Part B **Consultation Questions**

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017062.pdf

Whe	ere there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional es.
1.	Do you agree with the proposal to re-position GEM as a stand-alone board and hence remove the GEM Streamlined Process for GEM Transfers and re-introduce the requirements to (a) appoint a sponsor to conduct due diligence for GEM Transfers; and (b) publish a "prospectus-standard" listing document such that GEM Transfer applications are treated as a new listing application (without requiring the applicant to conduct an offering)?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	The requirements of GEM may need to reinforce because New boards could provide express opportunities to raise capital.
2.	Do you agree with the proposal to require all GEM Transfer applicants to have (a) published and distributed at least two full financial years of financial statements after their GEM listings; and (b) not been subject to any disciplinary investigations by the Exchange in relation to a serious breach or potentially serious breach of any Listing Rules for 24 months before they can be considered for a GEM Transfer?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Specific periods may be required to move to other major boards to guarantee soundness of the market.

3.	Do you agree with the proposal to retain the current track record requirement under the GEM Listing Rules (i.e. two financial years)?				
	☑ Yes				
	□ No				
	Please give reasons for your views.				
	Two years of track record may appropriate to sustain listing.				
4.	Do you agree with the proposal to retain the current practice of <u>not</u> requiring a GEM applicant that can meet the Main Board admission requirements to list on the Main Board instead of GEM?				
	☑ Yes				
	□ No				
	Please give reasons for your views.				
	The proposed reinforced requirements of GEM may covers most of the requirements of Main Board admissions.				
5.	Do you agree with the proposal to increase the Cashflow Requirement from at least				
	HK\$20 million to at least HK\$30 million?				
	☑ Yes				
	□ No				
	Please give reasons for your views. We invite suggestions on other potential quantitative tests for admission to GEM.				
	Due to introduction of New Boards, main boards may need to host major players than current.				

requ	you agree with the proposal to increase the minimum market capitalisation uirement at listing from HK\$100 million to HK\$150 million?
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
	No
Plea	ase give reasons for your views.
	Due to introduction of New Boards, main boards may need to host major players than current.
	you agree with the proposal to increase the post-IPO lock-up requirement such to controlling shareholders of GEM issuers:
(a)	cannot dispose of any of their equity interest in a GEM issuer within the first year of listing; and
(b)	cannot dispose of any interest in the subsequent year that would result in them no longer being a controlling shareholder as defined under GEM Listing Rule 1.01?
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes
	No
Plea	ase give reasons for your views.
	ock-up requirements are essential to guarantee business continuity.

8.		ou agree with the proposal to introduce a mandatory public offering mechanism of ast 10% of the total offer size for all GEM IPOs?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Plea	ase give reasons for your views.		
	11	pelieve the proposed framework may guarantee liquidiy of the market.		
9.	•	ou agree with the proposals to align the GEM Listing Rules on:		
	(a)	placing to core connected persons, connected clients and existing shareholders, and their respective close associates with those under Appendix 6 to the Main Board Listing Rules and Guidance Letter HKEX-GL85-16 "Placing to connected clients, and existing shareholders or their close associates, under the Rules"; and		
		☑ Yes		
		□ No		
		Please give reasons for your views.		
		Trading among stakeholders could result harmness on liquidity and equal opportunities perspectives to investors.		

	the allocation of offer shares between the public and placing tranches and the clawback mechanism with those in Practice Note 18 to the Main Board Listing Rules?		
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes	
		No	
	Plea	ase give reasons for your views.	
		nvestment opportunities need to be bestowed to the public on the liquidity erspective.	
		agree with the proposal to increase the minimum public float value of from HK\$30 million to HK\$45 million?	
	Yes		
	No		
Plea	ase gi	ve reasons for your views.	
Si	uffici	ent public offering shall inject sufficient liquidities on the market.	
		gree with using the Profit Requirement to determine eligibility to list on the ard?	
	Yes		
	No		
If no	ot, wh	at alternative test should be used? Please give reasons for your views.	
It	may	adequate to determine eligibility for listing.	
	Secution Se	□ Plea Ir P Do you securities ✓ Yes □ No Please gir Sufficion ✓ Yes □ No If not, what	

12.	profit under the Profit Requirement should remain unchanged?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	It may adequate to determine eligibility for retain listing.
13.	Do you agree with the proposal to increase the minimum market capitalisation requirement at listing for Main Board applicants from at least HK\$200 million?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	New boards can be channels for small companies.
14.	Do you agree with the proposal to proportionately increase the minimum public float value of securities for Main Board applicants from HK\$50 million to HK\$125 million?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	New boards can be leveleaged as channels for small companies.

15.	Do you agree with the proposal to increase the post-IPO lock-up requirement such that the controlling shareholders of Main Board issuers:			
	(a)	cannot dispose of any of their equity interest in a Main Board issuer within the first year of listing; and		
	(b)	cannot dispose of any interest in the subsequent year that would result in them no longer being a controlling shareholder as defined under Main Board Listing Rule 1.01?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Alternatively, do you believe that it is not appropriate to extend the post-IPO lock-requirements for Main Board applicants, given that they are less likely to have the characteristics identified in the 2016 Suitability Guidance Letter because of their largesize and our proposal to raise the minimum market capitalisation requirement HK\$500 million.			
	Plea	ase give reasons for your views.		
		ock-up period of main board participants may be relieved because companies the market are proven to have sould business structures.		
16.		you agree that the proposals for the Main Board should be considered ependently irrespective of the outcome of the proposals for GEM?		
	\square	Yes		
		No		
	Plea	ase give reasons for your views.		
	n	lain board role may be dependent of new GEM's proposal. If two boards can ot be segregated, new discussions are required to minimize gaps between the pards.		
		- End -		