

Signature (with Company Chop if the response represents company view)

## Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes and provide reasons to support your views. Where there is insufficient space, please attach additional pages as necessary.

1. Do you agree that the Hong Kong market should not adopt a model without a halt in trading after the release of PSI during trading hours (i.e. the UK model as described in paragraph 48)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the observation given in paragraph 49 of the Consultation Paper. However, going forward, we hope the Stock Exchange can review the situation after a period of time (perhaps, 3 years) to decide whether time has since become right for changing from the trading-halt model to the UK no-halt model. Trading pattern can change over time. Hong Kong is moving in the same direction as other major financial centers in the world such as London. When the proportion of institution/retail investors become right, it may be appropriate to consider the UK model. It is unsatisfactory for some issuers to be able to trade without halt while many issuers have to halt trade for releasing information to the market. Waivers should be a short term solution only.

2. Do you agree with our proposal to allow publication of PSI announcements on the HKExnews website during trading hours subject to a short trading halt?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the observation given in paragraph 50 of the Consultation Paper.

3. Do you agree that the maximum period for which a trading halt may be granted is two trading days and the trading halt will be treated as suspension thereafter if the issuer fails to publish the PSI announcements by end of the following trading day after the trading halt is imposed?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

4. Do you agree that results announcements should be published during the existing publication windows as far as possible?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

5. Do you agree that the existing arrangement for non-PSI announcements to be published outside trading hours should remain unchanged?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

6. Do you agree that the trading halt should not apply to dually listed issuers under the circumstances as described in paragraph 57 above?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the rationale stated in paragraph 58 of the Consultation Paper.

7. What is the minimum period for a trading halt after the publication of PSI announcement?

30 minutes

45 minutes

60 minutes

Other, please specify and give reasons

8. Do you agree that trading halts should be lifted at regular intervals?

on the quarter hour

on the half hour

Other, please specify and give reasons

We thought investors should be given sufficient time to trade once information is digested; the shorter the interruption time, the better.

9. Do you agree that at least 30 minutes of trading should be provided after lifting of a trading halt?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We suggest a shorter minimum trading time, 15 minutes. Investors should be given every possible opportunity to react to and trade on the news released. If not, the purpose of allowing intra-day PSI release is defeated.

10. Do you agree with the proposed notification arrangements for the trading halt and resumption information as set out in paragraph 64?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

11. Do you agree that all existing orders of the securities entered before a trading halt or a suspension be purged by the Exchange at time of the halt?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the observation given in paragraph 67 of the Consultation Paper.

12. Do you agree that all existing orders of the Exchange's stock options / futures market practices should remain unchanged (i.e. all outstanding orders will be purged automatically by the system at the time of trading halt of the underlying stocks)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

13. Do you agree with the implementation of a single price auction upon lifting of a trading halt?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

14. Do you agree with the implementation of a single price auction also applies for trading resumption at the commencement of afternoon trading session upon lifting of a trading halt following the release of a PSI announcement during the lunch publication window?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

There is consistency in such treatment.

15. Do you agree that if an issuer has not requested for any trading halt or suspension of its securities and is able to maintain the confidentiality of the PSI announcement before releasing it during the lunch publication window, in these circumstances the single price auction will apply to the issuer's securities?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

16. Do you agree that a single price auction will only apply to securities traded in the securities market upon lifting of a trading halt of the underlying?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

17. Do you agree with the proposed duration of the mid-session auction (i.e. 10 minutes)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

18. How much lead time would be required after the relevant system specifications were available to prepare for the implementation of trading halts?

- Three months
- Six months
- Other, please specify and give reasons

- End -