? Yes No
that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website? Yes No
that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website? Yes No
☑ No
Please give reasons for your views.
Like the terms of reference of the listed issuers' Board/Board Committees, posting of information on the listed issuers' directors on the issuers' own website should suffice. Posting of such information on HKEx's website would create unnecessary workload on the part of listed issuers, without any significant value added benefit. Instead, it is suggested to make it a code provision that listed issuers should maintain updated information on their directors on their own website.
9. Providing Management Accounts or Management Updates to the Board
Question 74. Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provid board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the Consultation Paper?
Yes
☑ No
Please give reasons for your views.
The suggestion is too prescriptive. The update should be done by such regular interval as the listed companies and their directors may agree.

10.		Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the 's Subsidiaries
Questio	on 75.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix) removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 76.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	require be ma	ay be too high to be the threshold above which a Next Day Disclosure is ed to be made. Suggest to lower the percentage appropriately. Reference may de to the SFO provisions which require a substantial shareholder to report a e in its shareholding in a listed issuer.
11.	Disclo Value	sing Long Term Basis on which an Issuer Generates or Preserves Business
Questio	on 77.	Do you agree that we should introduce the proposed CP (CP C.1.4) as described in paragraph 250 of the Consultation Paper?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No

10.

Please give reasons for your views.

12.	Direct	ors' Insurance
Questi	on 78.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.1.9 (issuers should arrange appropriate insurance for directors) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.1.8)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 79.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the words "adequate and general" to RBP A.1.9 (upgraded and re-numbered CP A.1.8)?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		nnecessary to further qualify the word "appropriate". Listed issuers should be the flexibility in this respect.
PART	II: SH	AREHOLDERS
1.	Sharel	holders' General Meetings
A.	Notice	of Meeting and Bundling of Resolutions
Questio	on 80.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.1.1 to state that issuers should avoid "bundling" of resolutions and where they are "bundled" explain the reasons and material implications in the notice of meeting?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

B.	Voting	by Poll
Questic	on 81.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.39(4) to allow a chairman at a general meeting to exempt procedural and administrative matters described in paragraph 274 of the Consultation Paper from voting by poll?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 82.	Do you agree with the examples of procedural and administrative resolutions in paragraph 275 of the Consultation paper? Do you have any other examples to add?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questic	on 83.	Do you agree that our proposed amendments to Rule 13.39(5) clarify disclosure in poll results?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 84.		the commencement of the meeting" so that an issuer's chairman can explain the procedures for conducting a poll later during a general meeting?		
	\checkmark	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
C.	Shareh	nolders' Approval to Appoint and Remove an Auditor		
Questi	on 85.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new Rule 13.88 to require shareholder approval to appoint the issuer's auditor?		
	\checkmark	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
Questi	on 86.	Do you agree with our proposal to add, in new Rule 13.88, a requirement for shareholder approval to remove the issuer's auditor before the end of his term of office?		
	\checkmark	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		

Questio	on 87.	Do you agree that the new Rule 13.88 should require a circular for the removal of the auditor to shareholders containing any written representation from the auditor and allow the auditor to make written and/or verbal representation at the general meeting to remove him?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
D.	Directo	ors' Attendance at Meetings
Questi	on 88.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance at meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		st to build in the flexibility to allow absence from AGM due to unavoidable ng commitments.
Questi	on 89.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make a positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policies) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

on 90.	provision in Appendix 23 (re-numbered paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14) stating that issuer must disclose details of attendance at general meetings of each director by name?
\checkmark	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
on 91.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state the issuer's chairman should arrange for the chairman of "any other committees" to attend the annual general meeting?
\checkmark	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Audito	or's Attendance at Annual General Meetings
on 92.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state that the chairman should arrange for the auditor to attend the issuer's annual general meeting to answer questions about the conduct of the audit, the preparation and content of the auditors' report, the accounting policies and auditor independence?
\checkmark	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please on 91. Please Audito on 92.

2.	Shareho	olders' Rights
Ques	tion 93.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of "shareholders' rights" under paragraph 3 (b) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph O of Appendix 14)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Comn	nunication with Shareholders
A.	Establ	ishing a Communication Policy
Ques	tion 94.	Do you agree with our proposed new CP E.1.4 stating that issuers should establish a shareholder communication policy?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
B.	Publis	hing Constitutional Documents on Website
Ques	tion 95.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.90 requiring issuers to publish an updated and consolidated version of their M & A or constitutional documents on their own website and the HKEx website?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Like the case of directors' updated information and Board/Committees' terms of reference, we suggest that uploading the updated and consolidated version of the

M&A on a listed issuer's own website should suffice.

C.	Publis	hing Procedures for Election of Directors
Quest	ion 96.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.51D requiring an issuer to publish the procedures for shareholders to propose a person for election as a director on its website?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
D.	Disclosi	ng Significant Changes to Constitutional Documents
Quest	ion 97.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of any significant change in the issuer's articles of association under paragraph 3(c)(i) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph P(a) of Appendix 14)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
PART	ГIII: C	OMPANY SECRETARY
1.	Comp	any Secretary's Qualifications, Experience and Training
Quest	ion 98.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Rule 3.28 on requirements for company secretaries' qualifications and experience?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questic	on 99.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider as acceptable the list of qualifications for company secretaries set out in paragraph 345 of the Consultation Paper?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 100.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questic	on 101.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 102.	issuers' company secretaries would need to meet the same requirements as for other countries?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 103.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a Rule 3.29 requiring company secretaries to attend 15 hours of professional training per financial year?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	of train and eff secreta HKIC	her a company secretary should receive training and if so, the number of hours ning to be received in order that he/she can discharge his/her duties properly fectively, depend very much on the experience and expertise of the company ary himself/herself. There is no one-size-fits-all rule. Further, members of the S, solicitor and professional accountant are already required by their own sional organisation to attend professional trainings.
Questio		Do you agree with the proposed transitional arrangement on compliance with Rule 3.29 in paragraph 350 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

2. New Section in Code on Company Secretary

Questio	on 105.	Do you agree with our proposal to include a new section of the Code on company secretary?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	role ar issuer'	vill elevate and reinforce the role and profile of the company secretary whose ad function carries ever increasing importance in the enhancement of the listed is corporate governance. This is especially true for those small or newly listed inland listed companies.
Questio	on 106.	Do you agree with the proposed principle as described in paragraph 362 of the Consultation Paper and set out in full in page 27 of Appendix II?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	comm	st to also add that the role of the Company Secretary also includes facilitating unication and good information flow between the Board/Committees and the gement.
	should reporti	that the Company Secretary's key role as serving the Board, the reporting line be to the Board/Chairman of the Board and where appropriate, with a dualing line to the CEO, instead of the proposed reporting line to "the Chairman the CEO".
Questio	on 107.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.1 stating the company secretary should be an employee of the issuer and have knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day affairs?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 108.	Do you agree with our proposal described in paragraph 364 of the Consultation Paper, that if an issuer employs an external service provider, it should disclose the identity of its issuer contact person?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 109.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.2 stating that the selection, appointment or dismissal of the company secretary should be the subject of a board decision?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 110.	Do you agree with our proposed note to CP F.1.2 stating that the board decision to select, appoint or dismiss the company secretary should be made at a physical board meeting and not dealt with by written board resolution?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	resolu	soard should be given the flexibility to decide the optimal way of passing a tion as it sees fit. If any director wants to discuss the matter at a physical board ag, he/she can do so by requisitioning a board meeting.

Question 111.		Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.3 stating that the company secretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?		
	\checkmark	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	to the	be more accurate to say that the Company Secretary's reporting line should be Board/Chairman of the Board and where appropriate, with a dual reporting line CEO, instead of the proposed reporting line to "the Chairman or the CEO".		
Questi	on 112.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the company secretary should maintain a record of directors training?		
	\checkmark	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
CHAPTER 3: PROPOSED NON-SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS				
1.	Defini	tion of "Announcement" and "Announce"		
Questi	on 113.	Do you agree with our proposal to include a definition in the Rules for the terms "announcement" and "announce" as described in paragraph 371 of the Consultation Paper?		
	\checkmark	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		

2.	Autho	rised Representatives' Contact Details
Questio	on 114.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 3.06(1) to add a reference to authorised representatives "mobile and other telephone numbers, email and correspondence addresses" and "any other contract details prescribed by the Exchange may prescribe from time to time"?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Mergi	ng Corporate Governance Report Requirements into Appendix 14
Questio	on 115.	Do you agree with our proposal to merge Appendix 23 into Appendix 14 for ease of reference?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 116.	Do you agree with our proposal to streamline Appendix 23 and to make plain language amendments to it?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.