Part B Consultation Questions - Volatility Control Mechanism

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes and provide reasons to support your views. Where there is insufficient space, please attach additional pages as necessary.

1.	-	ou support the introduction of an instrument model in Hong Kong?	t-level VCM based on a dynamic price
	\boxtimes	Yes	Notice of the second of the se
		No	
	Please	e give reasons for your view.	,
	add LSI as t	s important to have any form of circuit breal lition to dynamic controls, limits based on s E would be prudent. In the HKEx presentat oo complex. Complexity should not play a asures.	tatic reference prices like those on the ion, US and European models are cited
2.		ou agree that the proposed VCM model sl El constituent stocks in the <u>securities</u> market	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
	is.	No	
	Please	e give reasons for your view.	
	pro ma:	ety measures should apply to all stocks equitections only on certain index constituents; x(10%, 10 ticks) type volatility control mec	however, for this to happen, we need a
3.		ou agree that the proposed VCM model sh & MCH (spot month and the next calendar et?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	e give reasons for your view.	

	ou agree that the market should have a 15-minute uninterrupted trading per e the end of the last continuous trading?
Program Program Program	Yes
\boxtimes	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
l l	fety measures should be implemented at all times to be effective else they will apply dislocate periods of volatility.
_	ou agree with the proposed reference price for the securities market, namely of last trade 5 minutes ago? If not, what would you prefer?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No, I would prefer:
Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
wit the	ng term: Price from 5 minutes ago (a dynamic reference price) will move along the price impact. As such, we favor the LSE safety measures as they complement price from 5 minutes ago with static reference price (auction or last traded price gle stock circuit breakers.
	ou agree with our proposed reference price for the <u>derivatives</u> market, namely of last trade 5 minutes ago? If not, what would you prefer?
\boxtimes	Yes
PART	No, I would prefer:
	e give reasons for your view.
Pleas	ease see our answer to Question 5.

Again, safety measures should be applied to all securities though we do recognize the need to have different controls in place for the far more volatile longer dated

	from the reference price across the proposed instruments covered by the VCM? If not, what level would you prefer?
	⊠ Yes
	No, level that I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
	Long Term: Using dynamic as well as static reference prices with a lower threshold like max(5%, 5 ticks) should provide a higher level of safety for the entire market, covering every security.
8.	Do you agree with the proposed triggering level for the <u>derivatives</u> market, namely <u>5%</u> from the reference price across the proposed instruments covered by the VCM? If not, what level would you prefer?
	⊠ Yes
	No, level that I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
	Please see our response to Question 7.
9.	Do you agree that a maximum of two VCM triggers per trading session per instrument should be imposed to minimise market interruption?
	Yes
	No, I would prefer: Unlimited
	Please give reasons for your view.
	No arbitrary limit should be imposed to minimize inconvenience. After two triggers the market would become vulnerable and unprotected at already dislocated levels.
10.	Do you support trading within a price limit during the cooling-off period? If not, do you prefer another approach?
	⊠ Yes

	No, another approach that I prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
	Long Term: Trading Halts unwound by auction mechanisms work better. The auction prices could then be used as a reference price.
11.	After the cooling-off period, do you support resuming the same dynamic price limit monitoring mechanism (i.e. $\pm 10\%$ ($\pm 5\%$) from the last trade 5 minutes ago in the securities (derivatives) market)? If not, do you prefer another approach?
	⊠ Yes
	No, I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
	Auctions with extensions similar to the LSE methodology are very efficient mechanisms for price discovery. Unlike trading limits (like those imposed by Taiwan) LSE safety measures do not stop trading. They offer safety without trading disruptions. As such, having static reference price circuit breakers that re-snap the reference price on each intraday auction would be ideal.
12.	Do you have any other suggestions on enhancing the resumption procedures?
	Long Term: We would like to see both static and dynamic reference price based circuit breakers. Using ticks as well as percentages would allow us to cover all securities in the market and use tighter limits without hindering legitimate trading activity.
	We prefer max(5% and 5 ticks) to be used for each security as well as the overall market as a VCM threshold. We also would like trading halts followed by auction mechanism (we definitely prefer the proposed CAS mechanism to the current POS mechanism as the latter can fail to offer price stability).
13.	Do you agree that the duration of the cooling-off period should be 5 minutes for both the securities and derivatives markets? If not, what would you prefer and why?
	⊠ Yes
	No, I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.

	5 minutes should be sufficient although we do favor extensions in case of large price movements from the reference price.
4.	Do you agree with the additional market data dissemination for the proposed VCM model? If not, what would you propose and why?
	⊠ Yes
	No, I would propose:
	Please give reasons for your view.
	The proposed additional data should be sufficient.
5.	If a VCM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of related instruments (e.g. futures contract of different contract months) on the same underlying continue as normal?
	✓ Yes
	No No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	Errors in one instrument don't necessarily mean errors in related instruments and may be unnecessary interruption.
5.	If a VCM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of derivatives (e.g. single stock options or warrants) of that instrument continue as normal?
	Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	Same as above.

17. Do you have any other comments on the VCM proposal?

It is very important to have exchange level controls in place to limit volatility. The above long term suggestions should in no way jeopardize the VCM. In any form it will provide additional protection that does not currently exist.

In summary, we would like the use of dynamic as well as static reference price limits that utilize both percent moves and tick moves; i.e. max(5%, 5ticks).

When VCM is breached, we would like to utilize trading halts which are unwound by auctions that are similar to proposed CAS (in line with the LSE, using extensions instead of price limits for these auctions would be ideal)

Part C Consultation Questions – Closing Auction Session

10.	mark		ort un	e introduction of the new CAS model in the Hong Rong securities
		Yes		
		No		
	Pleas	e give r	easons	for your view.
	pri acl	ced base nieve the	ed on t eir obje	Obn in estimated assets tracking various Hong Kong indices that are he closing price. They need a reliable closing mechanism in order to ectives. As stated in the consultation, Hong Kong is the only t that does not have a closing auction.
19.	const Comp	ituent	stocks ∕IidCap	the new CAS model should only be applied to the major index (i.e. Hang Seng Composite LargeCap Index and Hang Seng Index constituents as well as other Stock Connect Securities for (3)?
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Pleas	e give r	easons	for your view.
	sho app	ould ben olied to	efit fro only ir	re is no reason to limit the universe to index constituents. All stocks om an efficient closing auction mechanism. If the CAS model is idex constituents, then there need to be provisions for index change ex additions would not have a CAS while index deletions would.
20.		ou agree should b		he new CAS model should be applied to ETF? If yes, which type of lied?
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		\boxtimes	(i)	Apply to all ETFs
		\$165 - 3 \$1.00 \$1.	(ii)	Only apply to ETFs with Hong Kong stocks as underlying
	7.3	No		

	Please give reasons for your view.
	There is no reason why ETFs should be excluded.
21.	Do you agree that at a later stage, the new CAS model should be expanded to other equity securities and funds as proposed? If so, when should the CAS be rolled out to these securities and funds?
	Yes, roll out time should be: As early as practical.
	No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	An efficient closing mechanism should be applied to all securities at the same time. However, it is important that this does not delay the rollout of the CAS.
22.	Do you agree that that the new CAS model should exclude structured products, equity warrants and debt securities?
	No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	Long Term: An efficient closing auction mechanism should be applied to warrants. As is, the mechanism may limit trading at the close for penny stocks and derivatives instruments, which have tick sizes that are too large.
23.	Do you support introducing a price limit during the CAS?
	Yes
	⊠ No
	Please give reasons for your view.

Price limits will result in a shift of liquidity from the CAS to the CTS. It is very important that at the least any potential price limits are not at too restrictive. We would prefer price limits, if it has to be implemented, to be not less than 10%.

We find that at a 2% price limit, 18.3% of rebalance names would not be able to complete based on their price range in the last 10 minutes (looking at the last minute gives similar results). Even at a 5% limit, we would have nearly 6,000 cases where a stock moves above the threshold (approx. 4% of the time) based on our analysis of the past 2 quarters on all stocks using a last 10-minute trading range.

Do you support a price limit of 5% during the Order Input Period for all CAS Securities?

24.

	Yes	
	⊠ No	
	Please give reasons for your v	view.
	and result in shifting liquidi	orice limits as these would render the CAS less effective ity to the CTS. LSE has a solid mechanism that results in and maximum auction volume without the use of price in periods).
	add/deletes would not be ab	nat if a 5% limit is introduced, 2/3 of index rebalance ble to complete forcing traders to start trading early, ective. as such, a more restrictive threshold would cripple ding activity.
25.	· · ·	ice limit within the best bid and best ask should be applied eriod and Random Closing Period?
	Yes	
	⊠ No	
	Please give reasons for your v	riew.
	This is an unnecessary cons	straint on price discovery.
26.	Do you agree that at-auction l	limit orders should be allowed throughout the CAS?
	⊠ Yes	
	No	

	Please give reasons for your view.
	This order type is the foundation of efficient price discovery in auctions.
27.	Do you think short selling orders with a tick rule should be allowed during the CAS?
	⊠ Yes
	No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	No additional liquidity should be unnecessarily excluded.
28.	If short selling order is to be allowed, should it be at or higher than the reference price?
	Yes
	⊠ No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	No reason to have a price restriction especially given the tick rule is going to be in place.
29.	Do you agree that order amendment and cancellation should be disallowed during the No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?
	No No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	This is an excellent anti-gaming feature, the lack of which resulted in substantial price movements in other auctions in our region. We would like the HKEx to come up with a policy to allow erroneously entered orders to be canceled.

Do you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?

30.

	\boxtimes	Yes
	n for Day	No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
	No	t only is this an excellent anti-gaming feature, it also aids price discovery.
31.		idom closing is to be adopted, should it be over a period of up to 2 minutes or d you prefer a different duration?
		Up to 2 minutes
	\boxtimes	A different duration: 1 minute
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
	Ifo	changing this is difficult, 2 minutes should work.
32.		e absence of a final IEP, do you agree that the reference price should be used as the ag price and for trade matching?
	\boxtimes	Yes
	2	No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
	tha refe bec	ven that the reference price is determined as the median of 5 snapshots, it is better in simply using the last price. A 10-minute VWAP price may serve as a better between price. It is imporant that if the reference price mechanism changes and comes unreliable (i.e. moving to last print) then matching market orders there uld no longer be ideal.
33.	What	would be the preferred duration of the CAS?
	(i)	Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:12
	(ii)	5-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:10

	(111)	Others, please specify:
	\boxtimes	Approach (i)
		Approach (ii)
	23.2	Approach (iii), please specify:
	Please	give reasons for your view.
	simi	g Term: We would prefer abolishing the use of price limits and moving into a ilar mechanism utilized by the LSE. Price bands should for extension periods ald utilize max(5%, 5 ticks) to account for all securities in the market.
34.		u agree that some features of the new CAS model may also be beneficial for the nd/or the Trading Halts? If so, which feature(s)?
	\boxtimes	Yes, the feature(s): All of its features should benefit the current POS
		No
	Please	give reasons for your view.
	CAS imp imp pric	pre-open session has considerable price stability issues. As such, utilizing the S would be extremely beneficial for market integrity. However, one cannot lement price limits at the POS as it would stop overnight newsflow from being lemented at the open. As such, at the worst case scenario, we would prefer wider e limits for the POS (at least 10%) - it should also not aply to stocks that are ning out of suspension and to IPOs.
35.		ou agree that any enhancements for POS and/or the Trading Halts should be mented later rather than during the introduction of the new CAS?
	<u> </u>	Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your view.
	imp	measure that supports efficient price discovery and helps market safety should be lemented as soon as possible. The case of fixing the POS is particularly urgent in opinion.
	L	

36.	cash r	ou foresee any issues with your day end processing such as margin calls in the market due to the extended trading time for 12 minutes? If yes, how may the issue olved?
	4.34.	Yes, suggested solution:
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	e give reasons for your view.
	Not	aware of any issues.
37.		aintain the 45 minutes break before the start of AHFT, do you agree that the start of AHFT to be changed from 17:00 to 17:15? If not, what time do you prefer?
	\boxtimes	Yes
	5. jrg 5. jrg	No, time that you prefer:
	Please	e give reasons for your view.
	Not	aware of any issues.

Part D Consultation Questions – Implementation Approach and Timeline

38.	Which implementation approach for the securities market would you prefer:	
	(i)	the development and testing of the VCM, CAS and Trading Halts functionalities are to be implemented together on the AMS/3.8 platform and be rolled out one by one; or
	(ii)	(1) the development, testing and rollout of VCM and CAS are to be implemented together on the AMS/3.8 platform, and (2) Trading Halts proposal is to be introduced as part of the Exchange's next-generation trading system, the Orion Trading Platform-Cash; or
	(iii)	Others, please specify.
	58	Approach (i)
	X	Approach (ii)
	Râx	Approach (iii), please specify:
	Please	give reasons for your view.
	The	fastest implementation time is preferred.
39.	What should be the implementation priority among the three initiatives (i.e. VCM, CAS and Trading Halts) in the securities market?	
	Please give reasons for your view.	
	sho	CAS is by far the highest priority item. VCM is also something that the HKEx ald have in place soonest but not at a cost of delaying the CAS implementation. ding Halts are lower in priority than the others.
40.		long do you need to prepare for the rollout starting from the issuance of the ication for each initiatives:
	(i)	VCM:
	\boxtimes	a). under 3 months;
	S	b). 4-6 months;

197	c). 7-12 months		
1 22	d). >12 months		
Please give reasons for your view.			
Thi	ree months should be sufficient.		
(ii)	CAS:		
\boxtimes	a). under 3 months;		
(9)	b). 4-6 months;		
	c). 7-12 months		
37.75	d). >12 months		
Please give reasons for your view.			
Th	ree months should be sufficient.		

- End -