Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/documents/cp2010124.pdf.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Plain Writing Amendments

Question 1.	Do you have any comments on the plainer writing amendments? Do you consider any part(s) of the plainer writing amendments will have unintended consequences?
	Yes
$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
Please	give reasons for your views.

CHAPTER 2: PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS

PART I: DIRECTORS

1. Directors' Duties and Time Commitments

Question 2. Do you agree with our proposed change to Rule 3.08 to clarify the responsibilities the Exchange expects of directors?

Yes

✓ No

Please give reasons for your views.

We think that directors' duties are better dealt with by the law. It would be sufficient to set out general directors' duties as in the current Rules and to refer to the two guides in the proposed new note to Rule 3.08, rather than prescribing specific examples which would not be exhaustive/comprehensive.

Question	3. Do you agree with our proposed addition of the Note to Rule 3.08 referring to the guidance issued by the Companies Registry and HKIOD?
\checkmark	I Yes
] No
Pl	lease give reasons for your views.
P	lease see our response to Question 2.
Question	4. Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(e)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should regularly review the time required from a director to perform his responsibilities to the issuer, and whether he is meeting that requirement?
V	l Yes
] No
Pl	ease give reasons for your views.
Question	5. Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(f)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should review NEDs' annual confirmation that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
	Yes
V	1 No
Pl	lease give reasons for your views.
P	lease see our response to Question 8 below. We do not agree that non-executive

Please see our response to Question 8 below. We do not agree that non-executive directors should be asked to give additional confirmations. However, should the Listing Rules eventually include the proposed changes in Question 8, we agree that the nomination committee should also review the NED's annual confirmation.

Question 6.		Do you agree to include a disclosure requirement in the Corporate Governance Report (paragraph L(d)(ii) of Appendix 14) that NEDs have made annual confirmation to the nomination committee that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Quest	e see our response to Question 8 below. If, however, the changes proposed in ion 8 are eventually made, we would agree that such a disclosure requirement d be included.
Questio	on 7.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3(re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that a director should limit his other professional commitments and acknowledge to the issuer that he will have sufficient time to meet his obligations?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 8.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3 (re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that an NED should confirm annually to the nomination committee that he has spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Where	e a director is already required to acknowledge to the issuer that he will have

Where a director is already required to acknowledge to the issuer that he will have sufficient time to meet his obligations as stated in Question 7, this additional confirmation requirement on NED would appear discriminatory and burdensome.

Question 9.		Do you agree to upgrading RBP D.1.4 to a CP (re-numbered CP D.1.4) and amending it to state that an NED's letter of appointment should set out the expected time commitment?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	be exp will ha nomin	e of the view that it may be difficult for issuers to quantify time commitment to bected of NEDs. The new requirement on directors to acknowledge that they are sufficient time to meet their obligations, coupled with the new duty of the ation committee to review whether directors are meeting the time required of o perform their responsibility to issuers should be sufficient.
Questic	on 10.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP A.5.6 to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.6) and to amending it to encourage timeliness of disclosure by a director to the issuer on any change to his significant commitments?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	(for it which	ree to this requirement but the last sentence of this CP should either be deleted refers to the determination of frequency of disclosure on a periodic basis is now proposed to be changed) or be amended to read "The board should nine for itself the time period within which this disclosure should be made."
Questic	on 11.	Do you consider that there should be a limit on the number of INED positions an individual may hold?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ald be difficult to say, by objective standard, how many INED positions and alual may hold before it will be considered to be too many.
Questic	on 12.	If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", what should be the number? Please give reasons for your views.
	N/A	

Questi	on 13.	If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", do you think that it should be a Rule or a CP?
		Rule
		СР
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N/A	
2.	Direct	tors' Training and Independent Non-executive Directors
Questi	on 14.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous professional development) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.5)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	develo	agree that all directors should undertake such continuous professional opment so as to keep themselves up-to-date with developments (whether legal erwise) affecting their roles, functions and duties as directors.
Questi	on 15.	Do you agree that the minimum number of hours of directors training should be eight?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Overti	on 16	What training methods do you consider to be acceptable for the requirements

Question 16. What training methods do you consider to be acceptable for the requirements stated in the proposed CP (re-numbered RBP A.6.5)? Please give reasons for your views.

We do not think the Listing Rules should be too prescriptive or restrictive on the training methods. Training methods may be provided in-house (for larger listed companies) or be outsourced to external providers.

Questio	on 17.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.3.2 (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) to a Rule (re-numbered Rule 3.10A)?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		o not have strong views on this provided that it is practical for issuers to nent this given the limited number of INEDs available.
Questio	on 18.	Do you agree that this Rule (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) be effective after a transitional period as described in paragraph 87 of the Consultation Paper?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 19.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.4.3 (shareholder to vote on a separate resolution for the further employment of an INED who has served more than nine years) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.4.3)?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questi	on 20.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.4.8 (issuer should include explanation of its reasons for election and independence of an INED in a circular) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.5.5)?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Board	Committees
A.	Remur	neration Committee
Questi	on 21.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to establish a remuneration committee with a majority of INED members from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.25)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	follow	gree that the remuneration committee should be given more prominence ing the recent financial crisis and that the proposed rule changes (as set out in lons 22 to 26 below) should be consistent with those applicable to audit ittee.
Questi	on 22.	Do you agree with our proposal that the remuneration committee must be chaired by an INED?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 23. Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to have written terms of reference for the remuneration committee from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.26)?

		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 24.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule (Rule 3.27) requiring an issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 25.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers that fail to meet Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27 should have three months to rectify this?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
Questio	on 26.	Do you agree that we should add "independent" to the professional advice made available to a remuneration committee (CP B.1.2, re-numbered CP B.1.1)?
	\overline{V}	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

HK\184882.1

Questio	on 27.	Do you agree that, in order to accommodate Model B, we should revise CP B.1.3 (re-numbered CP B.1.2) as described in paragraph 117 of the Consultation Paper?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 28.	(i) Do you agree that where the board resolves to approve any remuneration with which the remuneration committee disagrees, the board should disclose the reasons for its resolution in its corporate governance report)? (ii) If your answer is "yes", do you agree that RBP B.1.8 should be revised and upgraded to a CP (re-numbered CP B.1.6).
	(i)	✓ Yes □ No
	(ii)	Yes No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 29.	Do you agree that the term "performance-based" should be deleted from CP B.1.2(c) (re-numbered CP B.1.2(b)) and revised as described in paragraph 118 of the Consultation Paper?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

В.	Nomination Committee	
Questi	on 30.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.4 (establishment and composition of a nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.1) should be upgraded to a CP?
	\overline{V}	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 31.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.4) should state that the nomination committee's chairman should be an INED?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 32.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.5 (nomination committee's terms of reference, renumbered CP A.5.2) should be upgraded to a CP?
	\overline{V}	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 33.		Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should be performed at least once a year?	
		Yes	
	\checkmark	No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
		o not have strong views on this but consider that it may be better for the board nomination committee to determine the frequency of conducting such review.	
Questio	on 34.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should implement the issuer's corporate strategy?	
	\checkmark	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
Questio	on 35.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.6 (availability of nomination committee's terms of reference) should be upgraded to a CP?	
	\checkmark	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	

Questi	on 36.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.6, re-numbered CP A.5.3) should state that issuers should include their nomination committee's terms of reference on the HKEx website?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	and w	gree that HKEx's website should serve as a central depositary in this regards conder if this should be extended to the terms of reference of corporate nance committee (if any).
Questi	on 37.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.7 (sufficient resources for the nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should be upgraded to a CP?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 38.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.7, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should clarify that a nomination committee should be able to seek independent professional advice at the issuer's expense?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

C.	Corpo	rate Governance Committee
Questic	on 39.	Do you agree with the proposed terms of reference listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons and alternative suggestions.
Questic	on 40.	Do you consider that the committee(s) performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should submit to the board a written report on its work annually?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	govern be inc	gree to this as long as it is made clear that, where the functions of this corporate nance committee are to be performed by other committee(s), the report could luded as part of the report(s) to be submitted by such other committee(s), rather using a separate report.
Questic	on 41.	Do you consider that this report (as described in paragraph 140 of the Consultation Paper) should be published as part of the issuer's corporate governance report?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	We w	ould think such report, as in the case of the reports produced by other board

committees, is an internal document. As such it would be sufficient to have its summary included in the issuer's corporate governance report rather than having the full report published.

Question 42.		Do you agree with introducing RBP D.3.3 stating that an issuer should establish a corporate governance committee?		
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	paragr flexibi comm comm	ree to this subject to it being implemented together with the proposals made in aph 143 (Question 43) of the Consultation Paper so as to give the issuer more lity. We would also suggest requiring the terms of reference of such attee to be made available on HKEx's and issuer's websites. Where the attee's function is to be performed by an existing committee (say, the audit attee), the terms of reference of the audit committee would have been so made ble anyway.		
Questio	on 43.	Do you agree the duties of an existing committee or committees can be expanded to include those of a corporate governance committee?		
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
Questio	on 44.	Do you agree with the addition of CP D.3.2 stating that the committee performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should comprise a majority of INEDs?		
	\checkmark	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	This is	consistent with the composition of other committees required of the issuer.		

Question 45.		Do you agree with the proposal to add a note to CP D.3.2 stating that the committee should include one member who is an executive director or non-executive director with sufficient knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day operations?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
D.	Audit	committee
Questi	on 46.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.3.7 (audit committee's terms of reference should include arrangements for employees to raise concerns about improprieties in financial reporting) to a CP?
	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 47.		Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP C.3.3(e)(i) to state that the audit committee should meet the external auditor at least twice a year?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questic	on 48.	Do you agree that a new RBP should be introduced to encourage audit committees to establish a whistleblowing policy?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
4.	Remu	neration of Directors, CEO and Senior Management
Questic	on 49.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers should disclose senior management remuneration by band (Appendix 16, new paragraph 25A)?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		o not have strong views on this but question the usefulness of requiring the sure of such information.
Questio	on 50.	If your answer to Question 49 is yes, do you agree with our proposal that senior management remuneration disclosure should include sales commission?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N/A	

Question 5	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Appendix 16 to require an issuer to disclose the CEO's remuneration in its annual report and by name?		
\checkmark	Yes		
	No		
Ple	ase give reasons for your views.		
im	agree that CEO plays an important role in the operations of an issuer and is as portant as a director. Therefore, if a CEO is not a director, his remuneration uld be disclosed in the annual report.		
Question 5	2. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP B.1.6 to a CP (a significant proportion of executive directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rewards to corporate and individual performance, re-numbered CP B.1.5)?		
	Yes		
\checkmark	No		
Ple	ase give reasons for your views.		
5. Box	ard Evaluation		
Question 5	3. Do you agree with our proposal to add new RBP B.1.8 that issuers should conduct a regular evaluation of its own and individual directors' performance?		
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes		
	No		
Ple	ase give reasons for your views.		

6.	Board	Meetings
A.		dering a matter where there is a conflict of interest by a physical board meeting than a written board resolution
Questio	on 54.	Do you agree that, except for plain language amendments, the wording of CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) should be retained (issuers to hold a board meeting to discuss resolutions on a material matter where a substantial directors or a director has a conflict of interest)?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 55.	Do you agree with our proposals to add a note to CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) stating that attendance at board meetings can be achieved by telephonic or video conferencing?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	This is	s consistent with modern day practice of holding board meetings.
B.	Directo	ors' Attendance at Board Meetings
Questio	on 56.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the notes to paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14 (on attendance at board meetings) as described in paragraph 195 of the Consultation Paper?
	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes
		No

19

The notes would help in clarifying the meaning of "attendance".

Please give reasons for your views.

Questi	on 57.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new requirement (paragraph I(d) to Appendix 14) that attendance by an alternate should not be counted as attendance by the director himself?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 58.	Do you agree with our proposal that an issuer disclose, for each named director, the number of board or committee meetings he attended and separately the number of board or committee meetings attended by his alternate?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Removan Inte	ving Five Percent Threshold for Voting on a Resolution in which a Director has erest
Questi	on 59.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 13.44 to remove the exemption described in paragraph 199 (transactions where a director has an interest)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 60	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the words "at the board level" from Code Principle A.2 to clarify the division between management of the board and day-to-day management of an issuer's business?
\checkmark	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Question 61	. Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.2.3 to add "accurate" and "clear" to describe the information that the chairman should ensure directors receive?
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Question 62	2. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.4 to a CP to give greater emphasis to the chairman's duty to provide leadership for the board, to ensure that the board works effectively and discharges its responsibilities, etc.?
\checkmark	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.

7.

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Question 63.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.5 to a CP and amend it to state: "The chairman should take primary responsibility for ensuring that good corporate governance practices and procedures are established"?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	"prima	gree to upgrading RBP A.2.5 to a CP but suggest not to include the word ary" as the "primary" responsibility for ensuring that good corporate nance practices and procedures are established should rest on the whole board than the chairman.
Questi	on 64.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.6 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's responsibility to encourage directors with different views to voice their concerns, allow sufficient time for discussion of issues and build consensus?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 65.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.7 to a CP and amend it to state that the chairman should hold separate meetings with only INEDs and only NEDs at least once a year?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questi	on 66.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.8 to a CP to highlight the chairman's role to ensure effective communication between the board and shareholders?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 67.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.9 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's role to enable NED contributions and constructive relations between EDs and NEDs?
	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
8.	Notify	ing directorship change and disclosure of directors' information
Questi	on 68.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to require issuers to disclose the retirement or removal of a director or supervisor?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 69.		Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to apply to the appointment, resignation, re-designation, retirement or removal of a CEO (and not only to a director or supervisor)?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 70.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2)(o) to cover all civil judgments of fraud, breach of duty or other misconduct involving dishonesty?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 71.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51B(3)(c) to clarify that the sanctions referred to in that Rule are those made against the issuer (and not those of other issuers)?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		would make the application of the Rule clearer and help avoid erpretation.

Questic	on 72.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.3.3 to a CP to ensure that directors' information is published on an issuer's website?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questic	on 73.	Do you agree with our proposed amendment to the CP (RBP A.3.3 upgraded) that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	This v	would facilitate searches by the investing public.
9.	Provid	ling Management Accounts or Management Updates to the Board
Questio	on 74.	Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provide board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	manag freque	onsider that it may be better for individual issuer to decide whether such gement updates are useful in assisting the board in discharging its duty and the ency of producing such updates (if any). Therefore, we would suggest to the this as a RBP instead of a CP.

	Issuer	's Subsidiaries
Questio	on 75.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix) removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	_	gree that the administrative burden on the issuers may outweigh the usefulness essity of producing such returns.
Question	on 76.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
11.	Disclo Value	sing Long Term Basis on which an Issuer Generates or Preserves Business
Questi	on 77.	Do you agree that we should introduce the proposed CP (CP C.1.4) as described in paragraph 250 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No

Next Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the

We consider that it may be preferable to include this as a RBP (rather than a CP) as smaller issuers may not always have long term business model and related corporate strategy.

Please give reasons for your views.

10.

Questio	on 78.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.1.9 (issuers should arrange appropriate insurance for directors) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.1.8)?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 79.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the words "adequate and general" to RBP A.1.9 (upgraded and re-numbered CP A.1.8)?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
PART	II: SH	AREHOLDERS
1.	Share	holders' General Meetings
A.	Notice	of Meeting and Bundling of Resolutions
Questio	on 80.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.1.1 to state that issuers should avoid "bundling" of resolutions and where they are "bundled" explain the reasons and material implications in the notice of meeting?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Directors' Insurance

12.

B.	Voting	by Poll
Questi	on 81.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.39(4) to allow a chairman at a general meeting to exempt procedural and administrative matters described in paragraph 274 of the Consultation Paper from voting by poll?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		pport the proposal that procedural and administrative matters be exempt from ting by poll requirements so that meetings could be held more smoothly and vely.
Questi	on 82.	Do you agree with the examples of procedural and administrative resolutions in paragraph 275 of the Consultation paper? Do you have any other examples to add?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 83.	Do you agree that our proposed amendments to Rule 13.39(5) clarify disclosure in poll results?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questi	on 84.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.2.1 to remove the words "at the commencement of the meeting" so that an issuer's chairman can explain the procedures for conducting a poll later during a general meeting?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	_	gree that chairman should be given the discretion as to the appropriate time for ning the procedures for conducting a poll.
C.	Shareh	nolders' Approval to Appoint and Remove an Auditor
Questi	on 85.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new Rule 13.88 to require shareholder approval to appoint the issuer's auditor?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	require Kong relatin	gree that it would be desirable for the Listing Rules to contain the same ements relating to the appointment and removal of auditors as in the Hong Companies Ordinance (CO). However, please consider if the provision ag to directors' power to appoint an auditor to fill casual vacancy (s.131(5) of hould also be added.
Questi	on 86.	Do you agree with our proposal to add, in new Rule 13.88, a requirement for shareholder approval to remove the issuer's auditor before the end of his term of office?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	e see our response to Question 85 above.

Question 87.		Do you agree that the new Rule 13.88 should require a circular for the removal of the auditor to shareholders containing any written representation from the auditor and allow the auditor to make written and/or verbal representation at the general meeting to remove him?	
	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
		gree that shareholders should be given all relevant information to make an ned decision.	
D.	Direct	ors' Attendance at Meetings	
Question 88.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance at meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)?	
	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
Questi	on 89.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make a positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policies) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)?	
		Yes	
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
	While	we agree that the importance of NEDs regularly attending meetings should be	

While we agree that the importance of NEDs regularly attending meetings should be given more prominence by upgrading RBP A.5.7 to a CP (see Question 88), we consider that issuers would be in a better position to assess the extent of contribution required of its NEDs. Therefore, it may be preferable for this to remain as a RBP.

Quesiio	JII 90.	provision in Appendix 23 (re-numbered paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14) stating that issuer must disclose details of attendance at general meetings of each director by name?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	We comeeting	onsider that this would encourage directors to attend and participate in ags.
Questio	on 91.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state the issuer's chairman should arrange for the chairman of "any other committees" to attend the annual general meeting?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
E.	Audito	or's Attendance at Annual General Meetings
Questio	on 92.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state that the chairman should arrange for the auditor to attend the issuer's annual general meeting to answer questions about the conduct of the audit, the preparation and content of the auditors' report, the accounting policies and auditor independence?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		nderstand the advantages of having the auditor to answer questions in issuer's. However, it may be better for the issuer and external auditor to agree on this

31

HK\184882.1

900004-5542

matter and, hence, we suggest adding this proposal as a RBP rather than a CP.

2.	Shareho	olders' Rights
Quest	tion 93.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of "shareholders' rights" under paragraph 3 (b) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph O of Appendix 14)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Comn	nunication with Shareholders
A.	Establ	ishing a Communication Policy
Quest	tion 94.	Do you agree with our proposed new CP E.1.4 stating that issuers should establish a shareholder communication policy?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
B.	Publis	hing Constitutional Documents on Website
Questi	tion 95.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.90 requiring issuers to publish an updated and consolidated version of their M & A or constitutional documents on their own website and the HKEx website?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	This v	would definitely facilitate searches on constitutional documents by the investing

2.

public.

HK\184882.1 900004-5542

Questi	on 96.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.51D requiring an issue to publish the procedures for shareholders to propose a person for election as director on its website?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
D. I	Disclosi	ng Significant Changes to Constitutional Documents
Questi	on 97.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of any significant change in the issuer's articles of association under paragraph 3(c)(i) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph P(a) of Appendix 14)?
	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	1	gree that this would make the investing public easier to track the significant es that have been made to the issuer's articles of association.
PART	III: C	OMPANY SECRETARY
1.	Comp	any Secretary's Qualifications, Experience and Training
Questi	on 98.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Rule 3.28 on requirements for company secretaries' qualifications and experience?
	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

C. Publishing Procedures for Election of Directors

Questio	on 99.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider as acceptable the list of qualifications for company secretaries set out in paragraph 345 of the Consultation Paper?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 100.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 101.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 102.	Do you agree with our proposal to repeal Rule 19A.16 so that Mainland issuers' company secretaries would need to meet the same requirements as for other countries?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 103.		Do you agree with our proposal to add a Rule 3.29 requiring company secretaries to attend 15 hours of professional training per financial year?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 104.	Do you agree with the proposed transitional arrangement on compliance with Rule 3.29 in paragraph 350 of the Consultation Paper?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

2. **New Section in Code on Company Secretary** Question 105. Do you agree with our proposal to include a new section of the Code on company secretary? $\overline{\mathsf{V}}$ Yes No Please give reasons for your views. We agree that company secretary plays an important role in the corporate governance of an issuer and it would be desirable to have a distinct section to give prominence to this role. Question 106. Do you agree with the proposed principle as described in paragraph 362 of the Consultation Paper and set out in full in page 27 of Appendix II? $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Question 107. Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.1 stating the company secretary should be an employee of the issuer and have knowledge of the issuer's dayto-day affairs? Yes No

While we agree that the company secretary should have knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day affairs, we do not consider that the company secretary should necessarily

Please give reasons for your views.

be an employee of the issuer.

900004-5542

Questio	on 108.	Do you agree with our proposal described in paragraph 364 of the Consultation Paper, that if an issuer employs an external service provider, it should disclose the identity of its issuer contact person?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 109.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.2 stating that the selection, appointment or dismissal of the company secretary should be the subject of a board decision?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 110.	Do you agree with our proposed note to CP F.1.2 stating that the board decision to select, appoint or dismiss the company secretary should be made at a physical board meeting and not dealt with by written board resolution?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.3 stating that the company secretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?
Yes
No
e give reasons for your views.
. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the company secretary should maintain a record of directors training?
Yes
No
e give reasons for your views.
agree to this proposal but suggest to add that the company secretary may ate this administrative task to someone under his/her supervision.
3: PROPOSED NON-SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS
ition of "Announcement" and "Announce"
. Do you agree with our proposal to include a definition in the Rules for the terms "announcement" and "announce" as described in paragraph 371 of the Consultation Paper?
Yes
No
e give reasons for your views.

2.	Autho	rised Representatives' Contact Details
Questio	on 114.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 3.06(1) to add a reference to authorised representatives "mobile and other telephone numbers, email and correspondence addresses" and "any other contract details prescribed by the Exchange may prescribe from time to time"?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Mergi	ng Corporate Governance Report Requirements into Appendix 14
Questio	on 115.	Do you agree with our proposal to merge Appendix 23 into Appendix 14 for ease of reference?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	This w	ould make the reading of the Rules easier.
Questio	on 116.	Do you agree with our proposal to streamline Appendix 23 and to make plain language amendments to it?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No

- End -

Please give reasons for your views.