

Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: <http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201507.pdf>

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.91 to require issuers to disclose in their annual reports or ESG reports whether they have complied with the “comply or explain” provisions in the ESG Guide and if they have not, they must give considered reasons in the ESG reports?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Corporate issuers should be obliged to report on ESG aspects if they want to list on any leading exchange. Investors need visibility on material ESG aspects, regardless of whether or not they are asking for it. Banks and legal firms in capital markets should also be expected to seek such disclosure.

2. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.91 to require the issuer to report on ESG annually and regarding the same period covered in its annual report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Alignment of reporting means and timelines makes sense. Ad hoc website reporting should also be considered an effective means of interim communication where material issues arise.

3. Do you agree with our proposal to include a Note under Rule 13.91 to clarify that:
- (i) an ESG report may be presented as information in its annual report, in a separate report, or on the issuer’s website; and
 - (ii) the issuer should publish the ESG report as close as possible to, and in any event no later than three months after, the publication of the issuer’s annual report?
- Yes
- No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, but again with the caveat that interim web communications should be considered, and provided whenever a material issue arises.

4. Do you agree with our proposal to revise the introductory section of the Guide into four areas (i.e. “The Guide”, “Overall Approach”, “Reporting Principles” and “Complementing ESG Discussions in the Business Review Section of the Directors’ Report”), and with the wording set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper?
- Yes
- No

Please give reasons for your views.

These proposals seem to be practicable.

5. Do you agree with the proposed wording of the Reporting Principles (i.e. “Materiality”, “Quantitative”, “Balance” and “Consistency”) in the introductory section of the Guide, as set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

These proposals seem to be practicable.

6. Do you agree with the proposed wording in the Guide linking it to Appendix 16 to the Main Board Listing Rules (in relation to the requirement for ESG discussions in the business review section of the directors’ report), as set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Providing clear linkage between ESG aspects and normal business activities is important to give clear context that such matters can be material or otherwise of significance.

7. Do you agree with the proposal to re-arrange the Guide into two Subject Areas (A. Environmental and B. Social) and re-categorise “Workplace Quality”, “Operating Practices” and “Community Involvement” under Subject Area B?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

8. Do you agree with the proposal to change the heading “Workplace Quality” to “Employment and Labour Standards”?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

This appears to be a more focussed heading.

9. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the General Disclosures for each Aspect of the ESG Guide to “comply or explain”?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

10. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the wording of paragraph (b) under current Aspects A1, A2, A4, B1, C2 and C3, re-numbered Aspects A1, B1, B2, B4, B6 and B7, to “compliance with relevant laws and regulations that have a significant impact on the issuer...” in order to align it with the language of the relevant provisions of the Companies Ordinance?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Alignment with existing instruments is a positive development.

11. Do you agree with our proposal to revise proposed Aspect A1 (“Emissions”) by upgrading to “comply or explain” the current KPIs B1.1, B1.2, B1.4 and B1.5, re-numbered KPIs A1.1, A1.2, A1.4 and A1.5, concerning disclosure of emissions and non-hazardous waste?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

12. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to “comply or explain” the current KPIs B1.3 and B1.6, re-numbered KPIs A1.3 and A1.6, concerning disclosure of hazardous waste?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Such aspects may represent a significant risk and can be material. Disclosure on the same can be addressed relatively easily by issuers.

13. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to “comply or explain” the KPIs under the current Aspect B2, re-numbered Aspect A2, “Use of Resources”?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Such aspects may represent a significant risk and can be material. Disclosure on the same can be addressed relatively easily by issuers.

14. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to “comply or explain” the current KPI B3.1, re-numbered KPI A3.1, concerning disclosure of the significant impacts of activities on the environment and natural resources?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, with guidance to companies as to what may constitute a ‘significant impact’. The default position from many businesses will presumably be that their activities incur no significant impact. It is not clear how this aspect relates to upstream supply chain activities, e.g., a paper company needs to take some responsibility for sourcing of pulp. Guidance should be provided on this aspect.

15. Do you agree with our proposal to incorporate gender disclosure in proposed Subject Area B. Social, under the sub-heading “Employment and Labour Standards”?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

This is relevant to investors and easily manageable by issuers.

- End -