Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/documents/cp2010124.pdf.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Plain Writing Amendments

Question 1.	Do you have any comments on the plainer writing amendments? Do you consider any part(s) of the plainer writing amendments will have unintended consequences?
	Yes
x	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
We ag	gree with the concept of plainer language, but don't have comments at present

CHAPTER 2: PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS

PART I: DIRECTORS

1. Directors' Duties and Time Commitments

Question 2.	Do you agree with our proposed change to Rule 3.08 to clarify the responsibilities the Exchange expects of directors?
	Yes
X	No

Please give reasons for your views.

The proposed additions probably achieve little and may not be helpful. For example, the statements concerning delegation are too simplistic and may confuse directors with regard to their ability to rely on delegation.

Question 3.		Do you agree with our proposed addition of the Note to Rule 3.08 referring to the guidance issued by the Companies Registry and HKIOD?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	This p	proposal is more helpful than the issue cited at Question 2.
Questi	on 4.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(e)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should regularly review the time required from a director to perform his responsibilities to the issuer, and whether he is meeting that requirement?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	perfor measu engage should	iew of a Director's time input will not necessarily be an accurate measure of his mance for the Company. Directors' successes have not in the past been ared by the amount of time spent – this could change the nature of their gement and how boards would run. We agree that the Nomination Committee d appraise Directors, but we believe it should be left the Board to decide their appraisal criteria.
Questi	on 5.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(f)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should review NEDs' annual confirmation that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	focuss on mo	e see answer to question 4 which applies to here too. We do not believe that sing on Directors' time input would be useful and it may detract from focussing ore effective measures of performance. The focus will become quantity of time rather than quality.

Questio	on 6.	Do you agree to include a disclosure requirement in the Corporate Governance Report (paragraph L(d)(ii) of Appendix 14) that NEDs have made annual confirmation to the nomination committee that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	see answers to questions 4 and 5.
Questio	on 7.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3(re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that a director should limit his other professional commitments and acknowledge to the issuer that he will have sufficient time to meet his obligations?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	hold. Tappoir time, tidentif multip experi	should be no limit to the number of professional commitments a director may This is an arbitrary constraint on each Director's freedom to accept atments. We do not object to an acknowledgement that he will have sufficient but believe this should be a CP or a RBP. The Consultation Paper does not by any real problems that arise from multiple appointments. We also think that the appointments can have benefits in terms of cross fertilisations of ideas and ences. This advantage is not highlighted in the Paper and could be lost if there ribitrary limitation.
Questio	on 8.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3 (re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that an NED should confirm annually to the nomination committee that he has spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	see answers to questions 4 and 5.

Question 9.		Do you agree to upgrading RBP D.1.4 to a CP (re-numbered CP D.1.4) and amending it to state that an NED's letter of appointment should set out the expected time commitment?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	engag	hould remain as a RBP. This is what any diligent Company would do in ing a Director through his service contract anyway, and we are still unsure why cus is on time commitment to indicate quality of work for a Director.
Questi	on 10.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP A.5.6 to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.6) and to amending it to encourage timeliness of disclosure by a director to the issuer on any change to his significant commitments?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	wheth	al should be sufficient. It also allows Directors to judge from experience er their new commitment does, in fact, impinge on their existing commitments, may not be apparent when the new commitment was first taken on.
Questi	on 11.	Do you consider that there should be a limit on the number of INED positions an individual may hold?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	does n	search the Stock Exchange has provided suggests this is not an issue – there of seem to be a significant problem which needs to solved. See also our replies which apply here.
Questi	on 12.	If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", what should be the number? Please give reasons for your views.
		N/A

Questi	on 13.	If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", do you think that it should be a Rule or a CP?
		Rule
		СР
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		N/A
2.	Direct	tors' Training and Independent Non-executive Directors
Questi	on 14.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous professional development) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.5)?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	We ag	gree that a reasonable time commitment to training should be given by each tor.
Questi	on 15.	Do you agree that the minimum number of hours of directors training should be eight?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	We ag	gree that this is a reasonable figure.
Questi	on 16.	What training methods do you consider to be acceptable for the requirements stated in the proposed CP (re-numbered RBP A.6.5)? Please give reasons for your views.
	_	gree with the methods stated. In addition, we suggest "webinars" and video rencing.

Question 17.		Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.3.2 (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) to a Rule (re-numbered Rule 3.10A)?		
		Yes		
	X	No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	1/3 of see a j appoir not ho	intention is that a substantial number of INEDS must represent the board, then the board as INEDs are as (un)powerful as the current minimum. We do not justification for 1/3. We also note the commentary surrounding the difficulty nting suitable INEDS. If this requirement and the requirement that INEDs must old more than five positions were enshrined, there might be a very real shortage EDs available to be appointed.		
Questio	on 18.	Do you agree that this Rule (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) be effective after a transitional period as described in paragraph 87 of the Consultation Paper?		
		Yes		
	X	No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	Please	e see answer to question 17.		
Questio	on 19.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.4.3 (shareholder to vote on a separate resolution for the further employment of an INED who has served more than nine years) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.4.3)?		
		Yes		
	X	No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	service	is no evidence to suggest an INED will lose his independence on nine years' e. Directors are required to retire by rotation every three years so the holders will have had the opportunity to have removed him up to three times by me.		

Question 20.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.4.8 (issuer should include explanation of its reasons for election and independence of an INED in a circular) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.5.5)?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	We ag	gree so long as it is on a comply or explain basis.
3.	Board	Committees
A.	Remui	neration Committee
Questi	on 21.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to establish a remuneration committee with a majority of INED members from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.25)?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	execu	elieve that the best placed directors to make a decision over pay are the tives or the Board as a whole: this after all is an executive decision. We agree Model B as the basis.
Questi	on 22.	Do you agree with our proposal that the remuneration committee must be chaired by an INED?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		elieve that, as remuneration is an executive-led decision, it should be left to the any to decide who is the best person to be the Chairman.
Questi	on 23.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to have

11

B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.26)?

written terms of reference for the remuneration committee from the Code (CP

X		Yes
[No
F	Please	give reasons for your views.
	We ag	ree with the justifications given.
Question	n 24.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule (Rule 3.27) requiring an issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27?
Х	(Yes
		No
F	Please	give reasons for your views.
	_	ree with the justifications given, but believe the Announcement can be in the report
Question	n 25.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers that fail to meet Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27 should have three months to rectify this?
Х	(Yes
		No
Question	n 26.	Do you agree that we should add "independent" to the professional advice made available to a remuneration committee (CP B.1.2, re-numbered CP B.1.1)?
X	ζ.	Yes
[No
F	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 27.	Do you agree that, in order to accommodate Model B, we should revise CP B.1.3 (re-numbered CP B.1.2) as described in paragraph 117 of the Consultation Paper?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 28.	(i) Do you agree that where the board resolves to approve any remuneration with which the remuneration committee disagrees, the board should disclose the reasons for its resolution in its corporate governance report)? (ii) If your answer is "yes", do you agree that RBP B.1.8 should be revised and upgraded to a CP (re-numbered CP B.1.6).
	(i)	x Yes No
	(ii)	x Yes No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	remun	gree that an announcement should be only made where the board makes a seration decision which has not been recommended by the committee. Where sions and disagreements have occurred between the board and committee but ment has been reached, no announcement should be made.
Questio	on 29.	Do you agree that the term "performance-based" should be deleted from CP B.1.2(c) (re-numbered CP B.1.2(b)) and revised as described in paragraph 118 of the Consultation Paper?
	х	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

B.	Nomin	nation Committee
Questi	on 30.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.4 (establishment and composition of a nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.1) should be upgraded to a CP?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 31.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.4) should state that the nomination committee's chairman should be an INED?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	The C	ompany should be free to choose its own composition.
Questi	on 32.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.5 (nomination committee's terms of reference, renumbered CP A.5.2) should be upgraded to a CP?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

x Yes	
□ No	
Please give reasons for your views.	
Question 34. Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) she the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and committee the board should implement the issuer's corporate strategy?	
x Yes	
□ No	
Please give reasons for your views.	
Question 35. Do you agree that RBP A.4.6 (availability of nomination commi reference) should be upgraded to a CP?	ttee's terms of
x Yes	
□ No	
Please give reasons for your views.	

Question 3	6. Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.6, re-numbered CP A.5.3) should state that issuers should include their nomination committee's terms of reference on the HKEx website?
X	Yes
	No
Ple	ase give reasons for your views.
Question 3	7. Do you agree that RBP A.4.7 (sufficient resources for the nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should be upgraded to a CP?
X	Yes
	No
Ple	ase give reasons for your views.
Question 3	8. Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.7, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should clarify that a nomination committee should be able to seek independent professional advice at the issuer's expense?
X	Yes
	No
Ple	ase give reasons for your views.
<u> </u>	

C.	Corpo	rate Governance Committee
Questi	on 39.	Do you agree with the proposed terms of reference listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	e give reasons and alternative suggestions.
	develo directo decisi Gover	iew is that decisions listed (other than perhaps that of professional opment) are those that require executive decision making. The executive ors or a majority of executive directors in a committee should be taking ons on these (see further below). These are matters on which the Corporate mance Committee can (and should) advise and review in conjunction with tive management
		so consider that succession planning should form a major part of the Corporate rnance/Nomination Committee's role.
Questi	on 40.	Do you consider that the committee(s) performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should submit to the board a written report on its work annually?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 41.	Do you consider that this report (as described in paragraph 140 of the Consultation Paper) should be published as part of the issuer's corporate governance report?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
		may require further consultation. This could include sensitive information such excession planning which a Company would not want to publicise.

Question 42.		Do you agree with introducing RBP D.3.3 stating that an issuer should establish a corporate governance committee?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 43.	Do you agree the duties of an existing committee or committees can be expanded to include those of a corporate governance committee?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	We th	ink that the Nomination Committee, for example, might be suited to perform le.
Questio	on 44.	Do you agree with the addition of CP D.3.2 stating that the committee performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should comprise a majority of INEDs?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	prescr	e see answer to question 39. If the Stock Exchange is insistent that it should ibe some form of guidance, we suggest an even number of INEDS to /Execs where the Company shall nominate the Chairman at its discretion.

Questi	on 45.	Do you agree with the proposal to add a note to CP D.3.2 stating that the committee should include one member who is an executive director or non-executive director with sufficient knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day operations?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	domin	sagree with the concept of the corporate governance committee being lated by INEDs. We believe an equal number of INEDs and directors who knowledge of the day to day running should be appointed.
D.	Audit	committee
Questi	on 46.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.3.7 (audit committee's terms of reference should include arrangements for employees to raise concerns about improprieties in financial reporting) to a CP?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 47.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP C.3.3(e)(i) to state that the audit committee should meet the external auditor at least twice a year?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questi	on 48.	Do you agree that a new RBP should be introduced to encourage audit committees to establish a whistleblowing policy?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
4.	Remu	neration of Directors, CEO and Senior Management
Questi	on 49.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers should disclose senior management remuneration by band (Appendix 16, new paragraph 25A)?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 50.	If your answer to Question 49 is yes, do you agree with our proposal that senior management remuneration disclosure should include sales commission?
	\mathbf{x}	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questi	on 51.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Appendix 16 to require an issuer to disclose the CEO's remuneration in its annual report and by name?
	х	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 52.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP B.1.6 to a CP (a significant proportion of executive directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rewards to corporate and individual performance, re-numbered CP B.1.5)?
	х	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
5.	Board	Evaluation
Questi	on 53.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new RBP B.1.8 that issuers should conduct a regular evaluation of its own and individual directors' performance?
	x 🔲	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	time c	See answers above. While we disagree with the Paper's emphasis on Directors' ommitment, we agree that Board performance should be evaluated (of which ommitment might be just one factor)

6. Board Meetings

A.		dering a matter where there is a conflict of interest by a physical board meeting than a written board resolution
Questi	on 54.	Do you agree that, except for plain language amendments, the wording of CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) should be retained (issuers to hold a board meeting to discuss resolutions on a material matter where a substantial directors or a director has a conflict of interest)?
	Х	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	a dire	Written resolutions should be used only where all directors are unanimous. If ctor (or more than one) is disqualified through conflict, a written resolution d not be used.
Questi	on 55.	Do you agree with our proposals to add a note to CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) stating that attendance at board meetings can be achieved by telephonic or video conferencing?
	х	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		This is already commonly provided for in Articles of Association and is not ionable
B.	Direct	ors' Attendance at Board Meetings
Questi	on 56.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the notes to paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14 (on attendance at board meetings) as described in paragraph 195 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	1	

Questi	on 57.	to Appendix 14) that attendance by an alternate should not be counted as attendance by the director himself?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 58.	Do you agree with our proposal that an issuer disclose, for each named director, the number of board or committee meetings he attended and separately the number of board or committee meetings attended by his alternate?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Remo	ving Five Percent Threshold for Voting on a Resolution in which a Director has erest
Questi	on 59.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 13.44 to remove the exemption described in paragraph 199 (transactions where a director has an interest)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

7. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Questio	on 60.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the words "at the board level" from Code Principle A.2 to clarify the division between management of the board and day-to-day management of an issuer's business?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 61.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.2.3 to add "accurate" and "clear" to describe the information that the chairman should ensure directors receive?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 62.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.4 to a CP to give greater emphasis to the chairman's duty to provide leadership for the board, to ensure that the board works effectively and discharges its responsibilities, etc.?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 63.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.5 to a CP and amend it to state: "The chairman should take primary responsibility for ensuring that good corporate governance practices and procedures are established"?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 64.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.6 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's responsibility to encourage directors with different views to voice their concerns, allow sufficient time for discussion of issues and build consensus?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 65.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.7 to a CP and amend it to state that the chairman should hold separate meetings with only INEDs and only NEDs at least once a year?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.

Questi	on 66.	chairman's role to ensure effective communication between the board and shareholders?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 67.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.9 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's role to enable NED contributions and constructive relations between EDs and NEDs?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
8.	Notify	ing directorship change and disclosure of directors' information
Questi	on 68.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to require issuers to disclose the retirement or removal of a director or supervisor?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	-	

Question 69	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to apply to the appointment, resignation, re-designation, retirement or removal of a CEO (and not only to a director or supervisor)?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
Question 70	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2)(o) to cover all civil judgments of fraud, breach of duty or other misconduct involving dishonesty?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
Question 71	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51B(3)(c) to clarify that the sanctions referred to in that Rule are those made against the issuer (and not those of other issuers)?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.

Questio	on /2.	directors' information is published on an issuer's website?
		Yes
		No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	n 73.	Do you agree with our proposed amendment to the CP (RBP A.3.3 upgraded) that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website?
		Yes
		No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
9.]	Provid	ling Management Accounts or Management Updates to the Board
Questio	n 74.	Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provide board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.

Issue	r's Subsidiaries
Question 75.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix) removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?
	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
Question 76.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?
	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
11. Disclo	osing Long Term Basis on which an Issuer Generates or Preserves Business
Value	
Question 77.	Do you agree that we should introduce the proposed CP (CP C.1.4) as described in paragraph 250 of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.

Next Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the

10.

12.	Direct	ors' Insurance
Questi	on 78.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.1.9 (issuers should arrange appropriate insurance for directors) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.1.8)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 79.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the words "adequate and general" to RBP A.1.9 (upgraded and re-numbered CP A.1.8)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	_	
PART	II: SH	AREHOLDERS
1.	Sharel	holders' General Meetings
A.	Notice	of Meeting and Bundling of Resolutions
Questi	on 80.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.1.1 to state that issuers should avoid "bundling" of resolutions and where they are "bundled" explain the reasons and material implications in the notice of meeting?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

D. VOUI	g by Poli
Question 81.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.39(4) to allow a chairman at a general meeting to exempt procedural and administrative matters described in paragraph 274 of the Consultation Paper from voting by poll?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
Question 82.	Do you agree with the examples of procedural and administrative resolutions in paragraph 275 of the Consultation paper? Do you have any other examples to add?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
Question 83.	Do you agree that our proposed amendments to Rule 13.39(5) clarify disclosure in poll results?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.

Questi	OII 04.	the commencement of the meeting" so that an issuer's chairman can explain the procedures for conducting a poll later during a general meeting?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Sharel	nolders' Approval to Appoint and Remove an Auditor
Questi	on 85.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new Rule 13.88 to require shareholder approval to appoint the issuer's auditor?
		Yes
	X	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	appoint facility the authoc sh	ew rule will interfere with established procedures which allow the board to not auditors to fill a casual vacancy. This has been used a number of times to ate mergers or incorporations of accountants, or appoint a replacement when ditor resigns for any reason. Issuers may find it cumbersome to convene an admareholder meeting. The accepted procedure is to allow the board to fill the vacancy, and for the appointment of the auditor to be voted on at each AGM.
	Comp	ouldn't object if the rule was modified to impose no greater burden than the anies Ordinance (thus, effectively, to require non-Hong Kong issuers to y with the Hong Kong Companies Ordinance procedures)
Questi	on 86.	Do you agree with our proposal to add, in new Rule 13.88, a requirement for shareholder approval to remove the issuer's auditor before the end of his term of office?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ng as the rule contemplates an ordinary resolution to be passed by all nolders, then the proposal is not objectionable as it adds no further burden.

Question 87.		Do you agree that the new Rule 13.88 should require a circular for the removal of the auditor to shareholders containing any written representation from the auditor and allow the auditor to make written and/or verbal representation at the general meeting to remove him?	
	X	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
	audito	ular for removal or appointment should specify whether or not the outgoing r wishes to draw any matters to the attention of shareholders, similar to the ements of Rule 13.51(4).	
D.	Directo	ors' Attendance at Meetings	
Questi	on 88.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance at meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
Questi	on 89.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make a positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policies) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	

Question 90	provision in Appendix 23 (re-numbered paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14) stating that issuer must disclose details of attendance at general meetings of each director by name?
	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Question 91	. Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state the issuer's chairman should arrange for the chairman of "any other committees" to attend the annual general meeting?
	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
E. Aud	tor's Attendance at Annual General Meetings
Question 92	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state that the chairman should arrange for the auditor to attend the issuer's annual general meeting to answer questions about the conduct of the audit, the preparation and content of the auditors' report, the accounting policies and auditor independence?
	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.

2.	Shareho	olders' Rights
Ques	tion 93.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of "shareholders' rights" under paragraph 3 (b) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph O of Appendix 14)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Comn	nunication with Shareholders
A.	Establ	ishing a Communication Policy
Ques	tion 94.	Do you agree with our proposed new CP E.1.4 stating that issuers should establish a shareholder communication policy?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
B.	Publis	hing Constitutional Documents on Website
Ques	tion 95.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.90 requiring issuers to publish an updated and consolidated version of their M & A or constitutional documents on their own website and the HKEx website?
		Yes
		No

Please give reasons for your views.

C.	Publis	shing Procedures for Election of Directors
Question 96.		Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.51D requiring an issuer to publish the procedures for shareholders to propose a person for election as a director on its website?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
D. I	Disclosi	ing Significant Changes to Constitutional Documents
Questi	ion 97.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of any significant change in the issuer's articles of association under paragraph 3(c)(i) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph P(a) of Appendix 14)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
PART	CIII: C	COMPANY SECRETARY
1.	Comp	pany Secretary's Qualifications, Experience and Training
Questi	ion 98.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Rule 3.28 on requirements for company secretaries' qualifications and experience?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.

Questic	on 99.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider as acceptable the list of qualifications for company secretaries set out in paragraph 345 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questic	on 100.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questic	on 101.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question	102.	Do you agree with our proposal to repeal Rule 19A.16 so that Mainland issuers' company secretaries would need to meet the same requirements as for other countries?
		Yes
		No
P	lease	give reasons for your views.
Question	103.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a Rule 3.29 requiring company secretaries to attend 15 hours of professional training per financial year?
		Yes
		No
P	lease	give reasons for your views.
Question	104.	Do you agree with the proposed transitional arrangement on compliance with Rule 3.29 in paragraph 350 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
P	lease	give reasons for your views.

2. New Section in Code on Company Secretary

Question 105.	Do you agree with our proposal to include a new section of the Code on company secretary?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 106.	Do you agree with the proposed principle as described in paragraph 362 of the Consultation Paper and set out in full in page 27 of Appendix II?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 107.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.1 stating the company secretary should be an employee of the issuer and have knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day affairs?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 108.	Do you agree with our proposal described in paragraph 364 of the Consultation Paper, that if an issuer employs an external service provider, it should disclose the identity of its issuer contact person?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 109.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.2 stating that the selection, appointment or dismissal of the company secretary should be the subject of a board decision?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 110.	Do you agree with our proposed note to CP F.1.2 stating that the board decision to select, appoint or dismiss the company secretary should be made at a physical board meeting and not dealt with by written board resolution?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 111.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.3 stating that the company secretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
Questio	on 112.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the company secretary should maintain a record of directors training?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please give reasons for your views.			
СНАР	TER 3	: PROPOSED NON-SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS		
1.	Defini	tion of "Announcement" and "Announce"		
Questio	on 113.	Do you agree with our proposal to include a definition in the Rules for the terms "announcement" and "announce" as described in paragraph 371 of the Consultation Paper?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		

2. **Authorised Representatives' Contact Details** Question 114. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 3.06(1) to add a reference to authorised representatives "mobile and other telephone numbers, email and correspondence addresses" and "any other contract details prescribed by the Exchange may prescribe from time to time"? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. **3.** Merging Corporate Governance Report Requirements into Appendix 14 Question 115. Do you agree with our proposal to merge Appendix 23 into Appendix 14 for ease of reference? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Question 116. Do you agree with our proposal to streamline Appendix 23 and to make plain language amendments to it? Yes No Please give reasons for your views.