Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/documents/cp2010124.pdf.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Plain Writing Amendments

Question 1.	Do you have any comments on the plainer writing amendments? Do you
	consider any part(s) of the plainer writing amendments will have unintended
	consequences?

√ Yes

■ No

Please give reasons for your views.

By using plain language, it is easier for readers (including issuers, market practitioners, investors etc.) to understand the requirements of the Listing Rules and CG Code.

We do not consider any part of the plainer writing amendments will result in unintended consequences.

CHAPTER 2: PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS

PART I: DIRECTORS

1. Directors' Duties and Time Commitments

Question 2. Do you agree with our proposed change to Rule 3.08 to clarify the responsibilities the Exchange expects of directors?

√ Yes

■ No

Please give reasons for your views.

The proposed wording clarifies the expected responsibilities of directors. Factors determining whether a director meets the expected standard of care, skill and diligence are set out in the proposed amended rules for ease of reference.

Question 3.	Do you agree with our proposed addition of the Note to Rule 3.08 referring to the guidance issued by the Companies Registry and HKIOD?
	Yes
$\sqrt{}$	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
	fficient for directors to refer to these guidelines separately. There is no need for these nes to be referred to in the Listing Rules / CP.
Question 4.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(e)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should regularly review the time required from a director to perform his responsibilities to the issuer, and whether he is meeting that requirement?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
TheIt isDirtheTin	oposal is considered too rigid and impracticable to achieve the purpose: ere is no direct correlation between time spent and quality of work; difficult to measure or verify the time spent by a director to discharge his duty; ectors are of different background and experience, the time required to discharge in duties is not the same; he required also depends on the nature, level and complexity of the business evities/corporate transaction carried out by the company during the year.
	ministrative cost for the company may be too high and it would be too onerous for ector to review regularly the time spent of a director.
Question 5.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(f)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should review NEDs' annual confirmation that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Please	e refer to Question 4.

Questi	on 6.	Do you agree to include a disclosure requirement in the Corporate Governance Report (paragraph L(d)(ii) of Appendix 14) that NEDs have made annual confirmation to the nomination committee that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to Question 4.
Questi	on 7.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3 (re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that a director should limit his other professional commitments and acknowledge to the issuer that he will have sufficient time to meet his obligations?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		pability of each person varies. Limiting the number of professional commitments will deprive listed company the opportunities to get qualified and capable INED.
		pard will exercise discretion in judging whether a director can discharge its duties and sibilities.
Questi	on 8.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3 (re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that an NED should confirm annually to the nomination committee that he has spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to Question 7.
Questi	on 9.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP D.1.4 to a CP (re-numbered CP D.1.4) and amending it to state that an NED's letter of appointment should set out the expected time commitment?
		Yes
		No

Directors are of different background and experience. The time required to discharge duties is not the same. Moreover, an issuer may engage in various business activities and enter into a wide range of transactions or deal with various matters from time to time. The requirements under the applicable rules and regulations will vary as well. It is impossible to set out the expected time commitment in the appointment letter when a director has been appointed Question 10. Do you agree to upgrading RBP A.5.6 to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.6) and to amending it to encourage timeliness of disclosure by a director to the issuer on any change to his significant commitments? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Timely disclosure is encouraged for better corporate governance Question 11. Do you consider that there should be a limit on the number of INED positions an individual may hold? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. The capability of each person varies. It is a judgement of the individual on how many directorship one can take up. It is also the Board's judgement, when deciding his appointment, on whether the individual can discharge his duties given his existing commitments. Limiting the number of professional commitments will deprive listed company the opportunities to get qualified and capable INED. Question 12. If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", what should be the number? Please give reasons for your views. Please refer to Question 11. Question 13. If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", do you think that it should be a Rule or a CP?

Rule

		CP
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to Question 11.
2.	Direct	ors' Training and Independent Non-executive Directors
Questio	on 14.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous professional development) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.5)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	_	directors may be in relevant professional field and already possess such knowledge king it mandatory is not necessary.
	and sk	ors owe duty of care and thus they themselves should acquire sufficient knowledge ill to discharge their duties so the directors and the company will consider to obtain / e training as appropriate.
	It is mo	ore appropriate to remain as a RBP.
Questio	on 15.	Do you agree that the minimum number of hours of directors training should be eight?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to Question 14.
Questio	on 16.	What training methods do you consider to be acceptable for the requirements stated in the proposed CP (re-numbered RBP A.6.5)? Please give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to Question 14.
Questio	on 17.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.3.2 (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) to a Rule (re-numbered Rule 3.10A)?
		Yes

		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Neutral	
	Not obj	ecting as most companies have already complied with this.
Questic	on 18.	Do you agree that this Rule (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) be effective after a transitional period as described in paragraph 87 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Neutral	. Please refer to the reason stated in Question 17.
Questic	on 19.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.4.3 (shareholder to vote on a separate resolution for the further employment of an INED who has served more than nine years) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.4.3)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		nnot assume a director's objectivity and independence would be impaired due to his priod of service.
	clearly	g as INED's year of service and relationship with the company have been disclosed to the shareholders, there is no need to make it a special resolution. It is also essary for this requirement to be upgraded to a CP.
Questic	on 20.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.4.8 (issuer should include explanation of its reasons for election and independence of an INED in a circular) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.5.5)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

	This e	nables shareholders to make informed decisions.
3.	Board	l Committees
A.	Remu	neration Committee
Questi	on 21.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to establish a remuneration committee with a majority of INED members from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.25)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 22.	Do you agree with our proposal that the remuneration committee must be chaired by an INED?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	chairm	that the remuneration committee comprised a majority of INEDs and so long as the nan does not have a casting vote and chairman should abstain from voting on matter ne/she has an interest, we do not see a need for such proposed amendment.
Questi	on 23.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to have written terms of reference for the remuneration committee from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.26)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 2	issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27?
	Yes
	No
Plea	ase give reasons for your views.
This	s proposal is in line with the requirement of audit committee.
Question 2	5. Do you agree with our proposal that issuers that fail to meet Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27 should have three months to rectify this?
	Yes
	No
Thi	s proposal is in line with the requirement of audit committee
Question 2	5. Do you agree that we should add "independent" to the professional advice made available to a remuneration committee (CP B.1.2, re-numbered CP B.1.1)?
	Yes
	No
Plea	ase give reasons for your views.
Question 2	7. Do you agree that, in order to accommodate Model B, we should revise CP B.1.3 (re-numbered CP B.1.2) as described in paragraph 117 of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	No
Plea	ase give reasons for your views.

		jecting as it would give listed companies flexibility to select an appropriate model for anagement structures.
Questi	on 28.	(i) Do you agree that where the board resolves to approve any remuneration with which the remuneration committee disagrees, the board should disclose the reasons for its resolution in its corporate governance report)? (ii) If your answer is "yes", do you agree that RBP B.1.8 should be revised and upgraded to a CP (re-numbered CP B.1.6).
	(i)	☐ Yes √ No
	(ii)	Yes No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	conser	mmon and normal for disagreement to arise during discussion. It is important that a issus of board is reached eventually. Full board decision should prevail as long as no r is involved in deciding his own remuneration.
Questio	on 29.	Do you agree that the term "performance-based" should be deleted from CP B.1.2(c) (re-numbered CP B.1.2(b)) and revised as described in paragraph 118 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
В.	Nomin	ation Committee
Questio	on 30.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.4 (establishment and composition of a nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.1) should be upgraded to a CP?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Neutral.

The duties to be undertaken by the nomination committee should involve the input from the executive directors who are more familiar with the business and operations of the company. They would be in a better position to review the size, composition (including skills, knowledge, etc.) and to identify individual with suitable qualifications as director. This matter should be considered and approved by the full board where all directors (including INED) can give their views and then reach a consensus.

Questi	on 31.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.4) should state that the nomination committee's chairman should be an INED?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	e refer to Question 30.
Questi	on 32.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.5 (nomination committee's terms of reference, renumbered CP A.5.2) should be upgraded to a CP?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	e refer to Question 30.
	,	
Questi	on 33.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should be performed at least once a year?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	e refer to Question 30.
Questi	on 34.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should implement the issuer's corporate strategy?
		Yes
		No

	Please give reasons for your views.	
	Please	refer to Question 30.
Questic	on 35.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.6 (availability of nomination committee's terms of reference) should be upgraded to a CP?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		rule amendment has been adopted, the terms of reference of audit committee and eration committee should also be posted on HKEx website and issuer's website.
Questic	on 36.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.6, re-numbered CP A.5.3) should state that issuers should include their nomination committee's terms of reference on the HKEx website?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
1	Neutral	
Questic	on 37.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.7 (sufficient resources for the nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should be upgraded to a CP?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

A.5.4) should clarify that a nomination committee should be able to seek independent professional advice at the issuer's expense?

Question 38. Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.7, re-numbered CP

	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Corpoi	rate Governance Committee
Questio	on 39.	Do you agree with the proposed terms of reference listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons and alternative suggestions.
	Subjec	et to our answer to Question 42.
Questio	on 40.	Do you consider that the committee(s) performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should submit to the board a written report on its work annually?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	e refer to Question 42 below.
		spective committee responsible for the relevant duties would submit a report to the so there is no need to duplicate.
Questic	on 41.	Do you consider that this report (as described in paragraph 140 of the Consultation Paper) should be published as part of the issuer's corporate governance report?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

C	Conten	t similar to the existing corporate governance report. No need to duplicate.
Question	ı 42.	Do you agree with introducing RBP D.3.3 stating that an issuer should establish a corporate governance committee?
		Yes
V	/	No
P	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ate governance is the responsibility of the entire board and not the corporate ance committee alone.
		ntioned in paragraph 140 of the consultation paper, the establishment of a corporate ance committee is not a common practice in other jurisdictions.
Question	n 43.	Do you agree the duties of an existing committee or committees can be expanded to include those of a corporate governance committee?
		Yes
		No
P	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ndling of the proposed duties by the existing committees will enhance efficiency and dditional compliance cost. Please also refer to Question 42.
Question		Do you agree with the addition of CP D.3.2 stating that the committee performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should comprise a majority of INEDs?
		Yes
		No
P	Please	give reasons for your views.
F	Please	refer to Question 42.
<u></u>		

Question 45. Do you agree with the proposal to add a note to CP D.3.2 stating that the committee should include one member who is an executive director or non-executive director with sufficient knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day operations?

		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to Question 42.
D.	Audit o	committee
Questio	on 46.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.3.7 (audit committee's terms of reference should include arrangements for employees to raise concerns about improprieties in financial reporting) to a CP?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	interna	important step for employees to be able to raise an alarm on financial reporting, I control and other matters. Such proposal enhances corporate governance of issuer s beneficial to the company and shareholders as a whole.
Questio	on 47.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP C.3.3(e)(i) to state that the audit committee should meet the external auditor at least twice a year?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	audit c	reports need not be audited so it may not be meaningful for the auditors to meet with ommittee. Having said that auditors are always accessible to the audit committee they find necessary.
Questic	on 48.	Do you agree that a new RBP should be introduced to encourage audit committees to establish a whistleblowing policy?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

4. Remuneration of Directors, CEO and Senior Management

Question 49.		Do you agree with our proposal that issuers should disclose senior management remuneration by band (Appendix 16, new paragraph 25A)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	benefi paid to	onder if disclosure of this level of details is necessary and would bring any real ts to shareholders. Director emoluments by name and the aggregate amount of the five highest paid individuals are required to be disclosed under the current g Rules.
Questio	on 50.	If your answer to Question 49 is yes, do you agree with our proposal that senior management remuneration disclosure should include sales commission?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to Question 49.
Questio	on 51.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Appendix 16 to require an issuer to disclose the CEO's remuneration in its annual report and by name?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	The pro	oposal will increase transparency.
Questio	on 52.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP B.1.6 to a CP (a significant proportion of executive directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rewards to corporate and individual performance, re-numbered CP B.1.5)?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No

It should leave to the company to structure the remuneration package that is most suitable to it, taking into account the nature of business and the job scope and responsibilities of the directors. Therefore, company should not be bound to have a "significant" portion of the directors' remuneration to be linked to performance.

5. Board Evaluation

Questi	on 53.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new RBP B.1.8 that issuers should conduct a regular evaluation of its own and individual directors' performance?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	It wou perforr	ld be impracticable to derive an effective and fair methodology to measure their nance.
6.	Board	Meetings
A.		dering a matter where there is a conflict of interest by a physical board meeting than a written board resolution
Questi	on 54.	Do you agree that, except for plain language amendments, the wording of CFA.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) should be retained (issuers to hold a board meeting to discuss resolutions on a material matter where a substantial directors or a director has a conflict of interest)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

their concerns (if any) to other board members or management of issuer. A director has right under the constitution to request for a physical meeting should they consider appropriate. We see no need for dictating the mode of meeting.

No matter whether such issue is to be considered by way of circulation of board resolution or holding of physical meeting, directors should consider all the issues carefully and voice

Question 55. Do you agree with our proposals to add a note to CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) stating that attendance at board meetings can be achieved by telephonic or video conferencing?

We see no need for dictating the mode of the meeting.

	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		reasonable proposal In light of the advancement of technology. Moreover, directors we time in travelling for a physical meeting.
В.	Directo	ors' Attendance at Board Meetings
Questio	on 56.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the notes to paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14 (on attendance at board meetings) as described in paragraph 195 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 57.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new requirement (paragraph I(d) to Appendix 14) that attendance by an alternate should not be counted as attendance by the director himself?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		attendance by an alternate is counted as attendance by the director him/herself and the same legal power and responsibility, and hence it should be reflected as such.
		ree that issuer should disclose separately the number of board or committee gs attended by a director himself and by his alternate.
Questio	on 58.	Do you agree with our proposal that an issuer disclose, for each named director, the number of board or committee meetings he attended and separately the number of board or committee meetings attended by his alternate?
		Yes
		No

		attendance is one of the criteria, although it is not the most important one, to evaluate rformance of a director.
C.	Removan Inte	ving Five Percent Threshold for Voting on a Resolution in which a Director has erest
Questi	on 59.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 13.44 to remove the exemption described in paragraph 199 (transactions where a director has an interest)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		terest which the director holds may be immaterial. So excluding him may lead to cient quorum to consider the matter.
7.	Chair	man and Chief Executive Officer
Questi	on 60.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the words "at the board level" from Code Principle A.2 to clarify the division between management of the board and day-to-day management of an issuer's business?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 61.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.2.3 to add "accurate" and "clear" to describe the information that the chairman should ensure directors receive?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 62	. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.4 to a CP to give greater emphasis to the chairman's duty to provide leadership for the board, to ensure that the board works effectively and discharges its responsibilities, etc.?
	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Question 63	. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.5 to a CP and amend it to state: "The chairman should take primary responsibility for ensuring that good corporate governance practices and procedures are established"?
	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Question 64	. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.6 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's responsibility to encourage directors with different views to voice their concerns, allow sufficient time for discussion of issues and build consensus?
	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Question 65	. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.7 to a CP and amend it to state that the chairman should hold separate meetings with only INEDs and only NEDs at least once a year?
	Yes
	No

	Please	give reasons for your views.
·	No nee	ed to make it mandatory. INEDs have the right to speak to Chairman if they consider eary.
Questic	on 66.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.8 to a CP to highlight the chairman's role to ensure effective communication between the board and shareholders?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
]		
Questic	on 67.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.9 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's role to enable NED contributions and constructive relations between EDs and NEDs?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
8.	Notify	ing directorship change and disclosure of directors' information
Questio	on 68.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to require issuers to disclose the retirement or removal of a director or supervisor?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 69. Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to apply to the appointment, resignation, re-designation, retirement or removal of a CEO (and not only to a director or supervisor)?

		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	CEO is	a key personnel of a company.
Questic		Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2)(o) to cover all civil judgments of fraud, breach of duty or other misconduct involving dishonesty?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	The inf	ormation provided will be more complete.
Questic	on 71.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51B(3)(c) to clarify that the sanctions referred to in that Rule are those made against the issuer (and not those of other issuers)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questic	on 72.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.3.3 to a CP to ensure that directors' information is published on an issuer's website?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questic	on 73.	Do you agree with our proposed amendment to the CP (RBP A.3.3 upgraded) that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website?
		Yes

		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Neutra	ıl.
9.	Provid	ling Management Accounts or Management Updates to the Board
Questi	on 74.	Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provide board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	sufficie brough	erly board meetings are held to the update directors of the latest development is ent. If there is anything exceptional happened in between the meetings, it should be not to the attention to the board immediately. Monthly update will be to inundate ors with unnecessary information of no great value and to lead to additional cost to the lany.
10.		Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the 's Subsidiaries
Questi	on 75.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix) removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	There Ordina	is no requirement for these to be reported under the Securities and Futures ince.

Question 76. Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?

		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
11.	Disclo Value	sing Long Term Basis on which an Issuer Generates or Preserves Business
Questi	ion 77.	Do you agree that we should introduce the proposed CP (CP C.1.4) as described in paragraph 250 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Gener	al and high level statement will not give valuable information to shareholders.
12.	Direct	tors' Insurance
Questi	ion 78.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.1.9 (issuers should arrange appropriate insurance for directors) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.1.8)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		nce may protect potential claimants if a director has insufficient means to meet their . It protects directors, issuers, shareholders and potential claimants as a whole
Questi	ion 79.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the words "adequate and general" to RBP A.1.9 (upgraded and re-numbered CP A.1.8)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

	"Appropriate" is considered sufficient.			
	"Adeq	uate" is difficult to determine until a claim arises.		
PART	II: SH	AREHOLDERS		
1.	Share	holders' General Meetings		
A.	Notice	e of Meeting and Bundling of Resolutions		
Questi	ion 80.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.1.1 to state that issuers should avoid "bundling" of resolutions and where they are "bundled" explain the reasons and material implications in the notice of meeting?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
B.	Voting	g by Poll		
Quest	ion 81.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.39(4) to allow a chairman at a general meeting to exempt procedural and administrative matters described in paragraph 274 of the Consultation Paper from voting by poll?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
Quest	ion 82.	Do you agree with the examples of procedural and administrative resolutions in paragraph 275 of the Consultation paper? Do you have any other examples to add?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		

31	ould be subject to further review / addition from time to time.
Question 8	33. Do you agree that our proposed amendments to Rule 13.39(5) clarify disclosure in poll results?
	Yes
	No
Ple	ease give reasons for your views.
Question 8	34. Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.2.1 to remove the words "at the commencement of the meeting" so that an issuer's chairman can explain the procedures for conducting a poll later during a general meeting?
	Yes
	No
Ple	ease give reasons for your views.
Th	e proposal provides flexibility to chairman.
C. Sh	areholders' Approval to Appoint and Remove an Auditor
Question 8	35. Do you agree with our proposal to add new Rule 13.88 to require shareholder approval to appoint the issuer's auditor?
	Yes
	No
Ple	ease give reasons for your views.
Question 8	36. Do you agree with our proposal to add, in new Rule 13.88, a requirement for shareholder approval to remove the issuer's auditor before the end of his term of office?
	Yes
	No

It provides a level playing field for companies incorporated in different jurisdictions.

Question 87.		Do you agree that the new Rule 13.88 should require a circular for the removal of the auditor to shareholders containing any written representation from the auditor and allow the auditor to make written and/or verbal representation at the general meeting to remove him?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
		ir and in line with Hong Kong Companies Ordinance to allow the auditor to present ents to shareholders.	
		nolders will take into account the auditor's representation when considering the ion of removal of auditor.	
D.	Directo	ors' Attendance at Meetings	
Questi	on 88.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance at meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
	Regula of direc	ar attendance and active participation are generally acknowledged as responsibilities ctors.	
Questi	on 89.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make a positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policies) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	

Questi	on 90.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new mandatory disclosure provision in Appendix 23 (re-numbered paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14) stating that issuer must disclose details of attendance at general meetings of each director by name?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	shareh	directors are encouraged to attend general meetings and communicate with olders, it should not be made mandatory as attendance of chairmen of the committees day a CP.
Questi	on 91.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state the issuer's chairman should arrange for the chairman of "any other committees" to attend the annual general meeting?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		nan should "invite" or "encourage" chairmen of other committees to attend rather than ange for".
E.	Audito	r's Attendance at Annual General Meetings
Questi	on 92.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state that the chairman should arrange for the auditor to attend the issuer's annual general meeting to answer questions about the conduct of the audit, the preparation and content of the auditors' report, the accounting policies and auditor independence?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Making a positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policies is one of the responsibilities of directors.

While auditors are normally being invited to attend the AGM but it would be subject to the auditor whether to attend or not. Introducing as an CP may impose onerous obligation on the issuer.

2.	Shareh	nolders' Rights		
Ques	stion 93.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of "shareholders' rights" under paragraph 3 (b) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph O of Appendix 14)?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
3.	Comn	nunication with Shareholders		
A.	Establ	ishing a Communication Policy		
Ques	stion 94.	Do you agree with our proposed new CP E.1.4 stating that issuers should establish a shareholder communication policy?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
		roposal ensures the effectiveness of shareholders' communication policy by conduct r review.		
B.	Publis	hing Constitutional Documents on Website		
Ques	stion 95.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.90 requiring issuers to publish an updated and consolidated version of their M & A or constitutional documents on their own website and the HKEx website?		
		Yes		
		No		

Please give reasons for your views.

	Neutra	I.
C.	Publisl	ning Procedures for Election of Directors
Questi	on 96.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.51D requiring an issuer to publish the procedures for shareholders to propose a person for election as a director on its website?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		nolders may not know the procedures even they intend to propose a person for n as a director.
D. I	Disclosii	ng Significant Changes to Constitutional Documents
Questi	on 97.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of any significant change in the issuer's articles of association under paragraph 3(c)(i) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph P(a) of Appendix 14)?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		be easy to find out what significant changes have been made notwithstanding that an d M&A has been published on issuer's website (if new Rule 13.90 has been adopted).
PART	III: C	OMPANY SECRETARY
1.	Comp	any Secretary's Qualifications, Experience and Training
Questi	on 98.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Rule 3.28 on requirements for company secretaries' qualifications and experience?
		Yes
		No

	Please	Please give reasons for your views.		
Questio	on 99.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider as acceptable the list of qualifications for company secretaries set out in paragraph 345 of the Consultation Paper?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
Questio	on 100.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
Questio	on 101.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
		any secretary is an important officer involved in the daily management and should be a at and be a close contact on the listed company matter with the regulators.		
	Also, th	ne proposal violates Hong Kong's company law regime.		
Questio	on 102.	Do you agree with our proposal to repeal Rule 19A.16 so that Mainland issuers' company secretaries would need to meet the same requirements as for other countries?		
		Yes		

	\checkmark	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	The red	quirements for PRC issuers should be the same as the other issuers.
Questio	on 103.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a Rule 3.29 requiring company secretaries to attend 15 hours of professional training per financial year?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 104.	Do you agree with the proposed transitional arrangement on compliance with Rule 3.29 in paragraph 350 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
2.	New S	ection in Code on Company Secretary
Questio	on 105.	Do you agree with our proposal to include a new section of the Code on company secretary?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 106.	Do you agree with the proposed principle as described in paragraph 362 of the Consultation Paper and set out in full in page 27 of Appendix II?
		Yes
		No

	Please give reasons for your views.		
Question 107.		Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.1 stating the company secretary should be an employee of the issuer and have knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day affairs?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
Questio	on 108.	Do you agree with our proposal described in paragraph 364 of the Consultation Paper, that if an issuer employs an external service provider, it should disclose the identity of its issuer contact person?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
Questio	on 109.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.2 stating that the selection, appointment or dismissal of the company secretary should be the subject of a board decision?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
Questio	on 110.	Do you agree with our proposed note to CP F.1.2 stating that the board decision to select, appoint or dismiss the company secretary should be made at a physical board meeting and not dealt with by written board resolution?	
		Yes	
		No	

No matter whether such issue is to be considered by way of circulation of board resolution or holding of physical meeting, directors would be provided with sufficient information and the directors should consider the issue carefully and voice their concerns (if any) to other board members or management of issuer. In other word, there is no difference between the aforesaid ways for approving the resolutions.

Appointment of director can be dealt with by board resolution so do not see the reason to require a physical meeting for company secretary.

Director has right to request for a physical meeting if he deems appropriate under the Articles / Bye-law. Therefore, we see no need for dictating the mode of meeting.

Question 11	1. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.3 stating that the company secretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?
	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
	he company secretary should report to the board but the board can designate a member ser senior executive to oversee and be accountable to the company secretarial matters.
Question 11	2. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the company secretary should maintain a record of directors training?
	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Agre	ee if CP A.6.5 has been adopted.

CHAPTER 3: PROPOSED NON-SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS

1. Definition of "Announcement" and "Announce"

Question 113. Do you agree with our proposal to include a definition in the Rules for the terms "announcement" and "announce" as described in paragraph 371 of the Consultation Paper?

√ Yes

[No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.
2. [Autho	rised Representatives' Contact Details
Question	n 114.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 3.06(1) to add a reference to authorised representatives "mobile and other telephone numbers, email and correspondence addresses" and "any other contract details prescribed by the Exchange may prescribe from time to time"?
1	V	Yes
[No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Update	ed contact details should be kept by the Exchange for effective communication.
		ng Corporate Governance Report Requirements into Appendix 14 Do you agree with our proposal to merge Appendix 23 into Appendix 14 for ease of reference?
	V	Yes
[No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question	n 116.	Do you agree with our proposal to streamline Appendix 23 and to make plain language amendments to it?
	V	Yes
[No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.