Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017062.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

page	es.	
1.	Do you agree with the proposal to re-position GEM as a stand-alone board and hence remove the GEM Streamlined Process for GEM Transfers and re-introduce the requirements to (a) appoint a sponsor to conduct due diligence for GEM Transfers and (b) publish a "prospectus-standard" listing document such that GEM Transfer applications are treated as a new listing application (without requiring the applicant to conduct an offering)?	
	□ Yes	
	☑ No	
	Please give reasons for your views.	
	The present system for GEM transfer is efficient enough. Since the GEM listed company is already a listed company the information of which is transparent enough. To conduct another due diligence and to publish a "prospectus-standard" listing document is redondent and such requirement undermines and distrust the current HKEx suopervision and issuers' reporting system for GEM.	
2.	Do you agree with the proposal to require all GEM Transfer applicants to have (a) published and distributed at least two full financial years of financial statements after their GEM listings; and (b) not been subject to any disciplinary investigations by the Exchange in relation to a serious breach or potentially serious breach of any Listing Rules for 24 months before they can be considered for a GEM Transfer?	
	□ Yes	
	☑ No	
	Please give reasons for your views.	
	Because for (a) the GEM Transfer applicant is already listed and information published. Its qualification for transfer to Main Board should NOT be made more difficult just because they	

are already listed in the GEM board. For (b) HKEx should respect the spirit of law in HK that

before anyone is convicted, one shoul be considered innocent.

3.	the GEM Listing Rules (i.e. two financial years)?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	This requirement ties in with the nature of GEM for new &/or innovative projects in order to promote & encourage more entrepreneurial player in HK
4.	Do you agree with the proposal to retain the current practice of <u>not</u> requiring a GEM applicant that can meet the Main Board admission requirements to list on the Main Board instead of GEM?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	People with good safety & humility attitude should be respected
5.	Do you agree with the proposal to increase the Cashflow Requirement from at least HK\$20 million to at least HK\$30 million?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views. We invite suggestions on other potential quantitative tests for admission to GEM.
	Should match with inflation

б.		you agree with the proposal to increase the minimum market capitalisation lirement at listing from HK\$100 million to HK\$150 million?
		Yes
		No
	Plea	ase give reasons for your views.
	SI	nould match with inflation
7.		you agree with the proposal to increase the post-IPO lock-up requirement such controlling shareholders of GEM issuers:
	(a)	cannot dispose of any of their equity interest in a GEM issuer within the first year of listing; and
	(b)	cannot dispose of any interest in the subsequent year that would result in them no longer being a controlling shareholder as defined under GEM Listing Rule 1.01?
	\checkmark	Yes
		No
	Plea	ase give reasons for your views.
	to ti	a matter of sincerity and integrity that listing status should be respected. If one is not willing reasure their controlling interest for at least 2 years, why should the HKEx bother to grant him listing status

8.		ou agree with the proposal to introduce a mandatory public offering mechanism of ast 10% of the total offer size for all GEM IPOs?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	Plea	se give reasons for your views.
	То	allow more market players to participate
9.	Do y	ou agree with the proposals to align the GEM Listing Rules on:
	(a)	placing to core connected persons, connected clients and existing shareholders, and their respective close associates with those under Appendix 6 to the Main Board Listing Rules and Guidance Letter HKEX-GL85-16 "Placing to connected clients, and existing shareholders or their close associates, under the Rules"; and
		☑ Yes
		□ No
		Please give reasons for your views.
		To maintain consistency of market practice

	(b)	the allocation of offer shares between the public and placing tranches and the clawback mechanism with those in Practice Note 18 to the Main Board Listing Rules?
		☑ Yes
		□ No
		Please give reasons for your views.
		To maintain consistency of market practice
10.		you agree with the proposal to increase the minimum public float value of urities from HK\$30 million to HK\$45 million?
	_	Yes
		No
	Plea	ase give reasons for your views.
		ome listed companies may encounter undesired difficulties resulting in very low market apitalization. They should be given a breathing space to rescue their companies
11.		you agree with using the Profit Requirement to determine eligibility to list on the n Board?
	\square	Yes
		No
	If no	ot, what alternative test should be used? Please give reasons for your views.
	Pı	rofit requirement is the best measurement of a company's strength

12.	If you agree to retain the Profit Requirement, do you agree that the current level of profit under the Profit Requirement should remain unchanged?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Profit requirement is the best measurement of a company's strength
13.	Do you agree with the proposal to increase the minimum market capitalisation requirement at listing for Main Board applicants from at least HK\$200 million?
	□ Yes
	☑ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Some business categories could call for a lower price/earning ratio
14.	Do you agree with the proposal to proportionately increase the minimum public float value of securities for Main Board applicants from HK\$50 million to HK\$125 million? ☐ Yes
	☑ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	% of shareholding is a better measurement tool than absolute \$ in the absence of legitimate market making mechanism
	<u>l</u>

15.	that the controlling shareholders of Main Board issuers:		
	(a)	cannot dispose of any of their equity interest in a Main Board issuer within the first year of listing; and	
	(b)	cannot dispose of any interest in the subsequent year that would result in them no longer being a controlling shareholder as defined under Main Board Listing Rule 1.01?	
		Yes	
		No	
	requ char size HK\$	rnatively, do you believe that it is not appropriate to extend the post-IPO lock-up direments for Main Board applicants, given that they are less likely to have the racteristics identified in the 2016 Suitability Guidance Letter because of their larger and our proposal to raise the minimum market capitalisation requirement to 6500 million.	
	W	is a matter of sincerity and integrity that listing status should be respected. If one is not illing to treasure their controlling interest for at least 2 years, why should the HKEx bother to ant him the listing status	
16.		you agree that the proposals for the Main Board should be considered pendently irrespective of the outcome of the proposals for GEM?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Plea	ase give reasons for your views.	
	To	be fair to all market players	
		- End -	