Part B **Consultation Questions**

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

https://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017111.pdf.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

PART I: INDEPENDENT NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS

| Overb | poarding and INED's time commitment |
|-------|---|
| 1. | Do you agree with our proposed amendment to Code Provision ("CP") A.5.5 (on a "comply or explain" basis) so that in addition to the CP's current requirements, the board should also explain, if the proposed independent non-executive director ("INED") will be holding his seventh (or more) listed company directorship, why he would still be able to devote sufficient time to the board? |
| | Yes |
| | No |
| | Please give reasons for your views. |
| | We have no comment on this proposed amendment. |
| Board | l diversity |
| 2. | Do you agree with our proposals to upgrade CP A.5.6 (on a "comply or explain" basis) to a Rule (Rule 13.92) requiring issuers to have a diversity policy and to disclose the policy or a summary of it in their corporate governance reports? |
| | |
| | □ No |
| | Please give reasons for your views. |
| | Being required by the Rules to have and to disclose a diversity policy will compel issuers to improve the diversity of their boards, as they will have to actively adhere to a publicly disclosed policy and will not be able to merely explain the absence of a policy. |
| | |

| 3. | Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.5.5 that it requires (on a "comply or explain" basis) the board to state in the circular to shareholders accompanying the resolution to elect the director: |
|----|---|
| | (i) the process used for identifying the nominee; (ii) the perspectives, skills and experience that the person is expected to bring to the board; and (iii) how the nominee would contribute to the diversity of the board. |
| | Yes |
| | No No |
| | Please give reasons for your views. |
| | We have no comment on this proposed amendment. |
| 4. | Do you agree with our proposal to amend Mandatory Disclosure Requirement L.(d)(ii) to reflect the upgrade of CP A.5.6 (on a "comply or explain" basis) to a Rule (Rule 13.92) requiring issuers to have a diversity policy and to disclose the policy or a summary of it in their Corporate Governance Reports? |
| | ⊠ Yes |
| | No No |
| | Please give reasons for your views. |
| | This amendment reflects the proposal referred to in Question 2. |
| | |

Factors affecting INED's independence

A. Cooling off periods for former professional advisers

| 5. | year | ou agree with our proposal to revise Rule 3.13 (3) so that there is a three-cooling off period for professional advisers before they can be dered independent, instead of the current one year? | | | |
|----|-----------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | Yes | | | |
| | | No | | | |
| | Pleas | se give reasons for your views. | | | |
| | We h | ave no comment on this proposed amendment. | | | |
| 6. | basis the is | Do you agree with our proposal to revise CP C.3.2 (on a "comply or explain" basis) so that there is a three-year cooling off period for a former partner of the issuer's existing audit firm before he can be a member of the issuer's audit committee? | | | |
| | | Yes | | | |
| | | No | | | |
| | Pleas | se give reasons for your views. | | | |
| | We h | ave no comment on this proposed amendment. | | | |

| B. | Cooling off period in respect of material interests in business activities | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 7. | Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 3.13(4) to introduce a one-year cooling off period for a proposed INED who has had material interests in the issuer's principal business activities in the past year? | | |
| | Yes | | |
| | No No | | |
| | Please give reasons for your views. | | |
| | We have no comment on this proposed amendment. | | |
| C. | Cross-directorships or Significant Links with other Directors | | |
| 8. | Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Recommended Best Practice A.3.3 (i.e. voluntary) to recommend disclosure of INEDs' cross-directorships in the Corporate Governance Report? | | |
| | Yes | | |
| | No | | |
| | Please give reasons for your views. | | |
| | We have no comment on this proposed amendment. | | |
| | | | |

| D. | Family | y ties | |
|------|--|---|--|
| 9. | Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a Note under Rule 3.13 to encourage inclusion of an INED's immediate family members in the assessment of the director's independence? | | |
| | | Yes | |
| | | No | |
| | Please | e give reasons for your views. | |
| | We ha | ve no comment on this proposed amendment. | |
| 10. | Do you agree with our proposal to adopt the same definition for "immediate family member" as Rule 14A.12(1)(a) which defines an 'immediate family member" as "his spouse, his (or his spouse's) child or step-child, natural or adopted, under the age of 18 years"? | | |
| | | Yes | |
| | | No | |
| | Please | e give reasons for your views. | |
| | We ha | ve no comment on this proposed amendment. | |
| PART | II: | NOMINATION POLICY | |
| 11. | L.(d)(ii | u agree with our proposal to amend Mandatory Disclosure Requirement) of Appendix 14 to require an issuer to disclose its nomination policy ed during the year? | |
| • | | Yes | |
| | | No | |
| | Please | e give reasons for your views. | |
| | We ha | ve no comment on this proposed amendment. | |

PART III: DIRECTORS' ATTENDANCE AT MEETINGS

Directors' attendance at general meetings

| 12. | basis also | bu agree with our proposal to amend CP A.6.7 (on a "comply or explain") by removing the last sentence of the current wording (i.e. they should attend general meetings and develop a balanced understanding of the of shareholders.)? |
|-------|---------------|---|
| | | Yes |
| | | No |
| | Pleas | se give reasons for your views. |
| | We h | ave no comment on this proposed amendment. |
| | | |
| | | |
| Chair | man's | annual meetings with INEDs |
| 13. | | ou agree with our proposal to revise CP A.2.7 (on a "comply or explain") to state that INEDs should meet at least annually with the chairman? |
| | 200 | Yes |
| | | No |
| | Pleas | e give reasons for your views. |
| | We ha | ave no comment on this proposed amendment. |

| PART | IV: | DIVIDEND POLICY |
|------|------------------|---|
| 14. | Do you or exp | agree with our proposal to introduce CP E.1.5 requiring (on a "comply lain" basis) the issuer to disclose its dividend policy in the annual report? |
| | | Yes |
| | | No |
| | Please | e give reasons for your views. |
| | We ha | ve no comment on this proposed amendment. |
| | | |
| PART | V: | ELECTRONIC DISSEMINATION OF CORPORATE COMMUNICATIONS – IMPLIED CONSENT |
| 15. | conse | think that the Rules should be amended to allow shareholders' nt to be implied for electronic dissemination of corporate unications by issuers? |
| | | Yes |
| | | No |
| | Please | e give reasons for your views. |
| | We ha | ve no comment on this proposed amendment. |
| | | |