Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/documents/cp2010124.pdf.

Where there	is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.
CHAPTER	1: INTRODUCTION
Plain Writin	ng Amendments
Question 1.	Do you have any comments on the plainer writing amendments? Do you consider any part(s) of the plainer writing amendments will have unintended consequences?
	Yes
$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
CHAPTER :	2: PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS
PART I: DI	RECTORS
1. Direc	ctors' Duties and Time Commitments
Question 2.	Do you agree with our proposed change to Rule 3.08 to clarify the responsibilities the Exchange expects of directors?
\square	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 3.	Do you agree with our proposed addition of the Note to Rule 3.08 referring to the guidance issued by the Companies Registry and HKIOD?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	note ti public directo	the fine with the proposal to refer to the Companies Registry. However, we that HKIOD is not a statutory body and non-representative of the general to. We believe that it is improper to allow HKIOD powers to dictate duties of the extent that the existing guidelines from HKIOD are considered ractices, these should be embodied in the Listing Rules.
Questio	on 4.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(e)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should regularly review the time required from a director to perform his responsibilities to the issuer, and whether he is meeting that requirement?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	discha for su	the not sure that "hours spent" is a good determinant of whether a person is arging his/her duties. There is no way for the nomination committee to know the if adequate time has been spent. We prefer an obligation which is more live such as attending no less than $x\%$ of the meetings of directors.
Questio	on 5.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(f)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should review NEDs' annual confirmation that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	This is	s necessarily subjective and cannot be effectively policed (see above).

Questi	on 6.	Do you agree to include a disclosure requirement in the Corporate Governance Report (paragraph L(d)(ii) of Appendix 14) that NEDs have made annual confirmation to the nomination committee that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	time of suffiction with the sufficient of the su	If we expect any NED to give a declaration that they have not spent sufficient on the issuer's business? Since there is no yardstick to determine what is ient time, this seems rather arbitrary and chances are that even NEDs that spent minimal time will claim that he/she has spent sufficient time on the 's business. A preferred solution would be to ask each director to disclose his ximately time spent on the issuer's business and let the public determine ther this is adequate.
Questi	on 7.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3(re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that a director should limit his other professional commitments and acknowledge to the issuer that he will have sufficient time to meet his obligations?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	suffice profes some count who is would likely	gree with the proposal to require the director to confirm that he will have ient time to meet his obligations. However, we see no co-relation between ssional commitments and time spent on an issuer's business. For example, one with few professional commitments but who is regularly out of the ry may not have more time to spend on an issuer's business than someone is a professional director and takes his role seriously. On the contrary, we argue that a professional director has a reputation to protect and he is more to be diligent in discharging his/her duties than a "part-time" director with one or two directorships.
Questi	on 8.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3 (re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that an NED should confirm annually to the nomination committee that he has spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 9.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP D.1.4 to a CP (re-numbered CP D.1.4) and amending it to state that an NED's letter of appointment should set out the expected time commitment?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	compa additioneeds.	e not sure how a company is supposed to determine this. Also, what if the any is wrong in its estimate? Does it mean that a NED does not have to give onal time commitment? A NED should to give as much time as a company If an expected time commitment is given, it should only be a guideline and seen as a regulatory requirement.
Questio	on 10.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP A.5.6 to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.6) and to amending it to encourage timeliness of disclosure by a director to the issuer on any change to his significant commitments?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	wheth	pany should always be given the necessary information to determine er a director is likely to be able to discharge his obligation to the company be belief of the nomination committee or the board of directors).
Questic	on 11.	Do you consider that there should be a limit on the number of INED positions an individual may hold?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	See re	sponse to Question 7 above.

See answer to Question 6 above.

Question 12. If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", what should be the number? Please

give reasons for your views.

	N/A	
Questio	on 13.	If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", do you think that it should be a Rule or a CP?
		Rule
		CP
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N/A	
2.	Direct	ors' Training and Independent Non-executive Directors
Questio	on 14.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous professional development) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.5)?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	trainii increa sessio regula	professional bodies now require their members to be subject to regular ng. Given that the obligations of directors of listed companies are usingly more onerous, it is not unfair to ask them to attend regular training ns to update themselves. However, given that some directors may be arly outside Hong Kong, one may have to look carefully how this is to be mented.
Questio	on 15.	Do you agree that the minimum number of hours of directors training should be eight?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	A full	day of training in a year is not unduly onerous.

Questio	on 16.	What training methods do you consider to be acceptable for the requirements stated in the proposed CP (re-numbered RBP A.6.5)? Please give reasons for your views.
	accept	see response to Question 14. It may be necessary for online training to be sed for directors outside Hong Kong. Alternatively, it may be possible to ate part of this to non-structured training whereby credit is earned from self-g.
Questic	on 17.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.3.2 (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) to a Rule (re-numbered Rule 3.10A)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	differe there i diligen of the matter	In understand that a board whereby the majority are INEDs will be very ent from a board majority controlled by executive directors. However, unless is evidence to support the contention that more INEDs (as opposed to more at INEDs) will lead to better governance even if they do not form the majority board, we do not believe listed companies should be required to do so as a conformation of regulatory requirements. We are particularly concerned that Hong Kong creasingly become too expensive for SMEs to raise funds.
Questio	on 18.	Do you agree that this Rule (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) be effective after a transitional period as described in paragraph 87 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	See re	sponse to Question 17 above.
Questio	on 19.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.4.3 (shareholder to vote on a separate resolution for the further employment of an INED who has served more than nine years) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.4.3)?
		Yes
		No

Please give reasons for your views.

There are very large numbers of listed companies which are majority controlled by a single group of shareholders. This requirement is more likely to give minority shareholders a say without unduly burdening the company.

Questi	on 20.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.4.8 (issuer should include explanation of its reasons for election and independence of an INED in a circular) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.5.5)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Board	Committees
A.	Remui	neration Committee
Questi	on 21.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to establish a remuneration committee with a majority of INED members from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.25)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 22.	Do you agree with our proposal that the remuneration committee must be chaired by an INED?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 23.		Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to have written terms of reference for the remuneration committee from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.26)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 24.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule (Rule 3.27) requiring an issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 25.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers that fail to meet Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27 should have three months to rectify this?
		Yes
		No
	comm that th decision does n Perha	seems to be little point in pushing the company to set up the remuneration ittee just to comply with the time limit. Shareholders should be informed be company does not have a remuneration committee and make appropriate on whether the company has appropriate corporate governance but there not appear to be any point in doing it just for the sake of fulfilling the rule. The sake option might be to require the company to make periodic uncements until this is fulfilled.
Questi	on 26.	Do you agree that we should add "independent" to the professional advice made available to a remuneration committee (CP B.1.2, re-numbered CP B.1.1)?
		Yes

		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	the co it coul same profes	could have considered that most professionals owe their fiduciary duties to impany, not any particular director. The risk of adding "independent" is that id be a calling card for several professionals to be appointed in relation to the matter (one purportedly to be acting only for the INEDs) and these sionals are not able to speak with a common voice. Which professional if the directors listen to?
Questi	on 27.	Do you agree that, in order to accommodate Model B, we should revise CP B.1.3 (re-numbered CP B.1.2) as described in paragraph 117 of the Consultation Paper?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	There	not believe that either Model "A" or Model "B" is right for all companies. fore, it is better for companies to make their own choices and for their ors to determine whether to invest in such companies.
Questi	on 28.	(i) Do you agree that where the board resolves to approve any remuneration with which the remuneration committee disagrees, the board should disclose the reasons for its resolution in its corporate governance report)? (ii) If your answer is "yes", do you agree that RBP B.1.8 should be revised and upgraded to a CP (re-numbered CP B.1.6).
	(i)	✓ Yes □ No
	(ii)	✓ Yes □ No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 29.		Do you agree that the term "performance-based" should be deleted from CP B.1.2(c) (re-numbered CP B.1.2(b)) and revised as described in paragraph 118 of the Consultation Paper?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No

	Please	give reasons for your views.
В.	Nomir	nation Committee
Questio	on 30.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.4 (establishment and composition of a nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.1) should be upgraded to a CP?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 31.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.4) should state that the nomination committee's chairman should be an INED?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 32.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.5 (nomination committee's terms of reference, renumbered CP A.5.2) should be upgraded to a CP?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questi	on 33.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should be performed at least once a year?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 34.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should implement the issuer's corporate strategy?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	No. Ti	his is meaningless.
Questi	on 35.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.6 (availability of nomination committee's terms of reference) should be upgraded to a CP?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 36.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.6, re-numbered CP A.5.3) should state that issuers should include their nomination committee's terms of reference on the HKEx website?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 37.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.7 (sufficient resources for the nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should be upgraded to a CP?
\square	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 38.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.7, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should clarify that a nomination committee should be able to seek independent professional advice at the issuer's expense?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Please	e see response to Question 26 above.

C.	Corpor	rate Governance Committee
Questi	on 39.	Do you agree with the proposed terms of reference listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons and alternative suggestions.
	to a bo forma	lieve that the whole Board should take responsibility for this task and not left ody of mostly independent directors. However, we have no objection to the tion of a committee to do this as RPP simply because it is probably more we to do this in small groups.
Questi	on 40.	Do you consider that the committee(s) performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should submit to the board a written report on its work annually?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 41.	Do you consider that this report (as described in paragraph 140 of the Consultation Paper) should be published as part of the issuer's corporate governance report?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 42.	Do you agree with introducing RBP D.3.3 stating that an issuer should establish a corporate governance committee?
\square	Yes
	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
Question 43.	Do you agree the duties of an existing committee or committees can be expanded to include those of a corporate governance committee?
	Yes
$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
Question 44.	Do you agree with the addition of CP D.3.2 stating that the committee performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should comprise a majority of INEDs?
	Yes
\square	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
See 1	response to Question 39 above.
L	

Questio	on 45.	Do you agree with the proposal to add a note to CP D.3.2 stating that the committee should include one member who is an executive director or non-executive director with sufficient knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day operations?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
D.	Audit	committee
Questio	on 46.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.3.7 (audit committee's terms of reference should include arrangements for employees to raise concerns about improprieties in financial reporting) to a CP?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 47.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP C.3.3(e)(i) to state that the audit committee should meet the external auditor at least twice a year?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questi	on 48.	Do you agree that a new RBP should be introduced to encourage audit committees to establish a whistleblowing policy?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
4.	Remu	neration of Directors, CEO and Senior Management
Questi	on 49.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers should disclose senior management remuneration by band (Appendix 16, new paragraph 25A)?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 50.	If your answer to Question 49 is yes, do you agree with our proposal that senior management remuneration disclosure should include sales commission?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 51.	disclose the CEO's remuneration in its annual report and by name?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		s personal information which does not appear to be relevant to the rmance of a company.
Questio	on 52.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP B.1.6 to a CP (a significant proportion of executive directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rewards to corporate and individual performance, re-numbered CP B.1.5)?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	remui	s too difficult to implement as there is substantial grey area as to how neration is linked. Should it be linked to short term performance, long term rmance, etc? This is best left to remuneration committee to decide.
5.	Board	l Evaluation
Questio	on 53.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new RBP B.1.8 that issuers should conduct a regular evaluation of its own and individual directors' performance?
	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	1	ver, we wonder whether this should be wrapped up as part of the duties of the nation committee.

6. Board Meetings

A.		dering a matter where there is a conflict of interest by a physical board meeting than a written board resolution
Quest	ion 54.	Do you agree that, except for plain language amendments, the wording of CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) should be retained (issuers to hold a board meeting to discuss resolutions on a material matter where a substantial directors or a director has a conflict of interest)?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	before there have o meetin	ote that open informal discussions could have been held among directors of formal resolutions are circulated. Hence, there might be situation where would not be a need for physical meetings to be held. For tax reasons, we come across directors being advised not to participate in a formal board ng from where they were then based. If the requirement is that these directors attend the meeting physically or by tele-conference, it might actually reduce tendance level of the directors.
Quest	ion 55.	Do you agree with our proposals to add a note to CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) stating that attendance at board meetings can be achieved by telephonic or video conferencing?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Helps	to encourage more directors to attend.
В.	Direct	ors' Attendance at Board Meetings
Quest	ion 56.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the notes to paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14 (on attendance at board meetings) as described in paragraph 195 of the Consultation Paper?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 57.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new requirement (paragraph I(d) to Appendix 14) that attendance by an alternate should not be counted as attendance by the director himself?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 58.	Do you agree with our proposal that an issuer disclose, for each named director, the number of board or committee meetings he attended and separately the number of board or committee meetings attended by his alternate?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Remov an Inte	ving Five Percent Threshold for Voting on a Resolution in which a Director has erest
Questio	on 59.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 13.44 to remove the exemption described in paragraph 199 (transactions where a director has an interest)?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

While 5% threshold may not be appropriate, one of the problems about the term "materiality" is that it is difficult to implement and does not have a common meaning. At least the 5% threshold provides a bright line test within which where the company can easily calculate.

7. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Questio	on 60.	Code Principle A.2 to clarify the division between management of the board and day-to-day management of an issuer's business?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ords seem to confuse more than help. We think a general principle that there d be a clear division of responsibilities is sufficient.
Questio	on 61.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.2.3 to add "accurate" and "clear" to describe the information that the chairman should ensure directors receive?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		words are easy to mention but very difficult to apply in practice. Who nine what is "accurate" or "clear"?
Questio	on 62.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.4 to a CP to give greater emphasis to the chairman's duty to provide leadership for the board, to ensure that the board works effectively and discharges its responsibilities, etc.?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 63.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.5 to a CP and amend it to state: "The chairman should take primary responsibility for ensuring that good corporate governance practices and procedures are established"?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 64.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.6 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's responsibility to encourage directors with different views to voice their concerns, allow sufficient time for discussion of issues and build consensus?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 65.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.7 to a CP and amend it to state that the chairman should hold separate meetings with only INEDs and only NEDs at least once a year?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
This s	should be left to the individual discretion of the chairman.

Question 6	66. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.8 to a CP to highlight the chairman's role to ensure effective communication between the board and shareholders?
	Yes
$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
Ple	ease give reasons for your views.
tai	te fear that the Chairmen might feel particularly burdened and do not wish to the control of the
Question 6	57. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.9 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's role to enable NED contributions and constructive relations between EDs and NEDs?
	Yes
$\overline{\square}$	No
Ple	ease give reasons for your views.
	is should be left to the discretion of the Chairman on how he want to run the ard.
8. No	tifying directorship change and disclosure of directors' information
Question 6	58. Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to require issuers to disclose the retirement or removal of a director or supervisor?
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
	No
Ple	ease give reasons for your views.
Gi	reater transparency but not necessarily more burdensome.

Question	69. Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to apply to the appointment, resignation, re-designation, retirement or removal of a CEO (and not only to a director or supervisor)?
V	Yes
] No
Pl	ease give reasons for your views.
Question	70. Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2)(o) to cover all civil judgments of fraud, breach of duty or other misconduct involving dishonesty?
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
] No
Pl	ease give reasons for your views.
Question	71. Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51B(3)(c) to clarify that the sanctions referred to in that Rule are those made against the issuer (and not those of other issuers)?
V	Yes
] No
Pl	ease give reasons for your views.

Questi	on /2.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.3.3 to a CP to ensure that directors' information is published on an issuer's website?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 73.	Do you agree with our proposed amendment to the CP (RBP A.3.3 upgraded) that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ng as investors can assess the information on the issuer's website, no point in eating this on the HKEx website.
9.		
	Provid	ling Management Accounts or Management Updates to the Board
Questi		Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provide board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the Consultation Paper?
Questi		Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provide board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the
Questi		Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provide board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the Consultation Paper?
Questi	on 74. □ □	Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provide board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the Consultation Paper? Yes
Questi	on 74.	Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provide board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the Consultation Paper? Yes No

10.		Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the 's Subsidiaries
Questi	on 75.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix) removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 76.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
11.	Disclo Value	osing Long Term Basis on which an Issuer Generates or Preserves Business
Question 77.		Do you agree that we should introduce the proposed CP (CP C.1.4) as described in paragraph 250 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	too ge	term business models are notoriously inaccurate. Such a disclosure, if it is eneric has no value and if it is too specific might result in greater

1	2.	Directors' Insurance	Δ
1	4.	DIECTOLS THEM AUC	С.

Questio	on 78.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.1.9 (issuers should arrange appropriate insurance for directors) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.1.8)?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Such i directo	insurance protects both the directors and shareholders (against errant ors).
Questio	on 79.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the words "adequate and general" to RBP A.1.9 (upgraded and re-numbered CP A.1.8)?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	We are	e concerned about potential liabilities with the use of those words.
PART	II: SH	AREHOLDERS
1.	Sharel	nolders' General Meetings
A.	Notice	of Meeting and Bundling of Resolutions
Questio	on 80.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.1.1 to state that issuers should avoid "bundling" of resolutions and where they are "bundled" explain the reasons and material implications in the notice of meeting?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

D. VOL	ing by Poli
Question 8	1. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.39(4) to allow a chairman at a general meeting to exempt procedural and administrative matters described in paragraph 274 of the Consultation Paper from voting by poll?
	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Question 8	2. Do you agree with the examples of procedural and administrative resolutions in paragraph 275 of the Consultation paper? Do you have any other examples to add?
	Yes
	No
Plea	ase give reasons for your views.
Question 8	3. Do you agree that our proposed amendments to Rule 13.39(5) clarify disclosure in poll results?
	Yes
	No
Plea	ase give reasons for your views.

Question 84.		the commencement of the meeting" so that an issuer's chairman can explain the procedures for conducting a poll later during a general meeting?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Shareh	nolders' Approval to Appoint and Remove an Auditor
Questio	on 85.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new Rule 13.88 to require shareholder approval to appoint the issuer's auditor?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 86.	Do you agree with our proposal to add, in new Rule 13.88, a requirement for shareholder approval to remove the issuer's auditor before the end of his term of office?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 87.		Do you agree that the new Rule 13.88 should require a circular for the removal of the auditor to shareholders containing any written representation from the auditor and allow the auditor to make written and/or verbal representation at the general meeting to remove him?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
D.	Direct	ors' Attendance at Meetings
Questio	on 88.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance at meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		nerous for "comply and explain". We already have a separate disclosure number of meetings to be attended.
Questio	on 89.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make a positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policies) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Too o	nerous for "comply and explain".

	See re	sponse to Question 91 above.
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	No
		Yes
Questio	on 92.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state that the chairman should arrange for the auditor to attend the issuer's annual general meeting to answer questions about the conduct of the audit, the preparation and content of the auditors' report, the accounting policies and auditor independence?
E.	Audito	or's Attendance at Annual General Meetings
	Is this	not the responsibility of an issuer rather than the Chairman?
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
		Yes
Questio	on 91.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state the issuer's chairman should arrange for the chairman of "any other committees" to attend the annual general meeting?
	Trans	parency.
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		No
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
Questio	on 90.	provision in Appendix 23 (re-numbered paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14) stating that issuer must disclose details of attendance at general meetings of each director by name?

2.	Shareho	olders' Rights
Ques	tion 93.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of "shareholders' rights" under paragraph 3 (b) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph O of Appendix 14)?
	V	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Comm	nunication with Shareholders
A.	Establ	ishing a Communication Policy
Ques	tion 94.	Do you agree with our proposed new CP E.1.4 stating that issuers should establish a shareholder communication policy?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
B.	Publis	hing Constitutional Documents on Website
Ques	tion 95.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.90 requiring issuers to publish an updated and consolidated version of their M & A or constitutional documents on their own website and the HKEx website?
	M	Vac

No

Please give reasons for your views.

No need to publish on HKEx website.

C.	C. Publishing Procedures for Election of Directors	
Quest	ion 96.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.51D requiring an issuer to publish the procedures for shareholders to propose a person for election as a director on its website?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
D. 1	Disclosi	ng Significant Changes to Constitutional Documents
Question 97.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of any significant change in the issuer's articles of association under paragraph 3(c)(i) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph P(a) of Appendix 14)?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
PART	TIII: C	OMPANY SECRETARY
1.	Comp	any Secretary's Qualifications, Experience and Training
Quest	ion 98.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Rule 3.28 on requirements for company secretaries' qualifications and experience?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	1	

Questio	on 99.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider as acceptable the list of qualifications for company secretaries set out in paragraph 345 of the Consultation Paper?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ver, the list should be non-exhaustive. We believe there should be flexibility ermining who should be acceptable on a "case-by-case" basis as well.
Questio	on 100.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 101.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Incons	sistent with HKEx becoming a listing venue for overseas companies.

Questio	on 102.	Do you agree with our proposal to repeal Rule 19A.16 so that Mainland issuers' company secretaries would need to meet the same requirements as for other countries?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 103.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a Rule 3.29 requiring company secretaries to attend 15 hours of professional training per financial year?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	of the	ink this should only apply if the company secretary is not already a member professional bodies set out in Para.345 as these individuals would have been subject to training requirements of their professional bodies.
Questio	on 104.	Do you agree with the proposed transitional arrangement on compliance with Rule 3.29 in paragraph 350 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	See re	sponse to Question 103 above.

2. New Section in Code on Company Secretary

Question 10	05. Do you agree with our proposal to include a new section of the Code on company secretary?
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
	elps to focus the issuer's mind as to the responsibilities of the company retary.
Question 10	06. Do you agree with the proposed principle as described in paragraph 362 of the Consultation Paper and set out in full in page 27 of Appendix II?
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
	No
Plea	ase give reasons for your views.
Question 10	07. Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.1 stating the company secretary should be an employee of the issuer and have knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day affairs?
	Yes
\square	No
Plea	ase give reasons for your views.
of p	ere is a lack of good company secretaries in Hong Kong. This will limit the use professional advisers. Small listed companies may not have a need for a fuller company secretary.

Questio	on 108.	Consultation Paper, that if an issuer employs an external service provider, it should disclose the identity of its issuer contact person?		
		Yes		
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
		nal service provider should have the reporting lines sorted out anyway. The ection already says that the company secretary reports to the Board.		
Questio	on 109.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.2 stating that the selection, appointment or dismissal of the company secretary should be the subject of a board decision?		
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
Questio	on 110.	Do you agree with our proposed note to CP F.1.2 stating that the board decision to select, appoint or dismiss the company secretary should be made at a physical board meeting and not dealt with by written board resolution?		
		Yes		
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No		
	Please give reasons for your views.			
	See re	sponse to Question 54 above.		

Questio	on 111.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.3 stating that the company secretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?			
		Yes			
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
	They s	hould report to all directors.			
Questio	on 112.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the company secretary should maintain a record of directors training?			
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes			
		No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
СНАР	TER 3	: PROPOSED NON-SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS			
1.	Defini	tion of "Announcement" and "Announce"			
Questio	on 113.	Do you agree with our proposal to include a definition in the Rules for the terms "announcement" and "announce" as described in paragraph 371 of the Consultation Paper?			
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes			
		No			
	Please give reasons for your views.				

2.	Autho	rised Representatives' Contact Details
Questio	on 114.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 3.06(1) to add a reference to authorised representatives "mobile and other telephone numbers, email and correspondence addresses" and "any other contract details prescribed by the Exchange may prescribe from time to time"?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Mergi	ng Corporate Governance Report Requirements into Appendix 14
Questio	on 115.	Do you agree with our proposal to merge Appendix 23 into Appendix 14 for ease of reference?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 116.	Do you agree with our proposal to streamline Appendix 23 and to make plain language amendments to it?
	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.