

Submitted via Qualtrics

Company/Organisation view

Question 1

Do you agree with the proposal to set the limit on general mandate for issuance of new shares at 20% of the total issued shares of a PRC issuer, instead of 20% of each of domestic shares and H shares?

Yes

Please provide reasons for your views.

We agree with the proposal, as it is a consequential amendment to the PRC regulation changes that remove the class distinction of the various types of ordinary shares of a PRC issuer.

Question 2

Do you have a concern that given fund raisings through the issuance of A shares may result in an increase in the number of A shares over H shares, the market size and liquidity of the H share market may reduce relative to the A share market? Do you think there should be other provisions to promote the long term development of the H share market, if so please provide reasons for your views and any suggestions.

Yes

Please provide reasons for your views and any suggestions.

In order to maintain the market size and liquidity of the H share market, the Exchange may consider an ongoing public float requirement in respect of the H shares of a PRC issuer.

Question 3

Do you agree with the proposal to set the limit on scheme mandate for share schemes at 10% of the total issued shares of a PRC issuer, instead of 10% of each of domestic shares and H shares?

Yes

Please provide reasons for your views.

Yes, PRC issuers should be able to decide how to use its scheme mandate limit between domestic and H shares. The limit of 10% of each of domestic shares and H shares is overly restrictive, particularly as PRC issuers have an increasing global profile and 10% of H shares is insufficient to incentive the increasing pool of employees who are not qualified to hold domestic shares.

Question 4

Do you agree with the proposal to remove the requirements for directors, officers and supervisors to provide undertakings to the PRC issuers and their shareholders?

Yes

Please provide reasons for your views.

Question 5

Do you agree with the proposal to move the requirements for compliance advisers set out in Rules 19A.05(2) and 19A.06(3) to Chapter 3A?

Yes

Please provide reasons for your views.

Question 6

Do you agree with the proposal to remove Rules 19A.05(3), 19A.05(4), 19A.06(1) and 19A.06(4)?

Yes

Please provide reasons for your views.

Question 7

Do you agree with the proposal to remove the requirements relating to online display and physical inspection of documents under Rules 19A.50 and 19A.50A?

Yes

Please provide reasons for your views.

Question 8

Do you agree with the proposal to remove the requirements relating to disclosure of material differences between the laws and regulations in the PRC and Hong Kong in listing documents of new applicants that are PRC issuers?

Yes

Please provide reasons for your views.