Consultation Questions Part B

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the **HKEx** website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/documents/cp2010124.pdf.

Where there	is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.
CHAPTER	1: INTRODUCTION
Plain Writii	ng Amendments
Question 1.	Do you have any comments on the plainer writing amendments? Do you consider any part(s) of the plainer writing amendments will have unintended consequences?
	Yes
\square	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
CHAPTER	2: PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS
PART I: DI	IRECTORS
1. Direc	ctors' Duties and Time Commitments
Question 2.	Do you agree with our proposed change to Rule 3.08 to clarify the responsibilities the Exchange expects of directors?
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
It wi	Ill help to set the expectations from the directors.

Question 3.		Do you agree with our proposed addition of the Note to Rule 3.08 referring to the guidance issued by the Companies Registry and HKIOD?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	direct	k the Code should provide its own guidance which should be more specific to ors in listed companies although it will probably be in line with those issued CR and HKIOD.
Questio	on 4.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(e)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should regularly review the time required from a director to perform his responsibilities to the issuer, and whether he is meeting that requirement?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	That v	will form part of the directors' evaluations.
Questio	on 5.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(f)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should review NEDs' annual confirmation that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	_	rt of the directors' evaluations. However, there will be practical difficulty in ng the directors' time utilisation, other than meeting attendance records.

Questio	on 6.	Do you agree to include a disclosure requirement in the Corporate Governance Report (paragraph L(d)(ii) of Appendix 14) that NEDs have made annual confirmation to the nomination committee that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		s back to the question of what is considered sufficient. Companies might set er threshold to ensure positive disclosure.
Questio	on 7.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3(re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that a director should limit his other professional commitments and acknowledge to the issuer that he will have sufficient time to meet his obligations?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	I do n	ot think it will have any practical effect.
Questio	on 8.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3 (re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that an NED should confirm annually to the nomination committee that he has spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 9.		Do you agree to upgrading RBP D.1.4 to a CP (re-numbered CP D.1.4) and amending it to state that an NED's letter of appointment should set out the expected time commitment?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		should be mentioning of the expectation that he/she should devote sufficient n the directorship but is not practical to put down a number.
Questio	on 10.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP A.5.6 to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.6) and to amending it to encourage timeliness of disclosure by a director to the issuer on any change to his significant commitments?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Timel	y disclosure is a practice that should be encouraged.
Questic	on 11.	Do you consider that there should be a limit on the number of INED positions an individual may hold?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	than a Nomi	red senior executive can spend significantly more time in INED positions a working person. I think expectations / guidance should be given to the nation Committee to consider the no of directorship the candidate is holding g selection / re-election.
Questio	on 12.	If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", what should be the number? Please give reasons for your views.

Question 13.		If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", do you think that it should be a Rule or a CP?
		Rule
		CP
	Please	give reasons for your views.
2.	Direct	ors' Training and Independent Non-executive Directors
Questio	on 14.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous professional development) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.5)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		orship is not exactly a profession like accountant or lawyer. Rather the Code provide guidance on competency required of the directors.
Questic	on 15.	Do you agree that the minimum number of hours of directors training should be eight?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	See ab	pove.
Questic	on 16.	What training methods do you consider to be acceptable for the requirements stated in the proposed CP (re-numbered RBP A.6.5)? Please give reasons for your views.
	Coach	ing as most directors are senior people who don't want to be trained.

7. Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.3.2 (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) to a Rule (re-numbered Rule 3.10A)?
Yes
No
se give reasons for your views.
ng Kong should be in line with international best practice but there will be ctical difficulty for the SMEs to find suitable and willing INEDs.
3. Do you agree that this Rule (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) be effective after a transitional period as described in paragraph 87 of the Consultation Paper?
Yes
No
se give reasons for your views.
ilar to introduction of a new accounting standard, the effective date should acide with the financial year end of the company to avoid issues in disclosure.
Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.4.3 (shareholder to vote on a separate resolution for the further employment of an INED who has served more than nine years) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.4.3)?
Yes
No
se give reasons for your views.

Question 20.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.4.8 (issuer should include explanation of its reasons for election and independence of an INED in a circular) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.5.5)?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	To im	prove transparency.
3.	Board	Committees
A.	Remur	neration Committee
Questio	on 21.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to establish a remuneration committee with a majority of INED members from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.25)?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	separa the Te	larger issuers have already had remuneration committees anyway. A te committee might present practical difficulty for smaller issuers. I think terms of Reference on the function to evaluate directors' (and perhaps senior tives') compensation is more important. The Code should provide some nice.
Questio	on 22.	Do you agree with our proposal that the remuneration committee must be chaired by an INED?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 23.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to have written terms of reference for the remuneration committee from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.26)?	
	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
Questio	on 24.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule (Rule 3.27) requiring an issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please give reasons for your views.		
	To im	prove disclosure.	
Questio	on 25.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers that fail to meet Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27 should have three months to rectify this?	
		Yes	
		No	
Questio	on 26.	Do you agree that we should add "independent" to the professional advice made available to a remuneration committee (CP B.1.2, re-numbered CP B.1.1)?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	

Question 27.	Do you agree that, in order to accommodate Model B, we should revise CP B.1.3 (re-numbered CP B.1.2) as described in paragraph 117 of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
To im	prove transparency.
Question 28.	(i) Do you agree that where the board resolves to approve any remuneration with which the remuneration committee disagrees, the board should disclose the reasons for its resolution in its corporate governance report)? (ii) If your answer is "yes", do you agree that RBP B.1.8 should be revised and upgraded to a CP (re-numbered CP B.1.6).
(i)	Yes No
(ii)	Yes V No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
Question 29.	Do you agree that the term "performance-based" should be deleted from CP B.1.2(c) (re-numbered CP B.1.2(b)) and revised as described in paragraph 118 of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
It sho	ould be in line with the evaluation process.

Question 30.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.4 (establishment and composition of a nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.1) should be upgraded to a CP?
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
face p	is in line with international best practice. Although smaller issuers might practical difficulties, it allows investors to be better informed (an issuer has to in why it cannot compile with the CP).
Question 31.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.4) should state that the nomination committee's chairman should be an INED?
	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
	hairman on the other hand needs to have certain years of experience with the d in order to make informed selection of candidates.
Question 32.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.5 (nomination committee's terms of reference, renumbered CP A.5.2) should be upgraded to a CP?
\square	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
See c	omments to Question 30.

B.

Nomination Committee

Questio	on 33.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should be performed at least once a year?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	The real	eview will form part of the board evaluation which is currently proposed to be
Questio	on 34.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should implement the issuer's corporate strategy?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	to set	of the Board's responsibility to implement the corporate strategy. It's role is the direction and strategy. The structure, size and composition of the board ontributing factors but not the only ones.
Questio	on 35.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.6 (availability of nomination committee's terms of reference) should be upgraded to a CP?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 36	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.6, re-numbered CP A.5.3) should state that issuers should include their nomination committee's terms of reference on the HKEx website?
\square	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
Question 37	Do you agree that RBP A.4.7 (sufficient resources for the nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should be upgraded to a CP?
	Yes
\checkmark	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
Question 38	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.7, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should clarify that a nomination committee should be able to seek independent professional advice at the issuer's expense?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
	ieve seeking professional advice should be a general right of the Board, not the Nomination Committee.

C.	Corpo	rate Governance Committee
Question 39.		Do you agree with the proposed terms of reference listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons and alternative suggestions.
		are however overlapping functions with the Audit Committee (on liance aspects). The two committees' TORs need to be clearly defined.
Questi	on 40.	Do you consider that the committee(s) performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should submit to the board a written report on its work annually?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ommittee should be responsible for the submission of the Corporate rnance Report which forms part of the Annual Report for the Board's val.
Questi	on 41.	Do you consider that this report (as described in paragraph 140 of the Consultation Paper) should be published as part of the issuer's corporate governance report?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Shoul	d be the same report.

Question	n 42.	establish a corporate governance committee?
		Yes
5	$\overline{\mathcal{A}}$	No
F	Please	give reasons for your views.
	_	not the form but the substance of having someone responsible for corporate nance is important.
Question	n 43.	Do you agree the duties of an existing committee or committees can be expanded to include those of a corporate governance committee?
5		Yes
[No
F	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Yes. I	For example, the nomination and remuneration committee.
Question	n 44.	Do you agree with the addition of CP D.3.2 stating that the committee performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should comprise a majority of INEDs?
5	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	Yes
[No
F	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ecessarily. The committee should be chaired by the Board chairman and both ED/INED should be represented.
<u>L</u>		

Question 45.		Do you agree with the proposal to add a note to CP D.3.2 stating that the committee should include one member who is an executive director or non-executive director with sufficient knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day operations?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
D.	Audit	committee
Questio	on 46.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.3.7 (audit committee's terms of reference should include arrangements for employees to raise concerns about improprieties in financial reporting) to a CP?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	A whis	stle-blower policy.
Questio	on 47.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP C.3.3(e)(i) to state that the audit committee should meet the external auditor at least twice a year?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	A com	petent audit committee should meet the external auditor as and when d.

Questio	on 48.	Do you agree that a new RBP should be introduced to encourage audit committees to establish a whistleblowing policy?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
4.	Remu	neration of Directors, CEO and Senior Management
Questio	on 49.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers should disclose senior management remuneration by band (Appendix 16, new paragraph 25A)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 50.	If your answer to Question 49 is yes, do you agree with our proposal that senior management remuneration disclosure should include sales commission?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 51	disclose the CEO's remuneration in its annual report and by name?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
Question 52	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP B.1.6 to a CP (a significant proportion of executive directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rewards to corporate and individual performance, re-numbered CP B.1.5)?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
This	is a commercial decision and most companies are doing that anyway.
5. Boar	rd Evaluation
Question 53	. Do you agree with our proposal to add new RBP B.1.8 that issuers should conduct a regular evaluation of its own and individual directors' performance?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
Like	any organisations, evaluation should help facilitate improvement.

6. Board Meetings

A.	Considering a matter where there is a conflict of interest by a physical board meet rather than a written board resolution	
Questio	on 54.	Do you agree that, except for plain language amendments, the wording of CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) should be retained (issuers to hold a board meeting to discuss resolutions on a material matter where a substantial directors or a director has a conflict of interest)?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Suffic	ient flexibility is allowed in current wording.
Questio	on 55.	Do you agree with our proposals to add a note to CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) stating that attendance at board meetings can be achieved by telephonic or video conferencing?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	For pr	ractical reason.
В.	Directo	ors' Attendance at Board Meetings
Questio	on 56.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the notes to paragraph $I(c)$ of Appendix 14 (on attendance at board meetings) as described in paragraph 195 of the Consultation Paper?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question	15/.	to Appendix 14) that attendance by an alternate should not be counted as attendance by the director himself?
5	Ø	Yes
		No
F	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question	n 58.	Do you agree with our proposal that an issuer disclose, for each named director, the number of board or committee meetings he attended and separately the number of board or committee meetings attended by his alternate?
5	Ø	Yes
		No
P	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Remov in Inte	ring Five Percent Threshold for Voting on a Resolution in which a Director has rest
Question	ı 59.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 13.44 to remove the exemption described in paragraph 199 (transactions where a director has an interest)?
		Yes
5	I	No
P	Please	give reasons for your views.

7. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Question 60.		Do you agree with our proposal to remove the words "at the board level" from Code Principle A.2 to clarify the division between management of the board and day-to-day management of an issuer's business?			
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes			
		No			
	Please	Please give reasons for your views.			
Question 61		Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.2.3 to add "accurate" and "clear" to describe the information that the chairman should ensure directors receive?			
		Yes			
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
	The C subjec	hairman relies on management to supply accurate information and "clarity" is tive.			
Questio	on 62.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.4 to a CP to give greater emphasis to the chairman's duty to provide leadership for the board, to ensure that the board works effectively and discharges its responsibilities, etc.?			
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes			
		No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
	Yes, e.	xactly the roles of the chairman.			

Questi	on 63.	state: "The chairman should take primary responsibility for ensuring that good corporate governance practices and procedures are established"?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Yes, t	he chairman plays a key role in promoting good CG.
Questi	on 64.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.6 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's responsibility to encourage directors with different views to voice their concerns, allow sufficient time for discussion of issues and build consensus?
	\square	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	-	telp to encourage contributions by different directors, particularly in family- olled companies.
Questi	on 65.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.7 to a CP and amend it to state that the chairman should hold separate meetings with only INEDs and only NEDs at least once a year?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	An ef	fective chairman would have done that anyway formally or informally.

Questio	on 66.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.8 to a CP to highlight the chairman's role to ensure effective communication between the board and shareholders?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 67.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.9 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's role to enable NED contributions and constructive relations between EDs and NEDs?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	This is	s vague and how can one ensure that.
8.	Notify	ing directorship change and disclosure of directors' information
Questio	on 68.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to require issuers to disclose the retirement or removal of a director or supervisor?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 69.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to apply to the appointment, resignation, re-designation, retirement or removal of a CEO (and not only to a director or supervisor)?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 70.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2)(o) to cover all civil judgments of fraud, breach of duty or other misconduct involving dishonesty?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 71.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51B(3)(c) to clarify that the sanctions referred to in that Rule are those made against the issuer (and not those of other issuers)?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 72.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.3.3 to a CP to ensure that directors' information is published on an issuer's website?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	to time	might be practical difficulty as a director's profile might change from time (unlike disclosure in annual report which is done once a year at a point of Certain guideline as to the information's currency will be helpful.
Questio	on 73.	Do you agree with our proposed amendment to the CP (RBP A.3.3 upgraded) that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	See ab	oove.
9.	Provid	ling Management Accounts or Management Updates to the Board
Questio	on 74.	Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provide board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the Consultation Paper?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

10.		Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the 's Subsidiaries
Questio	on 75.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix) removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	The di	sclosure is a demonstration of transparency.
Questio	on 76.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
11.	Disclos Value	sing Long Term Basis on which an Issuer Generates or Preserves Business
Questio	on 77.	Do you agree that we should introduce the proposed CP (CP C.1.4) as described in paragraph 250 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	The pr	roposed information should be included in the chairman's statement and/or the A.

12.	Direct	ors' Insurance			
Questi	on 78.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.1.9 (issuers should arrange appropriate insurance for directors) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.1.8)?			
		Yes			
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
	requir	Insurance is only one of the risk transfer solutions. The company should be required to have adequate risk management strategies to manage risks including D&O risks.			
Questi	on 79.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the words "adequate and general" to RBP A.1.9 (upgraded and re-numbered CP A.1.8)?			
		Yes			
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
PART	II: SH	AREHOLDERS			
1.	Sharel	nolders' General Meetings			
A.	Notice	of Meeting and Bundling of Resolutions			
Questio	on 80.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.1.1 to state that issuers should avoid "bundling" of resolutions and where they are "bundled" explain the reasons and material implications in the notice of meeting?			
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes			
		No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			

To protect shareholders' rights.

B.	Voting	by Poll
Questio	on 81.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.39(4) to allow a chairman at a general meeting to exempt procedural and administrative matters described in paragraph 274 of the Consultation Paper from voting by poll?
		Yes
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		atters that require voting by shareholders in AGM are important, no matter dministrative it might seem.
Questio	on 82.	Do you agree with the examples of procedural and administrative resolutions in paragraph 275 of the Consultation paper? Do you have any other examples to add?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 83.	Do you agree that our proposed amendments to Rule 13.39(5) clarify disclosure in poll results?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 84.	the commencement of the meeting" so that an issuer's chairman can explain the procedures for conducting a poll later during a general meeting?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Shareh	olders' Approval to Appoint and Remove an Auditor
Questio	on 85.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new Rule 13.88 to require shareholder approval to appoint the issuer's auditor?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		nat basis can the shareholders, who have no interaction with the auditor, the decision.
Questic	on 86.	Do you agree with our proposal to add, in new Rule 13.88, a requirement for shareholder approval to remove the issuer's auditor before the end of his term of office?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	The B	oard needs to explain to shareholders the decision in AGM.

Question	on 87.	Do you agree that the new Rule 13.88 should require a circular for the removal of the auditor to shareholders containing any written representation from the auditor and allow the auditor to make written and/or verbal representation at the general meeting to remove him?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
D.	Directo	ors' Attendance at Meetings
Questio	on 88.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance at meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Attend	lance is a clear measurement of a director's commitment.
Questio	on 89.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make a positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policies) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Too ve	ague. That's a key role of the director anyway.

Questio	ON 90.	provision in Appendix 23 (re-numbered paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14) stating that issuer must disclose details of attendance at general meetings of each director by name?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 91.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state the issuer's chairman should arrange for the chairman of "any other committees" to attend the annual general meeting?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
E.	Audito	r's Attendance at Annual General Meetings
Questio	on 92.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state that the chairman should arrange for the auditor to attend the issuer's annual general meeting to answer questions about the conduct of the audit, the preparation and content of the auditors' report, the accounting policies and auditor independence?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	The at	uditor should make themselves available for the AGM.

2.	Shareho	olders' Rights
Ques	tion 93.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of "shareholders' rights" under paragraph 3 (b) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph O of Appendix 14)?
	\square	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Comm	nunication with Shareholders
A.	Establi	shing a Communication Policy
Ques	tion 94.	Do you agree with our proposed new CP E.1.4 stating that issuers should establish a shareholder communication policy?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	With a	policy, one can gauge its effectiveness.
B.	Publisl	ning Constitutional Documents on Website
Ques	tion 95.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.90 requiring issuers to publish an updated and consolidated version of their M & A or constitutional documents on their own website and the HKEx website?
	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

C.	Publis	shing Procedures for Election of Directors
Questi	ion 96.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.51D requiring an issuer to publish the procedures for shareholders to propose a person for election as a director on its website?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
D. I	Disclosi	ing Significant Changes to Constitutional Documents
Questi	ion 97.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of any significant change in the issuer's articles of association under paragraph 3(c)(i) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph P(a) of Appendix 14)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
PART	ſIII: C	COMPANY SECRETARY
1.	Comp	pany Secretary's Qualifications, Experience and Training
Questi	ion 98.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Rule 3.28 on requirements for company secretaries' qualifications and experience?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
	CS do	pes play a key role in promoting CG.

Questio	on 99.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider as acceptable the list of qualifications for company secretaries set out in paragraph 345 of the Consultation Paper?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Should	d allow dual role (e.g. company sec and legal counsel)
Questio	on 100.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 101.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		O does not need to be an ordinarily resident. Why should a company ary be?

	Releva hours.	ant CPD with their respective professions should also count to the 15
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		No
		Yes
Questio	on 104.	Do you agree with the proposed transitional arrangement on compliance with Rule 3.29 in paragraph 350 of the Consultation Paper?
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		No
		Yes
Questio	on 103.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a Rule 3.29 requiring company secretaries to attend 15 hours of professional training per financial year?
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		No
		Yes
Questio	on 102.	Do you agree with our proposal to repeal Rule 19A.16 so that Mainland issuers' company secretaries would need to meet the same requirements as for other countries?

2. New Section in Code on Company Secretary

Question 105.		Do you agree with our proposal to include a new section of the Code on company secretary?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	the Co	ompany secretary's role is to ensure the compliance and implementation of the company. Beside, their respective professions will have their own f conducts anyway.
Questio	on 106.	Do you agree with the proposed principle as described in paragraph 362 of the Consultation Paper and set out in full in page 27 of Appendix II?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 107.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.1 stating the company secretary should be an employee of the issuer and have knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day affairs?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Do you agree with our proposal described in paragraph 364 of the Consultation Paper, that if an issuer employs an external service provider, it should disclose the identity of its issuer contact person?
Yes
No
give reasons for your views.
Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.2 stating that the selection, appointment or dismissal of the company secretary should be the subject of a board decision?
Yes
No
give reasons for your views.
Do you agree with our proposed note to CP F.1.2 stating that the board decision to select, appoint or dismiss the company secretary should be made at a physical board meeting and not dealt with by written board resolution?
Yes
No
give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 111.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.3 stating that the company secretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	The co	ompany secretary should be reporting to the CEO.
Questio	on 112.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the company secretary should maintain a record of directors training?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	If train	ning is required, the company secretary will be the best person to keep the ls.
СНАР	TER 3	: PROPOSED NON-SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS
1.	Defini	tion of "Announcement" and "Announce"
Questio	on 113.	Do you agree with our proposal to include a definition in the Rules for the terms "announcement" and "announce" as described in paragraph 371 of the Consultation Paper?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

2.	Autho	rised Representatives' Contact Details
Question	on 114.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 3.06(1) to add a reference to authorised representatives "mobile and other telephone numbers, email and correspondence addresses" and "any other contract details prescribed by the Exchange may prescribe from time to time"?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Mergi	ng Corporate Governance Report Requirements into Appendix 14
Questi	on 115.	Do you agree with our proposal to merge Appendix 23 into Appendix 14 for ease of reference?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 116.	Do you agree with our proposal to streamline Appendix 23 and to make plain language amendments to it?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No

Please give reasons for your views.