## Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/documents/cp2010124.pdf.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

#### **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

### Plain Writing Amendments

Question 1. Do you have any comments on the plainer writing amendments? Do you consider any part(s) of the plainer writing amendments will have unintended consequences?

Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

CHAPTER 2:	PROPOSED	SUBSTANTIVE A	MENDMENTS	

#### PART I: DIRECTORS

#### 1. Directors' Duties and Time Commitments

Question 2. Do you agree with our proposed change to Rule 3.08 to clarify the responsibilities the Exchange expects of directors?

Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

To avoid confusion and over-regulation, it is necessary to state clearly whether those stated in Rule 3.08 are the only responsibilities the Exchange expects of directors, or they are in addition to those stated in "A Guide on Directors' Duties" (the "Guide"). This is important for directors to understand their responsibilities when those stated in Rule 3.08 are not exactly the same as those in the Guide, though it is mentioned in the Note that "These duties are summarised in [the Guide]...". If the Exchange is expecting anything in addition to those stated in the Guide, this should be stated in sufficiently clear terms.

Questio	on 3.	Do you agree with our proposed addition of the Note to Rule 3.08 referring to the guidance issued by the Companies Registry and HKIOD?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	of care specific require	the Note states that "In determining whether a director has met the expected standard s, skill and diligence, courts will generally consider a number of factors", it is cally mentioned in the preceding paragraph that directors do not satisfy such ment by delegating their responsibilities. This may cause unnecessary confusion as to r delegation of responsibilities is absolutely prohibited (the Guide refers to delegation ers).
Questio	on 4.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(e)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should regularly review the time required from a director to perform his responsibilities to the issuer, and whether he is meeting that requirement?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	busines perform therefo any me respons	we agree that directors should be able to commit sufficient time to the issuers' is, it is difficult to objectively judge how much time is required from a director to his responsibilities and whether he is spending sufficient time as required. It will re be difficult and impracticable for the nomination committee/the board to undertake eaningful review as proposed. What matters should be whether in performing his stibilities, a director has satisfied the required levels of skill, care and diligence as may ably be expected of him. This is not just a question of how much time he has spent.
Questic	on 5.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(f)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should review NEDs' annual confirmation that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	also refer to our comments to Question 4 above.

Question	6.	Do you agree to include a disclosure requirement in the Corporate Governance Report (paragraph L(d)(ii) of Appendix 14) that NEDs have made annual confirmation to the nomination committee that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
and the second s		Yes
	3	No
Pl	lease	give reasons for your views.
P	lease	also refer to our comments to Question 4 above.
Question	7.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3(re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that a director should limit his other professional commitments and acknowledge to the issuer that he will have sufficient time to meet his obligations?
- motorio	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	Yes
×		No
P	lease	give reasons for your views.
b	efore espon	ne director's own duty to assess whether he has sufficient time to meet his obligations accepting any appointment. What matters should be whether in performing his assibilities, a director has satisfied the required levels of skill, care and diligence as may hably be expected of him.
Question	ι 8.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3 (re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that an NED should confirm annually to the nomination committee that he has spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
en monde mond monde mond monde monde monde monde monde monde monde monde mond	Phone was	Yes
		No
P	lease	e give reasons for your views.
P	Please	e refer to our comments to Question 7 above.

Questi	on 9.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP D.1.4 to a CP (re-numbered CP D.1.4) and amending it to state that an NED's letter of appointment should set out the expected time commitment?
	14 (F) 14 (F)	Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	letter of commo NED's	not agree to upgrading RBP D.1.4 to a CP and also not agree to requiring NED's of appointment to set out the expected time commitment for reasons as stated in our ents to Question 4 above. We consider it difficult if not impracticable to state in letter of appointment any meaningful expected time commitment, except to say that uld be able to commit sufficient time to the issuers' business.
Question 10.		Do you agree to upgrading RBP A.5.6 to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.6) and to amending it to encourage timeliness of disclosure by a director to the issuer on any change to his significant commitments?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	which	ot clear in the Consultation Paper as to what will amount to a significant commitment will trigger the proposed disclosure. Unless the scope of disclosure can be defined in erms, the proposal will likely result in unnecessary disclosure incurring additional time sts.
Questi	on 11.	Do you consider that there should be a limit on the number of INED positions an individual may hold?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	multiple require INED's should	unfairly penalise competent, diligent INEDs who devote sufficient time to their le directorships. Further, this requirement may consider unnecessary if director is d to confirm that he will spend sufficient time on the issuer's business. It is therefore sown duty to limit his other INED positions as he may see fit. In any event, INED be in a better position than the issuer to judge whether he has taken on too many positions beyond his capacity.

Question 12.		If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", what should be the number? Please give reasons for your views.
	N/A	
Question 13.		If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", do you think that it should be a Rule or a CP?
		Rule
	In the department of the second of the secon	СР
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N/A	
2.	Direct	tors' Training and Independent Non-executive Directors
2. Questio		Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous professional development) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.5)?
		Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous
Questio	on 14.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous professional development) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.5)?
Questio	on 14.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous professional development) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.5)?  Yes

Questi	on 15.	Do you agree that the minimum number of hours of directors training should be eight?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		onsultation Paper has not put forward any justification for proposing 8 hours of um training, apart from the reference to the 10 hours of training required by HKIoD.
Questi	on 16.	What training methods do you consider to be acceptable for the requirements stated in the proposed CP (re-numbered RBP A.6.5)? Please give reasons for your views.
	formal where Memb certain toward product substan	t to our comments to Questions 14 and 15, the training methods should include both and informal activities covering self-directed learning and any other form of learning there is no interaction with other individuals as suggested in HKIoD's Guidelines on ership Accreditation Through CPD. To quote from the Guidelines, "The approach is ly not to be stringent but to put in perspective the necessary factors that contribute is CPD. Thus members may cite any activity from a wide spectrum of opportunities ing learning outcome, which may emerge in day-to-day activities. Emphasizing on nece rather than form, CPD may be attained not only from class-room activities but also riety of other ways, some incurring costs and some incurring service or self-study."
Questi	on 17.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.3.2 (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) to a Rule (re-numbered Rule 3.10A)?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	presen	ay be a costly requirement particularly for those smaller issuers. We consider that the trequirement for 3 INEDs in the issuer's board is already sufficient. Issuers may be the number of their INEDs as they may see fit.

Question 18.	Do you agree that this Rule (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) be effective after a transitional period as described in paragraph 87 of the Consultation Paper?
and the state of t	Yes
The time The time The time	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
N/A	
Question 19	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.4.3 (shareholder to vote on a separate resolution for the further employment of an INED who has served more than nine years) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.4.3)?
Section of the sectio	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
	ere is no empirical evidence of a direct link between a director's length of service and bendence, there is no justification for the proposed upgrade.
Question 20	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.4.8 (issuer should include explanation of its reasons for election and independence of an INED in a circular) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.5.5)?
	Yes
麼	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
N/A	

# 3. Board Committees

A.	Remui	Lemuneration Committee		
Question 21.		Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to establish a remuneration committee with a majority of INED members from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.25)?		
		Yes		
	$\boxtimes$	No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	require	o not see any justification for upgrading the Code to the Rules as issuers are already ed to disclose and explain in their Corporate Governance Report if there are any ions from the Code.		
Questi	on 22.	Do you agree with our proposal that the remuneration committee must be chaired by an INED?		
		Yes		
	$\boxtimes$	No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	to safe	quirement for a majority of INEDs in the remuneration committee is already sufficient eguard its independence. By requiring the Chairman to be an INED does not in our ead to greater level of independence.		
Questi	on 23.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to have written terms of reference for the remuneration committee from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.26)?		
		Yes		
	$\boxtimes$	No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	require	o not see any justification for upgrading the Code to the Rules as issuers are already ed to disclose and explain in their Corporate Governance Report if there are any ions from the Code.		

Question 24.		Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule (Rule 3.27) requiring an issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27?	
	1000 A / Free grant (1000 A /	Yes	
	X	No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
		sure in the Corporate Governance Report of any deviations from the Code under the t framework is already sufficient.	
Questi	on 25.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers that fail to meet Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27 should have three months to rectify this?	
		Yes	
	MODEL TO THE STATE OF THE STATE	No	
	N/A		
Questi	on 26.	Do you agree that we should add "independent" to the professional advice made available to a remuneration committee (CP B.1.2, re-numbered CP B.1.1)?	
	$\boxtimes$	Yes	
	2 1 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	

Questi	on 27.	Do you agree that, in order to accommodate Model B, we should revise CP B.1.3 (re-numbered CP B.1.2) as described in paragraph 117 of the Consultation Paper?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Please	rive reasons for your views.
Questi	on 28.	(i) Do you agree that where the board resolves to approve any remuneration with which the remuneration committee disagrees, the board should disclose the reasons for its resolution in its corporate governance report)? (ii) If your answer is "yes", do you agree that RBP B.1.8 should be revised and upgraded to a CP (re-numbered CP B.1.6).
	(i)	Yes No
	(ii)	Yes No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	(i) Fo (ii) N/	reasons stated in paragraph 104 of the Consultation Paper.
Questi	on 29.	Do you agree that the term "performance-based" should be deleted from CP B.1.2(c) (re-numbered CP B.1.2(b)) and revised as described in paragraph 118 of the Consultation Paper?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	n 30.	committee, re-numbered CP A.5.1) should be upgraded to a CP?
[	- month / V /s	Yes
[	X	No
1	Please	give reasons for your views.
	of a no can a r perform require having have to necessar	is no justification put forward in the Consultation Paper that the recommended duties omination committee cannot be properly performed by the board. In particular, how nomination committee comprising of a majority of INEDs be said to be best placed to me the duties which include the assessment of the independence of the INEDs? The ement for a nomination committee is not "one size fits all". Unlike larger issuers relatively larger boards, smaller issuers tend to have smaller boards such that they take their own circumstances into account in order to consider whether it would be any to establish yet another committee to perform those duties which are being ly undertaken by their boards.
Questio	n 31.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.4) should state that the nomination committee's chairman should be an INED?
]	affiliation during the state of	Yes
ļ	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	For the	e same reasons stated in our comments to Question 22.
Questio	on 32.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.5 (nomination committee's terms of reference, renumbered CP A.5.2) should be upgraded to a CP?
	amon q ang s	Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to our comments to Question 30 above.
L	<u> </u>	

B. Nomination Committee

Question 33	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should be performed at least once a year?
	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Plea	se refer to our comments to Question 30 above.
Question 34	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should implement the issuer's corporate strategy?
	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Plea	se refer to our comments to Question 30 above.
Question 3:	5. Do you agree that RBP A.4.6 (availability of nomination committee's terms of reference) should be upgraded to a CP?
- :	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Plea	se refer to our comments to Question 30 above.

Question 36.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.6, re-numbered CP A.5.3) should state that issuers should include their nomination committee's terms of reference on the HKEx website?
120 W.S. 200 N.S.	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
Please	refer to our comments to Question 30 above.
Question 37.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.7 (sufficient resources for the nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should be upgraded to a CP?
William And	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Please	refer to our comments to Question 30 above.
Question 38.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.7, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should clarify that a nomination committee should be able to seek independent professional advice at the issuer's expense?
Composition of a compos	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Please	refer to our comments to Question 30 above.

B.	3. Corporate Governance Committee	
Questi	on 39.	Do you agree with the proposed terms of reference listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	give reasons and alternative suggestions.
	Please	refer to our comments to Question 42 below.
Questi	on 40.	Do you consider that the committee(s) performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should submit to the board a written report on its work annually?
	<u> </u>	Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to our comments to Question 42 below.
Questi	on 41.	Do you consider that this report (as described in paragraph 140 of the Consultation Paper) should be published as part of the issuer's corporate governance report?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to our comments to Question 42 below.

Question 4	2. Do you agree with introducing RBP D.3.3 stating that an issuer should establish a corporate governance committee?
Pro- 1 org. or of control of control org. or of con	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Ple	se give reasons for your views.
	h responsibilities can be taken up by the board or any existing committees as proposed Question 43.
Question 4	3. Do you agree the duties of an existing committee or committees can be expanded to include those of a corporate governance committee?
$\boxtimes$	Yes
Photo and a control of the control o	No
Ple	ase give reasons for your views.
Question 4	4. Do you agree with the addition of CP D.3.2 stating that the committee performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should comprise a majority of INEDs?
,	Yes
The ANA CAN CONTROL OF THE ANA CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN	No
Ple	ase give reasons for your views.
N/A	

Questi	OH 45.	committee should include one member who is an executive director or non-executive director with sufficient knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day operations?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N/A	
В.	Audit	committee
Questi	ion 46.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.3.7 (audit committee's terms of reference should include arrangements for employees to raise concerns about improprieties in financial reporting) to a CP?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	No jus	stification has been put forward in the Consultation Paper for the proposal.
Questi	ion 47.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP C.3.3(e)(i) to state that the audit committee should meet the external auditor at least twice a year?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
		is no similar provision in the UK and Australian codes. The Singapore Code only es the audit committee to meet with its external auditors at least annually.

Question 4	committees to establish a whistleblowing policy?
**************************************	Yes
	No
Ple	ase give reasons for your views.
No	justification has been put forward in the Consultation Paper for the proposal.
3. Re	muneration of Directors, CEO and Senior Management
Question 4	9. Do you agree with our proposal that issuers should disclose senior management remuneration by band (Appendix 16, new paragraph 25A)?
; ;, ; <u>;</u>	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Ple	ase give reasons for your views.
rer <u>ma</u> (er	like directors, we do not consider it justifiable to disclose senior management nuneration even by band with the reason put forward in the Consultation Paper that "he sy still make a significant contribution to an issuer's performance and prospects" in phasis added). Apart from the downsides mentioned in the Consultation Paper, there es not seem to be any upside for doing so.
Question 5	50. If your answer to Question 49 is yes, do you agree with our proposal that senior management remuneration disclosure should include sales commission?
The state of the s	Yes
un adeconomic un	No
Ple	ease give reasons for your views.
N/	A

Question 51.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Appendix 16 to require an issuer to disclose the CEO's remuneration in its annual report and by name?
$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 52.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP B.1.6 to a CP (a significant proportion of executive directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rewards to corporate and individual performance, re-numbered CP B.1.5)?
$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
4. Board	l Evaluation
Question 53.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new RBP B.1.8 that issuers should conduct a regular evaluation of its own and individual directors' performance?
	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Please	give reasons for your views.

5.	Board Meetings		
A.	Considering a matter where there is a conflict of interest by a physical board meeting rather than a written board resolution		
Questi	on 54.	Do you agree that, except for plain language amendments, the wording of CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) should be retained (issuers to hold a board meeting to discuss resolutions on a material matter where a substantial directors or a director has a conflict of interest)?	
	- Company of the Comp	Yes	
	X	No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
	discuss meetin	g a physical meeting is not necessarily the only way to ensure that there is a proper ion of matters. On the other hand, a director is empowered to call for a physical g whenever he considers it necessary to do so. This applies to any matters whether or abstantial shareholder or a director is conflicted.	
Questi	on 55.	Do you agree with our proposals to add a note to CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) stating that attendance at board meetings can be achieved by telephonic or video conferencing?	
	$\boxtimes$	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
B.	Directors' Attendance at Board Meetings		
Questi	on 56.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the notes to paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14 (on attendance at board meetings) as described in paragraph 195 of the Consultation Paper?	
	$\boxtimes$	Yes	
	No. 2007 No. 2007 No. 2007 No. 2007 No. 2007 No. 2007 No.	No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	

Questi	on 57.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new requirement (paragraph I(d) to Appendix 14) that attendance by an alternate should not be counted as attendance by the director himself?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
	, 'm	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	ion 58.	Do you agree with our proposal that an issuer disclose, for each named director, the number of board or committee meetings he attended and separately the number of board or committee meetings attended by his alternate?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
	7). 3+7	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Remo an Int	ving Five Percent Threshold for Voting on a Resolution in which a Director has erest
Question 59.		Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 13.44 to remove the exemption described in paragraph 199 (transactions where a director has an interest)?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
Plea		e give reasons for your views.
	<b>L</b>	

Question 60	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the words "at the board level" from Code Principle A.2 to clarify the division between management of the board and day-to-day management of an issuer's business?			
$\boxtimes$	Yes			
The state of the s	No			
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.			
Question 61	. Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.2.3 to add "accurate" and "clear" to describe the information that the chairman should ensure directors receive?			
	Yes			
11 m / 12 / 47	No			
Plea	se give reasons for your views.			
Question 62	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.4 to a CP to give greater emphasis to the chairman's duty to provide leadership for the board, to ensure			
	that the board works effectively and discharges its responsibilities, etc.?			
\$ . A	Yes			
$\boxtimes$	No			
Plea	Please give reasons for your views.			
No p	particular issues have been identified to suggest that RBP A.2.4 is insufficient.			

5.

**Chairman and Chief Executive Officer** 

Question 63.	state: "The chairman should take primary responsibility for ensuring that good corporate governance practices and procedures are established"?
	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
No par	ticular issues have been identified to support the proposal.
Question 64.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.6 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's responsibility to encourage directors with different views to voice their concerns, allow sufficient time for discussion of issues and build consensus?
	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
No pai	ticular issues have been identified to suggest that RBP A.2.6 is insufficient.
Question 65.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.7 to a CP and amend it to state that the chairman should hold separate meetings with only INEDs and only NEDs at least once a year?
	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
We co	nsider that the current RBP A.2.7 is already sufficient.

Question 66.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.8 to a CP to highlight the chairman's role to ensure effective communication between the board and shareholders?
engan or Andrea.  Benefit of the second of t	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
No par	ticular issues have been identified to suggest that RBP A.2.8 is insufficient.
Question 67.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.9 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's role to enable NED contributions and constructive relations between EDs and NEDs?
- Charles A series - Charles - Charles A series - Charles -	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
No na	rticular issues have been identified to suggest that RBP A.2.9 is insufficient.
110 pas	<b>1</b>

<b>6.</b> I	Notify	ing directorship change and disclosure of directors' information
Question	n 68.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to require issuers to disclose the retirement or removal of a director or supervisor?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
[		No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.
	,	
Question	n 69.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to apply to the appointment, resignation, re-designation, retirement or removal of a CEO (and not only to a director or supervisor)?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 70.		Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2)(o) to cover all civil judgments of fraud, breach of duty or other misconduct involving dishonesty?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
[	7	No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.
	<u>.</u>	

Questi	on 71.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51B(3)(c) to clarify that the sanctions referred to in that Rule are those made against the issuer (and not those of other issuers)?
	X	Yes
	FOR STATE OF THE S	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 72.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.3.3 to a CP to ensure that directors' information is published on an issuer's website?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
	·	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 73.	Do you agree with our proposed amendment to the CP (RBP A.3.3 upgraded) that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website?
	Section of the sectio	Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No .
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	1	ation of such information in the issuers' website as proposed by Question 72 above already be sufficient.

Consultation Paper?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your views.  8. Next Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the Issuer's Subsidiaries  Question 75. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your views.  Question 76. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a	7. Providing Management Accounts or Management Updates to		ding Management Accounts or Management Updates to the Board
No Please give reasons for your views.  8. Next Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the Issuer's Subsidiaries  Question 75. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?  Yes  No Please give reasons for your views.  Question 76. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?  Yes  No	Question 74.		board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the
Please give reasons for your views.  8. Next Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the Issuer's Subsidiaries  Question 75. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your views.  Question 76. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?  Yes  No		$\boxtimes$	Yes
8. Next Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the Issuer's Subsidiaries  Question 75. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your views.  Question 76. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?  Yes  No			No
Ouestion 75. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?  ✓ Yes  ✓ No  Please give reasons for your views.  Question 76. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?  ✓ Yes  ✓ No		Please	give reasons for your views.
Ouestion 75. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?  ✓ Yes  ✓ No  Please give reasons for your views.  Question 76. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?  ✓ Yes  ✓ No			
removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your views.  Question 76. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?  Yes  No	8.		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Please give reasons for your views.  Question 76. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?  Yes  No	Questi	on 75.	removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a
Please give reasons for your views.  Question 76. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?  Yes  No		$\boxtimes$	Yes
Question 76. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?  Yes  No			No
require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?  Yes  No		Please	give reasons for your views.
require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?  Yes  No			
□ No	Questi	on 76.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?
		$\boxtimes$	Yes
Please give reasons for your views.			No
		Please	give reasons for your views.

	Disclosing Long Term Basis on which an Issuer Generates or Preserves Business Value				
Questi	on 77.	Do you agree that we should introduce the proposed CP (CP C.1.4) as described in paragraph 250 of the Consultation Paper?			
	$\boxtimes$	Yes			
		No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
10.	Direct	fors' Insurance			
Questi	ion 78.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.1.9 (issuers should arrange appropriate insurance for directors) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.1.8)?			
		appropriate insurance for directors) to a Cr (re-numbered Cr A.1.0):			
•	$\boxtimes$	Yes			
	Turner of the state of the stat	Yes			
	Turner of the state of the stat	Yes No			
	Please	Yes No			
	Please	Yes  No give reasons for your views.  Do you agree with our proposal to add the words "adequate and general" to			
	Please	Yes  No give reasons for your views.  Do you agree with our proposal to add the words "adequate and general" to RBP A.1.9 (upgraded and re-numbered CP A.1.8)?			

### PART II: SHAREHOLDERS

1.	Shareholders'	General	Meetings
----	---------------	---------	----------

A.	Notice of Meeting and Bundling of Resolutions			
Question 80.		Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.1.1 to state that issuers should avoid "bundling" of resolutions and where they are "bundled" explain the reasons and material implications in the notice of meeting?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	Please	e give reasons for your views.		
В.	Voting	g by Poll		
Question 81.		Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.39(4) to allow a chairman at a general meeting to exempt procedural and administrative matters described in paragraph 274 of the Consultation Paper from voting by poll?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
4.1		No		
	Please	e give reasons for your views.		
Quest	tion 82.	Do you agree with the examples of procedural and administrative resolutions in paragraph 275 of the Consultation paper? Do you have any other examples to add?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	Please	e give reasons for your views.		
	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Questi	on 83.	Do you agree that our proposed amendments to Rule 13.39(5) clarify disclosure in poll results?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
	<u> </u>	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 84.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.2.1 to remove the words "at the commencement of the meeting" so that an issuer's chairman can explain the procedures for conducting a poll later during a general meeting?
	X	Yes
	grand Andrew grand Andrew 	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Shareh	olders' Approval to Appoint and Remove an Auditor
Questi	on 85.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new Rule 13.88 to require shareholder approval to appoint the issuer's auditor?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

	Do you agree with our proposal to add, in new Rule 13.88, a requirement for shareholder approval to remove the issuer's auditor before the end of his term of office?
$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No
Please g	give reasons for your views.
	Do you agree that the new Rule 13.88 should require a circular for the removal of the auditor to shareholders containing any written representation from the auditor and allow the auditor to make written and/or verbal representation at the general meeting to remove him?
	Yes
<b>y</b>	No
Please g	give reasons for your views.
D. Director	rs' Attendance at Meetings
Question 88.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance at meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)?
	Yes
<u> </u>	No
Please g	give reasons for your views.

Question 89	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make a positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policies) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)?
×	Yes
To region of the contract of t	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Question 90	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new mandatory disclosure provision in Appendix 23 (re-numbered paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14)
	stating that issuer must disclose details of attendance at general meetings of each director by name?
	Yes
Service Servic	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Question 91	. Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state the issuer's chairman should arrange for the chairman of "any other committees" to attend the annual general meeting?
$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.

E.	. Auditor's Attendance at Annual General Meetings		
Question 92.		Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state that the chairman should arrange for the auditor to attend the issuer's annual general meeting to answer questions about the conduct of the audit, the preparation and content of the auditors' report, the accounting policies and auditor independence?	
	$\boxtimes$	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
2.	Shareh	olders' Rights	
Question 93.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of "shareholders' rights" under paragraph 3 (b) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph O of Appendix 14)?	
	$\boxtimes$	Yes	
	:	No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
3.	Com	nunication with Shareholders	
A.	Establ	ishing a Communication Policy	
Question 94.		Do you agree with our proposed new CP E.1.4 stating that issuers should establish a shareholder communication policy?	
	$\boxtimes$	Yes	
		No	
	Please	e give reasons for your views.	
	1		

B.	Publishing Constitutional Documents on Website			
Question 95.		Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.90 requiring issuers to publish an updated and consolidated version of their M & A or constitutional documents on their own website and the HKEx website?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	i i	does not appear to be a similar requirement in other jurisdictions, like UK, Australia ngapore.		
C.	Publis	hing Procedures for Election of Directors		
Questi	ion 96.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.51D requiring an issuer to publish the procedures for shareholders to propose a person for election as a director on its website?		
	man v V V v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v	Yes		
		No		
Pleas		give reasons for your views.		
	There	does not appear to be a similar requirement in other jurisdictions.		
D. I	Disclosi	ng Significant Changes to Constitutional Documents		
Questi	ion 97.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of any significant change in the issuer's articles of association under paragraph 3(c)(i) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph P(a) of Appendix 14)?		
	Open a company of the	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	propos	13.51 already requires disclosure to the Exchange and by announcement of any sed alteration of the issuer's memorandum or articles of association or equivalent nents. Further, the circular for any such amendments proposed by the issuer is		

terms of the proposed amendments. The proposal seems unnecessary.

required to contain an explanation of the effect of the proposed amendments and the full

### PART III: COMPANY SECRETARY

# Company Secretary's Qualifications, Experience and Training 1. Ouestion 98. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Rule 3.28 on requirements for company secretaries' qualifications and experience? X Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Ouestion 99. Do you agree that the Exchange should consider as acceptable the list of qualifications for company secretaries set out in paragraph 345 of the Consultation Paper? $\boxtimes$ Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Question 100. Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions? X Yes No Please give reasons for your views.

	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong?
$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 102	Do you agree with our proposal to repeal Rule 19A.16 so that Mainland issuers' company secretaries would need to meet the same requirements as for other countries?
The description of the second	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
No co	mment.
Question 103	Do you agree with our proposal to add a Rule 3.29 requiring company secretaries to attend 15 hours of professional training per financial year?
Question 103	
Question 103	secretaries to attend 15 hours of professional training per financial year?
	secretaries to attend 15 hours of professional training per financial year?  Yes

Questi	on 104.	Do you agree with the proposed transitional arrangement on compliance with Rule 3.29 in paragraph 350 of the Consultation Paper?
	:-	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N/A	
2.	New S	ection in Code on Company Secretary
Questi	on 105.	Do you agree with our proposal to include a new section of the Code on company secretary?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 106.	Do you agree with the proposed principle as described in paragraph 362 of the Consultation Paper and set out in full in page 27 of Appendix II?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question	n 107.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.1 stating the company secretary should be an employee of the issuer and have knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day affairs?				
	- Charles Annual Control Contr	Yes				
	X	No				
1	Please	give reasons for your views.				
		uer should retain a choice to employ an external service provider as its company ry as mentioned in Question 108 below.				
Question	n 108.	Do you agree with our proposal described in paragraph 364 of the Consultation Paper, that if an issuer employs an external service provider, it should disclose the identity of its issuer contact person?				
	$\boxtimes$	Yes				
	Military African Milita	No				
J	Please	give reasons for your views.				
Question	n 109.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.2 stating that the selection, appointment or dismissal of the company secretary should be the subject of a board decision?				
	$\boxtimes$	Yes				
	**************************************	No				
J	Please give reasons for your views.					

No Please give reasons for your views.  As mentioned in our comment to Question 54, a director is empowered to call for a physic meeting whenever he considers necessary to do so.  Question 111. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.3 stating that the comparascretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?  Yes  No Please give reasons for your views.  Question 112. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the comparascretary should maintain a record of directors training?  Yes  No Please give reasons for your views.		decision to select, appoint or dismiss the company secretary should be made a physical board meeting and not dealt with by written board resolution?
Please give reasons for your views.  As mentioned in our comment to Question 54, a director is empowered to call for a physic meeting whenever he considers necessary to do so.  Question 111. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.3 stating that the comparasecretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your views.  Question 112. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the comparasecretary should maintain a record of directors training?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your views.		Yes
As mentioned in our comment to Question 54, a director is empowered to call for a physic meeting whenever he considers necessary to do so.  Question 111. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.3 stating that the companies secretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?  Yes  No Please give reasons for your views.  Question 112. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the companies secretary should maintain a record of directors training?  Yes  No Please give reasons for your views.	$\boxtimes$	No
Please give reasons for your views.  □ No  Please give reasons for your views.  □ Yes □ No  Please give reasons for your views. □ Yes □ No  Please give reasons for your views. □ Yes □ No  Please give reasons for your views. □ Yes □ No  Please give reasons for your views. □ Yes □ No  Please give reasons for your views. □ Yes □ No	Please	give reasons for your views.
secretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?  Yes  No Please give reasons for your views.  Question 112. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the compassecretary should maintain a record of directors training?  Yes  No Please give reasons for your views.		
No  Please give reasons for your views.  Question 112. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the compassecretary should maintain a record of directors training?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your views.		
Please give reasons for your views.  Question 112. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the compassecretary should maintain a record of directors training?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your views.	$\boxtimes$	Yes
uestion 112. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the compare secretary should maintain a record of directors training?  Yes  No Please give reasons for your views.		No
secretary should maintain a record of directors training?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your views.	Please	give reasons for your views.
secretary should maintain a record of directors training?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your views.		
No Please give reasons for your views.	uestion 112.	
Please give reasons for your views.		Yes
		No
N/A	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N/A	

### **CHAPTER 3: PROPOSED NON-SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS**

1.	Definition of "Announcement" and "Announce"	
Questio	on 113.	Do you agree with our proposal to include a definition in the Rules for the terms "announcement" and "announce" as described in paragraph 371 of the Consultation Paper?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
	- con areas and a contract of the contract of	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
2.	Autho	rised Representatives' Contact Details
Questio	on 114.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 3.06(1) to add a reference to authorised representatives "mobile and other telephone numbers, email and correspondence addresses" and "any other contract details prescribed by the Exchange may prescribe from time to time"?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
	- projecting (a) - proj	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Mergi	ng Corporate Governance Report Requirements into Appendix 14
Questi	on 115.	Do you agree with our proposal to merge Appendix 23 into Appendix 14 for ease of reference?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 116.	Do you agree with our proposal to streamline Appendix 23 and to make plain language amendments to it?
$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
	- End -