NOTICE

Dear Sir/Madam,

You are invited to complete and return this questionnaire booklet to us if you wish to comment on our Consultation Paper on Proposed Amendments to the Listing Rules relating to Corporate Governance Issues. You are not obliged, however, to supply your personal data such as your name and address if you do not wish to do so.

If you choose to voluntarily supply any personal data to us, you should note the Personal Data Privacy Policy Statement as follows:

Personal Data Privacy Policy Statement

- 1. Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited ("HKEx") is firmly committed to preserving the privacy of respondents in relation to personal data supplied to HKEx on a voluntary basis. Personal data which may include their names, addresses, e-mail addresses, login names etc will not be disclosed by HKEx without your consent unless it is permitted or required by law.
- 2. Personal data of respondents collected by HKEx will be used for one or more of the following purposes:
 - for performing HKEx's functions and those of its subsidiaries under the relevant laws, rules and regulations
 - for research and statistical purposes
 - for any other lawful purposes

Personal data collected will not be used by HKEx for any other purpose unless authorized by you or such use is permitted or required by law.

- 3. HKEx has security measures in place to protect the loss, misuse and alteration of the personal data of respondents. Personal data will be retained for such period as may be necessary for the proper discharge of the functions of HKEx and those of its subsidiaries.
- 4. If you wish to request access to and/or correction of your personal data held by HKEx, you may do so in writing addressed to:

Personal Data Privacy Officer
Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited
11/F, One International Finance Centre,
1 Harbour View Street, Central, Hong Kong
cvw@hkex.com.hk

Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited

CONSULTATION PAPER

ON

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE LISTING RULES RELATING TO

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE ISSUES

(QUESTIONNAIRE)

January 2002



Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited

Please complete this questionnaire and return to Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited by no later than the close of business on 22 April, 2002.

Your contact information	
Name	:
Company	:
Telephone no.	:
E-mail address	:
Institutional investorRetail investor	ain Board EM ion accountant, legal adviser, financial adviser and sponsor, etc)

GENERAL

This questionnaire contains specific questions relating to our proposals that are highlighted in our Consultation Paper issued on 21 January, 2002. We have designed this questionnaire to facilitate your response to the matters of consultation set out in the Consultation Paper. This would help the Exchange in analysing the result with more accuracy and ensure a better understanding of public opinions for the formulation of listing policy for the Main Board and GEM. You are requested to elaborate your views and comments in the space provided after each question. We will analyse responses and comments on our proposals based on the completed questionnaires. You are recommended to read the Consultation Paper in detail when completing this questionnaire.

The proposals set out in the Consultation Paper and this questionnaire will be made to both the Main Board Rules and GEM Rules, unless otherwise stated.

We acknowledge that the use of this questionnaire alone may not be adequate for you to fully communicate your comments on complex issues. You are therefore welcome to supplement your comments and views by attaching additional sheets to this questionnaire booklet.

The consultation period will close on 22 April, 2002.

This questionnaire booklet is also available for completion and submission at the website of Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited: www.hkex.com.hk.

Comments and completed questionnaire booklet should be addressed to Head – Listing, Regulation & Risk Management and sent by post to:

Hong Kong Exchanges & Clearing Limited 11/F, One International Finance Centre 1 Harbour View Street Centre Hong Kong

Alternatively, you should complete and submit the electronic questionnaire available at the website of Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited: www.hkex.com.hk.

PART B OF THE CONSULTATION PAPER PROTECTION OF SHAREHOLDERS' RIGHTS

VOTING BY SHAREHOLDERS

Voting by poll

Q1.

Paragraph 1.4 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to require voting by way of poll for connected transactions and all resolutions requiring independent shareholders' approval (i.e. where controlling shareholders are required to abstain from voting).

Do yo	ou agr	ee with our proposal?	
	Agree		
	Disagree (please tick one of the following)		
		Voting by way of poll at a general meeting should be required for <u>all resolutions</u> .	
		Voting by way of poll should be required only if requested by shareholders pursuant to issuers' constitutional documents.	
		Other views:	
Comn	nents:		
-			

Paragraph 1.5 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to require issuers to publish the results of the poll on the business day following the meeting.

<i>Q2</i> .	Do you agree with our proposal?					
	\square Agree					
	□ Disagree					
	Comments:					
Para	graph 1.6 of Part B of the Consultation Paper					
by sl whe	will amend the Rules to require issuers to disclose the procedure of demanding a poll- hareholders pursuant to their constitutional documents in the circulars to shareholders, n voting by poll is not a mandatory requirement for approving the transactions terned under the Rules and in the issuers' constitutional documents.					
Q3.	Do you agree with our proposal?					
	\square Agree					
	□ Disagree					
	Comments:					

Voting of "interested shareholders" in relation to very substantial acquisitions, very substantial disposals and major transactions

Paragraph 2.4 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Main Board Rules to follow the approach of the GEM Rules. Any shareholder who has an interest shall not vote at a general meeting approving a very substantial acquisition, a very substantial disposal or a major transaction.

4.	Do you agree with our proposal?		
		Agree	
		Disagree. The current Main Board Rules should be retained, i.e. a shareholder who has a <u>material</u> interest, other than as a shareholder, in the subject transaction should not vote at the general meeting. The GEM Rules should be amended to follow the Main Board Rules. Please state reason(s) for your view:	
	Com	ements:	
	-		

Q5.	pur	he term "material interest" is retained, how would you define such term for the pose of determining whether an interested shareholder should abstain from ing at the general meeting approving the subject transaction?				
Voti	ng of	ng of controlling shareholders				
Para	grapl	n 3.9 of Part B of the Consultation Paper				
have certa sign	the the ain m	same right to vote at general meetings of an issuer, except for the approval of atters that have significant impact on issuers and shareholders and there were not previous cases of abuse of minority interests (as set out in paragraph 3.4 of the Consultation Paper).				
Q6.	Do	Do you agree with our principle?				
		Agree				
		Agree, but controlling shareholders should also abstain from voting for the following resolutions. Please specify those resolutions and state reason(s) for your view. Please also refer to questions 15, 19, 27, 34, 35, 38, 41 and 44.				
		Disagree (please tick one of the following)				
		☐ Controlling shareholders should be allowed to vote in all matters in which their interests are the same as other shareholders				

			Other views:
	Con	ıments.	
<i>Q7</i> .	shar	reholde	ree that in those exceptional circumstances which require controlling rs to abstain from voting at the general meeting, they should be allowed inst those resolutions?
		Agre	e e
			gree. In those exceptional circumstances, controlling shareholders should e allowed to vote in favour or against the resolutions.
	Con	ıments.	•

Paragraph 3.10 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Main Board Rules so that in those exceptional circumstances which require independent shareholders' approval under the Main Board Rules, where there are no controlling shareholders, chief executive or directors (except independent non-executive directors) and their respective associates, who together have a controlling interest (being 30% or such threshold set out in the Takeovers Code from time to time) in the issuer, shall abstain from voting at the general meetings approving the relevant resolutions. The GEM Rules will be amended to the same effect that where there are no controlling shareholders, chief executives or directors (except independent non-executive directors) and their respective associates will be required to abstain from voting only if they together have a controlling interest in the issuer.

<i>Q8</i> .	you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree		
		Disagree (please tick one of the following)		
		☐ In those exceptional circumstances which require independent shareholders' approval under the Rules, chief executive or directors (except independent non-executive directors) and their respective associates should abstain from voting at the general meetings approving the relevant resolutions, regardless of the level of their interest in an issuer. Please state reason(s) for your view:		

	In those exceptional circumstances which require independent shareholders' approval under the Rules, chief executive or directors (except independent non-executive directors) and their respective associates should be allowed to vote at the general meetings approving the relevant resolutions. Please state reason(s) for your view:
	Other views:
Comments:	

Paragraph 3.11 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules so that in those exceptional circumstances which require independent shareholders' approval under the Rules, we reserve the right to require the following parties to abstain from voting at the general meetings approving the relevant resolutions:

(a) controlling shareholders at the time the decision for the transaction was made or when the transaction was approved by the board, who cease to be the controlling shareholders but are still shareholders at the time of the general meeting; or

	con	trolling	directors) or chief executive, who together with their associates had a ginterest in the issuer, at the time the decision for the transaction was nen the transaction was approved by the board.
Q9.	Do you agree with our proposal?		
		Agree	
		Disag	gree (please tick one of the following)
			In those exceptional circumstances which require independent shareholders' approval under the Rules, the parties mentioned in paragraphs 3.11(a) and (b) of Part B of the Consultation Paper should be allowed to vote at the general meetings approving the relevant resolutions.
			Other views:
	Con	nments:	

(b) where there are no controlling shareholders, directors (except independent non-

Waiver of requirement to hold general meetings

Paragraph 4.7 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to codify our practice that a written shareholders' approval in lieu of holding a physical shareholders' meeting for the approval of major transactions or connected transactions will be allowed only if the following conditions are met:

- (a) the transactions do not involve issues of securities by the issuer or its subsidiaries;
- (b) no shareholder is required to abstain from voting if the issuer convenes a general meeting for the approval of the subject transactions; and
- (c) the written shareholders' approval has been obtained from a shareholder or a closely allied group of shareholders who together hold more than 50% in the nominal value of the securities giving the right to attend and vote at that general meeting to approve the subject transactions.

Q10. Do you agree with our proposal that a written shareholders' approval in lieu of

lding a physical shareholders' meeting should be allowed only if all the three nditions set out in paragraph 4.7 of Part B of the Consultation Paper are met?
Agree
Agree, but waivers for holding a general meeting should also be granted in the following circumstances. Please specify those circumstances and state reason(s) for your view:
Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:

	<i>Con</i>	ıments	<i>v</i> :
Q11.	hola	ling a	gree with our proposal that written shareholders' approval in lieu of physical general meeting should be accepted for the approval of majorns or connected transactions?
		Agre	ee e
		Disa	gree (please tick one or more of the following)
			Written shareholders' approval in lieu of holding a physical general meeting should not be accepted for the approval of connected transactions.
			Written shareholders' approval in lieu of holding a physical general meeting should not be accepted for the approval of major transactions.
			Under no circumstances, should a written shareholders' approval in lieu of holding a physical general meeting be accepted, disregarding the nature of the subject resolution.
			Other views:

		Please state reason(s) for your view:
	Comn	nents:
Doro	aranh /	1.9 of Port D. of the Congultation Poper
rara	grapir	4.8 of Part B of the Consultation Paper
give	n by th	nend the Rules to require issuers to disclose details of the written approval e respective shareholders, including a description of the closely allied group of in the announcements on the transactions.
Q12.	Do yo	ou agree with our proposal?
		Agree
		Disagree
	Comn	nents:

DILUTION OF SHAREHOLDERS' INTERESTS

Placing of shares using the general mandate

Paragraph 5.8 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will retain the Rules which allow issuers to issue securities up to a maximum of 20% of the existing issued share capital under a general mandate.

Q13.	. Do y	ou agree with our proposal?
		Agree
		Disagree. Issuers should be allowed to issue securities under a general mandate up to a limit of (please tick one of the following):
		□ No limit at all
		□ 5% of issued share capital
		□ 10 % of issued share capital
		☐ 15 % of issued share capital
		☐ Other. Please specify:
		Please state reason(s) for your view:
	Com	ments:

Q14.	man the l how man	Rules do not impose any restriction on the number of refreshments of general dates during a financial year. Based on your answer to question 13 regarding limit on the number of securities that can be issued under a general mandate, many times do you think an issuer should be allowed to refresh its general date for the issue of securities in any 1 financial year (please tick one of the wing)?					
		None					
		1 time					
		2 times					
		3 times					
		Other. Please specify:					
		Unlimited					
Q15.	Comments:						
	The Rules require shareholders' approval for refreshment(s) of general mandates by the issuer. Do you agree that no independent shareholders' approval should be required for the refreshment(s) of general mandates?						
		Agree					
		Disagree (Please tick one of the following)					
		☐ Independent shareholders' approval should be required for the issuer's refreshment(s) of general mandates.					

			Other views:
	Con	ıments:	
_	-	you agi period	ree to set a cumulative limit for the issue of securities in any rolling 3
		Agree	e (please tick one of the following)
			5% of issued share capital as at the date of commencement of any rolling 3 year period
			7.5% of issued share capital as at the date of commencement of any rolling 3 year period
			10% of issued share capital as at the date of commencement of any rolling 3 year period
			Other. Please specify:
		Pleas	e state reason(s) for your view:

		Disagree. Issue of securities should not be subject to any such cumulative limit.
	Com	ments:
Parag	graph	5.9 of Part B of the Consultation Paper
gene diffic a ge arrar	ral ma culties neral	mend the Rules to impose a pricing restriction on the issue of securities under a andate. Unless an issuer can satisfy the Exchange that it is in severe financial s or that there are other exceptional circumstances, it may not issue shares under mandate if the placing price or the subscription price under the top-up ents represents a discount of 20% or more to the benchmarked price, being the
(a)	the c	closing price on the date of signing of the placing agreement; or
(b)	the a	verage closing price in the 5 trading days prior to the earlier of:
	(i)	the date of announcement of placing;
	(ii)	the date of placing agreement; or
	(iii)	the date on which the placing price is fixed.

Q17.		you agree with the proposed basis of the benchmarked price set out in graph 5.9 of Part B of the Consultation Paper?
		Agree
		Disagree. Please specify the alternative benchmarked price you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:
	Con	aments:
Q18.	pric man	you agree with the proposed trigger discount level (i.e. 20% to the benchmarked e) at which an issuer will not be allowed to issue securities under a general date unless it can satisfy the Exchange that it is in severe financial difficulties hat there are other exceptional circumstances?
		Agree
		Disagree. The trigger discount level should be set at the following level (please tick one of the following):
		□ a discount of 3% or more to the benchmarked price
		□ a discount of 5% or more to the benchmarked price
		\square a discount of 10% or more to the benchmarked price
		Other Please specify:

		Plea	se state reason(s) for your view:				
	Com	ments	y:				
Q19.	are i	you agree with our proposal to require issuers to satisfy the Exchange that they in severe financial difficulties or that there are other exceptional circumstances hey issue securities under a general mandate at or above the trigger discount el? Agree					
		Disagree (please tick one of the following)					
			Shareholders' approval should be required and no shareholder (except for shareholders who have different interests from other shareholders in the placing or top-up arrangement) should be required to abstain from voting at the general meeting approving the relevant resolution, if an issuer issues securities at or above the trigger discount level. Please state reason(s) for your view:				

Specific independent shareholders' approval should be required, if an issuer issues securities at or above the trigger discount level. Please state reason(s) for your view:
Issuers should not be required to satisfy the Exchange that they are in severe financial difficulties or that there are other exceptional circumstances if they issue securities under a general mandate at or above the trigger discount level. No shareholders' approval requirement should be imposed on the issue of securities under a general mandate, regardless of the percentage discount of the placing price or the subscription price under the top-up arrangement to the market price. Please state reason(s) for your view:
Other views:

	Com	aments:
Paraş	graph	5.10 of Part B of the Consultation Paper
share the b anno place	es, on benchi buncer ees wh infor	mend the Rules to require an issuer to issue an announcement on any placing of ce the shares are placed, if the placing price is at a discount of 20% or more to marked price set out in paragraph 5.9 of Part B of the Consultation Paper. The ment shall disclose, among other things, a generic description of the 10 largest no in aggregate subscribe to 50% or more of the total number of shares placed. mation shall also contain the number of shares subscribed by each of the
Q20.	Do y	you agree with our proposal?
		Agree
		Agree, but the following information should also be disclosed in the announcement. Please specify those information and state reason(s) for your view:
		Disagree. Additional disclosure requirement should be imposed (please tick one of the following):
		☐ irrespective of the discount
		if the placing or subscription price is at a discount of (please tick one of the following):
		☐ 3% or more to the benchmarked price

				5% or more to the benchmarked price
				10% or more to the benchmarked price
				Other. Please specify:
	Com	ıments	:	
Placii	ng a	nd top	-up s	subscription
Parag	raph	6.3 of	Part	B of the Consultation Paper
apply	if th	ne numer of s	iber c securi	Rules so that the exemption from shareholders' approval will only of new securities subscribed by a connected person does not exceed ties placed by him or her to a third party in a placing and top-up nent.
<i>Q21</i> .	Do y	vou ag	ree w	ith our proposal?
		Agre	re	
		Disa	gree	(please tick one of the following)
			and pers	Rules on the exemption from shareholders' approval for a placing top-up subscription arrangement should be retained. A connected son should be allowed to subscribe for new securities up to his centage interest in such securities immediately before the placing.
			Oth	er views:

C	Comments:
_	
_	
_	
_	
Paragra	ph 6.4 of Part B of the Consultation Paper
exempt	l amend the Main Board Rules to follow the GEM Rules and specify that the ion from shareholders' approval will only apply when securities are issued within after the connected person has executed an agreement to reduce his holding.
Q22. D	Oo you agree with our proposal?
] Agree
] Disagree
C	Comments:
_	
_	
_	
_	

Rights issues and open offers

Paragraph 7.7 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will retain the Rules that require independent shareholders' approval for any rights issues or open offers that would increase the issued share capital or market capitalisation of the issuer by more than 50%.

923. Do y	you agr	ree with our proposal?
	Agre	e (please answer questions 25 and 26)
	Disag	gree (please tick one of the following)
		No shareholders' approval should be required for rights issues or open offers that would increase the issued share capital or market capitalisation of the issuer by more than 50%. Please state reason(s) for your view:
		All shareholders including controlling shareholders should be allowed to vote at the general meetings approving rights issues or open offers that would increase the issued share capital or market capitalisation of the issuer by more than 50%. Please state reason(s) for your view:
<i>Con</i>	nments.	

I. If you consider that the requirement of independent shareholders' approval for rights issues or open offers that would increase the issued share capital or marked capitalisation of the issuer by more than 50% should be removed, do you agree that rights issues or open offers which are underwritten or sub-underwritten by a connected person of the issuer should be subject to shareholders' approval?					
	Agree. Rights issues or open offers which are underwritten or sub- underwritten by a connected person of the issuer should be subject to shareholders' approval. The connected person(s) acting as an underwriter or sub-underwriter or having a different interest from other shareholders in the transactions should be required to abstain from voting at the general meeting.				
	Disagree. The Rules should be retained so that rights issues or open offers which are underwritten or sub-underwritten by a connected person of the issuer should still be exempt from shareholders' approval requirement. Please specify your view on how to safeguard the interest of minority shareholders and state reason(s) for your view:				
Com	ments:				
	right capit right conn				

Paragraph 7.8 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to clarify how the 50% threshold should be determined. The latest rights issue or open offer shall be aggregated with:

(a) any other rights issues or open offers made in the previous 12 months; and

(b)	any bonus securities, warrants or other convertible securities (assuming full conversion) granted to shareholders as part of the rights issues or open offers in the previous 12 months.			
Q25.	Do y	you agree with our proposal?		
		Agree		
		Disagree		
	Con	nments:		
Parag	graph	7.9 of Part B of the Consultation Paper		
comr	nenci	so amend the Rules to specify that the 12 month period shall be the 12 months ing on the first day of dealing of fully paid shares issued under the earliest rights pen offer (as set out in the relevant circular) up to the date of announcement of proposed rights issue or open offer.		
Q26.	Do y	vou agree with our proposal?		
		Agree		
		Disagree. Please indicate your view on how the 12 month period should be computed and state reason(s) for your view:		

	Com	ements:	
We we under of the approtection the all availar share	vill a writte iss val, i lotte able hold	7.10 of Part B of the Consultation Paper amend the Rules to clarify that an open offer which is wholly or en or sub-underwritten by a director, chief executive or substantial shar uer (or any associate of any of them) shall not be subject to shareh f there are arrangements in place for the disposal of securities not subscr es by means of excess application forms, in which case such securities to for subscription by all shareholders and allocated on a fair basis. ers' approval is required for the open offer, any shareholders who nterest in the open offer shall abstain from voting at the general meeting.	reholders' nolders' ribed by must be Where have a
Q27.	Do y	ou agree with our proposal?	
		Agree	
		Disagree (please tick one of the following)	
		☐ Controlling shareholders should be required to abstain from verthe general meeting approving such resolution as set out proposal.	_
		Other views:	

	Comments:		
Excl	usion of overseas shareholders from share offers		
Paraş	graph 8.2 of Part B of the Consultation Paper		
We v	vill amend the Rules:		
(a)	to allow issuers to exclude overseas shareholders in an offer of securities provided the directors of the issuers consider it necessary or expedient to do so on the account either of the legal problems under the laws of the relevant place or the requirements of the relevant regulatory body or stock exchange;		
(b)	to require issuers to include explanation(s) for exclusion of overseas shareholders from the share offers in the relevant offer document; and		
(c)	to require issuers to ensure that the offer document shall, subject to compliance with the local laws and regulations, also be made available to the overseas shareholders.		
Q28.	Do you agree with our proposal?		
	\Box Agree		
	□ Disagree		
	Comments:		

OTHER MATTERS AFFECTING SHAREHOLDERS

Material changes in nature of business

Paragraph 9.6 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

The Main Board Rules require independent shareholders' approval for an issuer entering into any transaction or arrangement within the period of 12 months from the commencement of dealings in the securities, which would result in a material change to the general character or nature of the business of the issuer or its group as described in the listing document issued when it first applied for listing. We will amend the Main Board Rules to also cover a series of transactions or arrangements entered into during the said 12 month period. We will amend the GEM Rules to also cover a series of transactions or arrangements entered into from the date of listing on GEM to the end of the first financial year and the 2 financial years thereafter.

Q29.	29. Do you agree with our proposal?			
	\Box Agree			
		Disagree		
	Comments:			

Share repurchases

Restrictions on pricing and bidding

Paragraph 10.4 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to prohibit repurchases on the Exchange at a price 5% higher than the average closing market price over the preceding 5 trading days on which shares were traded.

Qsv.	Do you agree with the proposed cap of 5% of the average closing market price over the preceding 5 trading days on which shares were traded?			
		Agree		
		Disagree (please tick one of the following)		
		\square No pricing restriction should be imposed on share repurchases.		
		☐ Other. Please specify:		
	Comments:			
Q31.				
	Do you agree with the proposed basis of the benchmarked price (i.e. the average closing market price over the preceding 5 trading days on which shares were traded)?			
		ed)?		
		ed)? Agree		
		Agree Disagree. The basis of the benchmarked price should be the average closing		
		Agree Disagree. The basis of the benchmarked price should be the average closing market price over (please tick one of the following):		
		Agree Disagree. The basis of the benchmarked price should be the average closing market price over (please tick one of the following): the preceding trading day		

		Alternative benchmark for pricing restriction. Please specify the alternative benchmark you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for you view:
Со	mments:	
_		
Dealing	restricti	ons
Paragrap	h 11.2 o	f Part B of the Consultation Paper
follow the	ne curren annual	ne Rules to require the dealing restriction period for share repurchases to it "black out" period for securities transactions by directors for the half-results, and the proposed "black out" period for quarterly reporting set 19.7 of Part C of the Consultation Paper.
Q32. Do	you agr	ee with our proposal?
	Agree	?
	_	gree. Please specify the restriction period for share repurchases you think propriate and state reason(s) for your view:

	Con	nments:
25 %	—— 6 moi	nthly share repurchase restriction
Para	graph	12.3 of Part B of the Consultation Paper
We ^y Rule		bolish the 25% monthly share repurchase restriction under the Main Board
233.	. Do y	you agree with our proposal?
		Agree
		Disagree. The 25% monthly share repurchase restriction should be retained in the Main Board Rules and introduced in the GEM Rules.
	Con	nments:
Witl	ndrav	val of primary listing on the Exchange

Paragraph 13.5 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules so that any withdrawal of primary listing on the Exchange shall be subject to:

the approval of at least 75% of the votes attaching to the shares held by independent shareholders cast either in person or by proxy in a general meeting of independent shareholders; and

(b)		the number of votes cast against the resolution must not be more than 10% of the votes attaching to all the shares held by independent shareholders.		
Q34.	Do you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree		
		Disagree. Please specify the approval threshold you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:		
	Comr	ments:		

Withdrawal of secondary listing on the Exchange

Paragraph 14.2 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules so that issuers with secondary listing status on the Exchange may withdraw their listing status if:

- (a) they have complied with all relevant laws, regulations and listing rules of their home jurisdiction; and
- (b) they have provided shareholders with at least 3 months' prior notice of the proposed delisting, by way of an announcement.

5. Do y	ou agr	ree with our proposal?		
	Agree			
	Disag	gree (please tick one or more of the following)		
		Voluntary withdrawal of secondary listing on the Exchange should be subject to independent shareholders' approval. Please state reason(s, for your view:		
		The period of notice should be: month(s) (please specify). Please state reason(s) for your view:		
Com	iments:			

NOTIFIABLE TRANSACTIONS OTHER THAN CONNECTED TRANSACTIONS

Very substantial acquisitions

Paragraph 15.6 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules so that issuers shall comply with the provisions for "very substantial acquisitions", irrespective of whether the assets being acquired are listed or not.

Q36.	Do you	agree with our proposal?
	\Box A	lgree — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
		Disagree
	Comme	ents:
Parag	graph 15	5.7 of Part B of the Consultation Paper
subst	antial a	end the Rules so that some relaxation in the form of a waiver for "very equisitions" from shareholders' approval in a hostile or contested takeovers be granted.
Q37.	Do you	agree with our proposal?
	\Box A	lgree
		Disagree

	Con	ıments	3:
Parag	graph	15.8	of Part B of the Consultation Paper
the v	oting	g at th	the GEM Rules so that no shareholders will be required to abstain from e shareholders' meeting approving a very substantial acquisition, unless erent interest from other shareholders in the transaction.
Q38.	Do y	you ag	ree with our proposal?
		Agre	re
		Disc	agree (please tick one of the following)
			The existing GEM Rules should be retained so that independent shareholders' approval will be required for all very substantial acquisitions. The Main Board Rules will be amended to follow the GEM Rules in this regard. Please state reason(s) for your view:
			Other views:

	Con	nments	
Parag	graph	15.9	of Part B of the Consultation Paper
			nend the Main Board Rules so that no written certificate of shareholders' e accepted for very substantial acquisitions.
Q39.	Do j	you ag	ree with our proposal?
		Agre	ee
		Disa	gree (please tick one of the following)
			Written certificate of shareholders' approval should be accepted for very substantial acquisitions. Please state reason(s) for your view:
			Other views:

	Comments:
Intro	duction of "very substantial disposals"
Parag	graph 16.4 of Part B of the Consultation Paper
dispo wher	vill introduce in the Rules a new type of transaction, namely "very substantial sals". This type of transaction will cover disposal of assets, business or company, e any of the percentage ratios under the various tests for classification of the action is 75% or more.
Q40.	Do you agree with our proposal?
	\square Agree
	☐ Disagree. There is no need to specifically address very substantial disposals.
	Comments:

Paragraph 16.5 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to require shareholders' approval for all very substantial disposals. No shareholders will be required to abstain from voting at the shareholders' meeting approving a very substantial disposal, unless they have a different interest from other shareholders in the transaction.

Do yo	u ag	ree with our proposal?
	Agre	e
	Disa	gree (please tick one of the following)
		Independent shareholders' approval should be required for all very substantial disposals. Please state reason(s) for your view:
		Other views:
Comm	nents	:

Paragraph 16.6 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

No written certificate of shareholders' approval shall be accepted for very substantial disposals.

Q42.	Do yo	ou agr	ee with our proposal?
		Agree	
		Disag	rree (please tick one of the following)
			Written certificate of shareholders' approval should be accepted for very substantial disposals. Please state reason(s) for your view:
			Other views:
	Comn	nents:	

Reverse takeovers

Paragraph 17.6 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the GEM Rules to expand the definition of "reverse takeover" to include any acquisition of assets that will lead to a fundamental change of business of issuers as a reverse takeover.

Q43.	Do y	ou agree with our proposal?
		Agree
		Disagree
	Com	ments:
	-	
	-	

Paragraph 17.7 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the GEM Rules so that no shareholders will be required to abstain from voting at the shareholders' meeting to approve a reverse takeover, unless they have a different interest from other shareholders in the transaction.

. Do yot	u agi	ree with our proposal?
	Agre	e
	Disa	gree (please tick one of the following)
		The existing GEM Rules should be retained so that independent shareholders' approval will be required for reverse takeovers. The Main Board Rules will be amended to follow the GEM Rules in this regard. Please state reason(s) for your view:
		Other views:
Comm	ents.	:

Paragraph 17.8 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

No written certificate of shareholders' approval shall be accepted for reverse takeovers.

Q45.	Do y	ou agr	ee with our proposal?
		Agree	
		Disag	gree (please tick one of the following)
			Written certificate of shareholders' approval shall be allowed for reverse takeovers. Please state reason(s) for your view:
			Other views:
	Com	ments:	

41

Paragraph 17.9 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Main Board Rules to introduce a separate category of "reverse takeover" transaction and adopt the same requirements for "reverse takeover" under the GEM Rules and our proposals set out in paragraphs 17.6 to 17.8 of Part B of the Consultation Paper.

Q46.	Do y	ou agr	ee with our proposal?
		Agree	
		Disag	gree (please tick one of the following)
			There is no need to have a separate category of transactions called "reverse takeover". The current Main Board Rules on when to treat a very substantial acquisition as a new listing should be retained.
			Other views:
	Com	ments:	

Introduction of "total assets test" and "turnover test"

Paragraph 18.4 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to adopt a new basis for the "assets test". The new "assets test" will be the total assets being the subject of the transaction divided by the total assets of the issuer. The total assets of the issuer mean the total fixed assets, including intangible assets, plus the total current and non-current assets of the issuer. We will also make similar changes to certain provisions under the Rules which have made references to "net tangible assets" or "net assets", where appropriate. We will use "total assets" as the new basis for the relevant tests.

47. L	o y	ou agr	ee with our proposal?
		Agree	
		Disag	gree (please tick one of the following)
			The existing "assets test" using net assets as the basis of calculation should be retained.
			Other views:
C	Com	ments:	
_			
_			
_			

Paragraph 18.5 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules so that if issuers can satisfy us that the anomalous results of profits test are due to exceptional circumstances, we may allow the adoption of a "turnover test" to substitute for the "profits test". The "turnover test" will only apply if the "profits test" is not applicable. The "turnover test" is the turnover attributable to the assets being the subject of the transaction divided by the turnover of the issuer.

	Agree	
	Disag	gree (please tick one of the following)
		"Turnover test" should be an additional standalone test, rather than a substitute test for the "profits test". Please state reason(s) for your view:
		"Turnover test" should not be used as a test for classification of notifiable transactions. Please state reason(s) for your view:
Con	aments:	
Con	nments:	
Con	nments:	
Con	nments:	
<i>Con</i>	nments:	

Paragraph 18.6 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to use total assets as the denominator for the "consideration test". The total assets of the issuer mean the total fixed assets, including intangible assets, plus the total current and non-current assets of the issuer.

Q49.	Do y	ou agr	ee with our proposal?
		Agree	
		Disag	ree (please tick one of the following)
			The existing "consideration test" using net assets as the basis of calculation should be retained.
			Other views:
	Com	ments:	

New thresholds for notifiable transactions

more but each is less than 25%;

Paragraph 19.6 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will adjust the threshold levels of relevant tests under the Rules, which have made references to "net tangible assets" or "net assets", where appropriate. For categorisation of notifiable transactions, the threshold levels of all size tests will be adjusted as follows:

(a)	trans	Share transaction – a transaction where all percentage ratios is less than 5% but the transaction involves issue of securities for which listing will be sought as consideration;			
	Q50.	Do y	you agree with our proposal?		
			Agree		
			Disagree. Please specify the percentage level you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:		
		Com	ements:		
(b)	Disc	loseal	ole transaction – a transaction where any of the percentage ratios is 5% or		

Disagree. Please specify the percentage level you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:
saction – a transaction where any of the percentage ratios is 25% or more, s less than 100% for an acquisition transaction or less than 75% for a
saction – a transaction where any of the percentage ratios is 25% or more, s less than 100% for an acquisition transaction or less than 75% for a
saction – a transaction where any of the percentage ratios is 25% or more, s less than 100% for an acquisition transaction or less than 75% for a
saction – a transaction where any of the percentage ratios is 25% or more, s less than 100% for an acquisition transaction or less than 75% for a
s less than 100% for an acquisition transaction or less than 75% for a
s less than 100% for an acquisition transaction or less than 75% for a
s less than 100% for an acquisition transaction or less than 75% for a
s less than 100% for an acquisition transaction or less than 75% for a
s less than 100% for an acquisition transaction or less than 75% for a
ou agree with our proposal?
Agree
Disagree. Please specify the percentage level you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:

		Comments:				
(d)		substantial acquisition – an acquisition where any of the percentage ratios is % or more; and				
	Q53.	Do y	ou agree with our proposal?			
			Agree			
			Disagree. Please specify the percentage level you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:			
		Com	ements:			
(e)	Very more		tantial disposal – a disposal where any of the percentage ratios is 75% or			
	Q54.	Do y	ou agree with our proposal?			
			Agree			

		Disagree. Please specify the percentage level you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:
	Com	ments:
		menis.
Valuation	of pro	pperties
Paragraph	20.4 c	of Part B of the Consultation Paper
prepared	in appı	the Rules so that we reserve the right to require valuation reports to be ropriate circumstances, including circumstances where there are already n reports less than 3 months old.
Q55. Do	you agi	ree with our proposal?
	Agre	e
	Disa	gree
Con	nments	•

Paragraph 20.5 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules so that for the calculation of "size tests" under the notifiable transaction rules, the higher of the consideration (which in the case of a property company, will include the value of all outstanding mortgages), the book value of the assets, or the valuation of the assets will form the numerator for the "assets test".

Q56.	Do y	you agree with our proposal?
		Agree
		Disagree
	Com	aments:
Parag	graph	20.6 of Part B of the Consultation Paper
_	ght of	se to change the threshold level for the requirement of a valuation report to 25%, the adjusted thresholds for categorisation of notifiable transactions under all
Q57.	Do y	you agree with our proposal?
		Agree
		Disagree. Please specify the threshold level you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:

	Comments:			
Asse	t val	luation		
Paraş	grapl	h 21.2 of Part B of the Consultation Paper		
issue will requi princ audit	ers bather be in the between t	amend the Rules so that any valuation of assets or businesses acquired by the ased on discounted cash flows or projections of profits, earnings or cash flows regarded as a profit forecast. Such valuations will be subject to the same ents of profit forecasts under the Rules. This includes disclosure of details of the assumptions of the valuations and obtaining reports on the forecasts from the or consultant accountants. Any financial adviser mentioned in the circulars to ders shall also report on the forecasts.		
Q58.	Do	you agree with our proposal?		
		Agree		
		Disagree (please tick one of the following)		
		Valuation of assets or businesses acquired by the issuers based or discounted cash flows or projections of profits, earnings or cash flow should not be regarded as a profit forecast. However, the Exchange should be allowed to reserve the right to treat such asset or business valuation as a profit forecast.		
		□ Valuation of assets or businesses acquired by the issuers based or discounted cash flows or projections of profits, earnings or cash flow should not be regarded as a profit forecast in any event.		

		Other views:
	Comme	ents:
Optio	ons grai	nted by issuers
Parag	raph 22	.4 of Part B of the Consultation Paper
comp	uting thected tra	nd the GEM Rules to reduce the premium threshold from 15% to 10% for ne size tests for notifiable transactions and the de minimis thresholds for ansactions, which involve options that are exercisable at the discretion of
Q59.	Do you	agree with our proposal?
	\Box A	gree
		Disagree. Please specify the premium threshold you think is appropriate and tate reason(s) for your view:
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	

	Comments:					
Parag	graph 22.5 of Part B of the Consultation Paper					
acqui Part I	will amend the Main Board Rules to follow the GEM Rules in relation to the grant, sition, transfer or exercise of an option by an issuer as set out in paragraph 22.1 of B of the Consultation Paper as amended by the proposal in paragraph 22.4 of Part B e Consultation Paper.					
Q60.	Do you agree with our proposal?					
	☐ Agree					
	□ Disagree					
	Comments:					

Dilution of interest in subsidiaries resulting in deemed disposals

Paragraph 23.2 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules so that the existing requirements in relation to deemed disposals of interest in subsidiaries shall apply to allotments of share capital for any consideration and not limited to "cash consideration" only.

Q61.	Do	you ag	ree with our proposal?
		Agre	ee e
		Disa	gree
	Con	nments	:
CON	INE(CTED	TRANSACTIONS
Defii	nitio	n of "c	onnected person"
Parag	graph	24.7	of Part B of the Consultation Paper
in the	e Ma lonsh	iin Bo ip at tl	n the existing regulatory approach to the definition of "connected person" and Rules. This includes persons who are connected by virtue of their ne subsidiary level. We will amend the GEM Rules to bring that into line oard Rules.
Q62.	Do	you ag	ree with our proposal?
		Agre	re
		Disa	gree (please tick one of the following)
			The definition of "connected person" under the GEM Rules should be retained. The Main Board Rules should be amended to follow the GEM Rules in this regard.
			Other views:

	Com	nments:					
Paraş	graph	24.8 of Part B of the Consultation Paper					
certa perso defin	in as on(s) nition	posal in relation to regulating transactions between connected persons and sociated companies over which the listed group together with the connected of an issuer have control is adopted, we will amend the Rules to extend the of "connected person" to cover a director, chief executive or substantial er of such an associated company or any of their respective associates.					
Q63.	Do y	you agree with our proposal?					
		Agree					
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:					
	Con	nments:					

Definition of "associate"

Paragraph 25.6 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will retain the existing definition of "associate" in the Rules.

Q64.	Q64. Do you agree with our proposal?		ree with our proposal?
] Agree	
			gree. The definition of "associate" should be extended to cover the wing (please tick one or more of the following):
			in relation to any director, chief executive or substantial shareholder being an individual, settlors and beneficiaries of any trust of which such individual or any of his family interests is a beneficiary or a discretionary object, and any companies controlled by any such trust;
			in relation to a substantial shareholder being a company, the ultimate beneficial owners who control 30% or more of the voting power at general meetings or control the composition of a majority of the board of directors of such company;
			in relation to a substantial shareholder being a company, the ultimate beneficial owners who control 30% or more of the voting power at general meetings or control the composition of a majority of the board of directors of such company. Where the ultimate shareholders are corporates, this will also include the ultimate individual beneficial owners who control more than 50% of the voting power at general meetings or control the composition of a majority of the board of directors of such corporates;
			persons with controlling interests in companies that are controlled by a director, chief executive or substantial shareholder and other companies controlled by these persons;
			any company whose directors are accustomed to act in accordance with the directions and instructions of a substantial shareholder (being a company) of the issuer; and

	any other individuals or companies you think are appropriate to be
	included in the definition of "associate". Please specify those individuals
	or companies and state reason(s) for your view:
	1 (73 3
Comments:	

Transactions between connected persons and associated companies

Paragraph 26.9 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules so that transactions between connected persons of an issuer and an associated company of the issuer will be regulated as connected transactions if:

- (a) the issuer and/or its subsidiaries hold not less than 20% of the voting power in such associated company; and
- (b) the issuer and/or its subsidiaries together with connected person(s) of the issuer (excluding connected person(s) at the subsidiary level) have control over such associated company. Control here shall have the same meaning as stated in paragraph 30.5 of Part B of the Consultation Paper.

☐ Agree☐ Disagree (please tick one of the following)		20
		agree (please tick one of the following)
		Issuers will not be able to comply with the proposal even if the issued and/or its subsidiaries together with connected person(s) of the issued (excluding connected person(s) at the subsidiary level) have control over such an associated company.
		Other views:
	Plea	use state reason(s) for your view:
Con	nments	y:
Con	nments	3:
<i>Con</i>	nments	y:
<i>Con</i>	nments	»:
Con	nments	3:
<i>Con</i>	nments	X:

Transactions with non wholly owned subsidiaries

Paragraph 27.4 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules so that non wholly owned subsidiaries shall not be treated as "connected persons" under the Rules, if no connected person(s) of the issuer (excluding connected person(s) at the subsidiary level) are together a substantial shareholder (i.e. holding 10% or more interest) in such non wholly owned subsidiaries. Transactions between issuers or their subsidiaries and such non wholly owned subsidiaries shall not be regulated as connected transactions.

Q66.	Do you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree		
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:		
	Comn	nents:		

De minimis thresholds for connected transactions

Paragraph 28.2 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules so that the basis for the de minimis thresholds for connected transactions will refer to the total assets instead of the net tangible assets of issuers. Consequently, we will also adjust the relevant percentage level of the de minimis thresholds. The revised Rules will provide the following de minimis thresholds:

(a)	a connected transaction will normally be exempt from all the relevant reporting, announcement and shareholders' approval requirements if it is on normal commercial terms where the total consideration or value is less than the higher of:			
	(i)	HK\$	1,000,000; or	
	(ii)	0.01%	% of the total assets of the issuer; and	
	Q67.	Do you agree with our proposal?		
			Agree	
			Disagree. Please specify the threshold level you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:	
		<i>Comi</i>	ments:	

(b)	a connected transaction will normally be subject to the reporting and announcement requirements if it is on normal commercial terms where the total consideration or value is less than the higher of:					
	(i)	HK\$10,000,000; or				
	(ii)	1% of the total assets of the issuer.				
	Q68.	Do you agree with our proposal?				
		\Box Agree				
		☐ Disagree. Please specify the threshold level you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:				
		Comments:				
Con	tinuin	g connected transactions				
Para	graph	29.4 of Part B of the Consultation Paper				
		mend to the Main Board Rules to introduce a new category of "continuing transactions".				
Q69	. Do y	ou agree with our proposal?				
		Agree				
		Disagree				

	Com	ment	S:			
Para	ıgraph	29.5	of Part B of the Consultation Paper			
We	will an	nend	the Rules to the effect that:			
(a)	exen	npt fi	ing connected transaction on normal commercial terms will normally be com reporting, announcement and shareholders' approval requirements annual total consideration or value of the transaction is less than the higher			
	(i)	HK	\$1,000,000; or			
	(ii)	0.01	% of the total assets of the issuer;			
	Q70.	. Do you agree with our proposal?				
			Agree			
			Disagree. Please specify the threshold level you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:			

		Comments:			
(b)	a co1		ng connected transaction on normal commercial terms will normally be		
(0)	exem	npt fro	om shareholders' approval requirements when the annual total consideration f the transaction is less than the higher of:		
	(i)	HK\$	510,000,000; or		
	(ii)	1 %	of the total assets of the issuer;		
	Q71.	Do y	you agree with our proposal?		
			Agree		
			Disagree. Please specify the threshold level you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:		
		Com	aments:		

(c)	a continuing connected transaction shall be subject to shareholders' approval if the annual total consideration or value of the transaction exceeds the limit set out in (b) above. Any connected person interested in the continuing connected transaction shall abstain from voting at the general meeting approving the transaction.		
	Q72.	Do you agree with our proposal?	
		\square Agree	
		□ Disagree	
		Comments:	
Para	graph	29.6 of Part B of the Consultation Paper	
conr	nected	nend the Main Board Rules so that issuers proposing to enter into continuing transactions falling under paragraph 29.5(b) or 29.5(c) Part B of the on Paper must:	
(a)		spect of each connected transaction, enter into agreement(s) with the connected on, the period for which shall not exceed 3 years;	
(b)	in respect of each connected transaction, set a maximum aggregate annual value which must be acceptable to us; and		
(c)	comply with the relevant reporting, announcement and/or the shareholders' approval requirements if required. If the relevant cap is exceeded, the issuer must again comply with the relevant reporting, announcement and/or the shareholders' approval requirements.		
Q73.	Do y	ou agree with our proposal?	
		Agree	
		Disagree	

Comments:
Paragraph 29.7 of Part B of the Consultation Paper
We will also amend the Rules to require shareholders' approval for the continuing connected transactions at the time when an issuer first enters into the transactions and when the agreement is renewed or there is a material change to the terms of the agreement. Any shareholders who have a different interest from other shareholders in the transactions will be required to abstain from voting.
Q74. Do you agree with our proposal?
\square Agree
□ Disagree
Comments:
Paragraph 29.8 of Part B of the Consultation Paper
We will amend the GEM Rules to remove the requirements of annual review and reapproval of the transactions and the cap by shareholders (other than those who have a different interest from other shareholders in the transactions) at annual general meetings following the initial approval.
Q75. Do you agree with our proposal?
\square Agree
\square Disagree

(Comments:
-	
-	
-	
-	
Paragr	raph 29.9 of Part B of the Consultation Paper
agreer becam conne	ill amend the Rules so that in circumstances where an issuer had entered into an ment with a person involving continuing transactions, and such person subsequently he a connected person, the issuer shall treat such transactions as continuing cted transactions. The issuer must take appropriate actions to comply with the ements of the Rules as soon as reasonably practicable.
Q76. I	Do you agree with our proposal?
[☐ Agree
[☐ Disagree
	Comments:
-	
-	
-	
-	
-	

MEANING OF "SUBSIDIARY"

Paragraph 30.10 of Part B of the Consultation Paper

Under the Rules, the definition of "subsidiary" includes any entity which is regarded as a subsidiary under the Hong Kong Companies Ordinance. We will amend the Rules to expand the definition of "subsidiary" for all purposes of the Rules to include an entity which is accounted for in the audited consolidated accounts of an issuer as a subsidiary under the applicable accounting principles under SSAP 32 or IAS 27.

<i>Q77</i> .	Do you agree with our proposal?
	\square Agree
	□ Disagree
	Comments:
DISP	OSAL OF CONTROLLING SHAREHOLDERS' INTERESTS
Comr	mencement of lock-up period
Parag	raph 31.3 of Part B of the Consultation Paper
contro issuer month same excep manag end u	will amend the Rules so that the lock-up period for the disposal of securities by solling shareholders of Main Board issuers and significant shareholders of GEM as shall commence from the date the listing document is issued and end upon 6 has after the commencement of dealing of the issuer's securities on the Exchange. The lock-up period shall apply to the initial management shareholders of GEM issuers, at that it will end upon 12 months after the date of listing. In the case of initial gement shareholders holding 1% or less interest in the issuer, the lock-up period will upon 6 months after the date of listing. Offer for sale as disclosed in a listing ment shall be allowed during the period from the date of the listing document to the of listing.
Q78.	Do you agree with our proposal?
	\square Agree
	□ Disagree

	Comments:	
	ement for disposal of shares	
Parag	graph 32.2 of Part B of the Consultat	ion Paper
from any o	entering into any agreement to disp	that controlling shareholders shall be prohibited bose of shares of an issuer, including creation of in to their shares, during the relevant restriction
Q79.	Do you agree with our proposal?	
	\Box Agree	
	□ Disagree	
	Comments:	
Parag	graph 32.3 of Part B of the Consultat	ion Paper
	<u> </u>	out in the Rules including, in particular, a pledge ecurity for a bona fide commercial loan.
Q80.	Do you agree with our proposal?	
	\Box Agree	
	□ Disagree	

	Comments:
Door	ned disposal of controlling shareholders' interests
	graph 33.5 of Part B of the Consultation Paper
dispo with secu	will amend the Main Board Rules to codify the current practice to prevent a deemed osal of controlling interest by controlling shareholders. This would disallow issuers, in the first 6 months of listing, to issue shares or securities convertible into equity rities or agree to such an issue (whether or not such issue of securities will be oleted within the first 6 months of listing), other than:
(a)	the issue of shares, the listing of which have been approved by us, pursuant to a share option scheme;
(b)	the exercise of conversion rights of warrants issued as part of the initial public offering; and
(c)	capitalisation issue or any consolidation, sub-division or capital reduction of shares.
	vill amend the GEM Rules to allow for the issue of shares in (a) and (b) above, in ion to the existing provisions.
Q81.	Do you agree with our proposal?
	\square Agree
	□ Disagree
	Comments:

PART C OF THE CONSULTATION PAPER **DIRECTORS AND BOARD PRACTICES**

INDEPENDENT NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS ("INEDs")

Further guidance regarding independence

Paragraph 1.4 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will include more guidelines in the Rules to describe the independence of INEDs. Although none of the factors below would necessarily be conclusive on the independence of a director, we consider that independence is more likely to be questioned if the INED:

(a)	holds	more 1	nore than 5% of any class of the issuer's issued share capital;					
	Q82.	Do j	you ag	ree wi	ith our proposal?			
			Agre	ee				
			Disc	igree ((please tick one of the following)			
					restriction should be imposed on INED's holding of rities of the issuer. Please state reason(s) for your view.			
					percentage limit on shareholding in the issuer should be ase tick one of the following):			
					1%			
					2%			
					3%			
					4%			
					Other. Please specify:			

		Please state reason(s) for your view:				
		Comments:				
(b)	has received an interest in securities of the issuer as gift from or by means of other financial assistance from a connected person of the issuer or from the issuer itself. However, the INED will still be considered to be independent if:					
	(i)	he receives shares or interests in securities from the issuer or its subsidiaries (but not from connected persons) as part of his normal remuneration package or pursuant to share option schemes established in accordance with the Rules; and				
	(ii)	the total number of shares held does not exceed 5% of the total issued share capital of the issuer;				
	Q83.	Do you agree with our proposal?				
		\Box Agree				
		☐ Disagree (please tick one of the following)				
		☐ The limit of issued shares should be (please tick one of the following):				
		\square 1%				
		\square 2%				

	☐ Other. Please specify:
	Please state reason(s) for your view:
	Other views:
Con	nments:

(i) the issuer's group;

(c)

(ii) the issuer's controlling shareholders or where the issuer has no controlling shareholders, those shareholders who are chief executive or directors (except INEDs); and

(iii)			who was the issuer's controlling shareholder, chief executive or ept INED);
Q84.	Do y	ou ag	ree with our proposal?
		Agre	e
		Disa	gree (please tick one of the following)
			The restriction period should be (please tick one of the following):
			\Box 1 year
			\Box 3 years
			\Box 4 years
			□ 5 years
			☐ Other. Please specify:
			Please state reason(s) for your view.
			Other views:

		Com	nments:				
(d)	with t	n interest in any business activity of or is involved in any business dealings the issuer, its holding company or their respective subsidiaries, or connected as of the issuer, which is material;					
	Q85.	Do y	vou agree with our proposal?				
			Agree				
			Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:				
		Com	nments:				

(e)	owes a	llegia	nce to a particular shareholder or group of shareholders;			
	Q86.	Do	you agree with our proposal?			
			Agree			
			Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:			
		Con	nments:			
(f)	is on the board specifically to protect the interests of certain parties whose interests are not the same as shareholders as a whole;					
	Q87.	Do y	you agree with our proposal?			
			Agree			
			Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:			

		Con	nments:
(g)			onnected to a director, the chief executive or substantial shareholder of ithin the preceding 2 years;
	Q88.	Do .	you agree with our proposal?
			Agree
			Disagree (please tick one of the following)
			☐ The restriction period should be (please tick one of the following):
			\Box 1 year
			\Box 3 years
			\Box 4 years
			\Box 5 years
			☐ Other. Please specify:
			Please state reason(s) for your view:

				Other views:
		Con	ıments	:
(h)		er of t	he issu	rent executive or a former or current director of the issuer or a ter's group or its connected persons within the preceding 2 years;
	Q89.	Do j	you ag	ree with our proposal?
			Agre	e
			Disa	gree (please tick one of the following)
				The restriction period should be (please tick one of the following):
				\Box 1 year
				\Box 3 years
				\Box 4 years
				□ 5 years
				☐ Other. Please specify:

				Please state reason(s) for your view:
				Other views:
		Con	nments:	
(i)		espec		remuneration as a director of the issuer, its holding company or bsidiaries, which constitutes a principal source of his or her
	Q90.	Do y	you agr	ree with our proposal?
			Agree	2
			Disag	gree. Please state reason(s) for your view:

	Comments:
Paragr	aph 1.5 of Part C of the Consultation Paper
us wit	I amend the Rules to codify the existing practice and require an INED to provide a confirmation in respect of the factors concerning his independence and any actors that may affect his independence. INEDs will also be required to inform us it any change of circumstances which may affect their independence.
Q91.	Do you agree with our proposal?
	\Box Agree
	□ Disagree
	Comments:
Quali	ications of INEDs

Paragraph 2.3 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to require issuers to appoint at least 1 INED who has appropriate professional qualifications or experience in financial matters.

Q92.	Do you agree with our proposal?							
		Agre	ee e					
		Disa	igree					
	Com	ıments						
Minim	um n	umbe	r of INEDs					
Paragra	aph 3.	5 of P	art C of the Consultation Paper					
membe in the l	ers of board	the bo	he Rules so that INEDs shall represent not less than one-third of the bard of an issuer to ensure that their views will carry significant weight asion, irrespective of the size of the board. The number of INEDs shall in any event.					
Q93.	Do y	vou ag	ree with our proposal?					
		Agre	20					
		Disa	agree (please tick one of the following)					
			There should be no requirement for the minimum number of INEDs.					
			The existing minimum requirement of 2 INEDs under the Rules should be retained.					

Com	nments:	
Раре	ou support the proposal set out in paragraph 3.5 of Part C of the er, what period of lead time do you consider necessary before to the number of INFDs representing not less than one-third of t	he requ
Pape for t		he requ
Pape for t	er, what period of lead time do you consider necessary before t the number of INEDs representing not less than one-third of t board should become effective?	he requi
Pape for t	er, what period of lead time do you consider necessary before to the number of INEDs representing not less than one-third of to board should become effective? 6 months	he requi
Pape for t	er, what period of lead time do you consider necessary before to the number of INEDs representing not less than one-third of to board should become effective? 6 months 12 months	he requi
Pape for t	er, what period of lead time do you consider necessary before to the number of INEDs representing not less than one-third of to board should become effective? 6 months 12 months	he requi
Pape for t the b	er, what period of lead time do you consider necessary before to the number of INEDs representing not less than one-third of to board should become effective? 6 months 12 months 18 months	he requ
Pape for t the b	er, what period of lead time do you consider necessary before to the number of INEDs representing not less than one-third of to board should become effective? 6 months 12 months 18 months Other period: (please specify)	he requi
Pape for t the b	er, what period of lead time do you consider necessary before to the number of INEDs representing not less than one-third of to board should become effective? 6 months 12 months 18 months Other period: (please specify)	he requi
Pape for t the b	er, what period of lead time do you consider necessary before to the number of INEDs representing not less than one-third of to board should become effective? 6 months 12 months 18 months Other period: (please specify)	he requi

Paragraph 3.6 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Main Board Rules to require an issuer to inform us and publish an announcement immediately if the number of its INEDs falls below the minimum requirement set out in the Rules.

Q95.	Do you agree with our proposal?					
	\square Agree					
	□ Disagree					
	Comments:					
Paragr	raph 3.7 of Part C of the Consultation Paper					
appoir after tl	Il also amend the Rules to specify a period of 3 months within which an issuer shall at a sufficient number of INEDs to meet the minimum requirement under the Rules ne number of INEDs has fallen below the minimum number required.					
Q96.	Do you agree with our proposal?					
	\square Agree					
	□ Disagree					
	Comments:					

Independent board committees

Paragraph 4.4 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to codify the existing practice in respect of connected transactions that require any shareholders to abstain from voting and transactions or arrangements that require controlling shareholders to abstain from voting. Issuers shall:

- (a) establish an independent board committee to advise shareholders on the transaction or arrangement, taking into account the recommendations of the independent expert; and
- (b) appoint an independent expert to recommend to the independent board committee whether the terms of the subject transaction or arrangement are fair and reasonable, whether such a transaction or arrangement is in the interest of the issuer and its shareholders as a whole and advise shareholders on how to vote;

Q97.	Do you agree with our proposal?		
		Agree	
		Disagree	
	Con	nments:	

Paragraph 4.5 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will clarify in the Rules that the independent board committee shall not consist of INEDs who are shareholders of an issuer and have a different interest from other shareholders in the relevant transactions or arrangements. The independent board committee may consist of only 1 INED if all other INEDs are interested in the relevant transactions or arrangements. If all the INEDs have a different interest from other shareholders in the relevant transactions or arrangements, no independent board committee can be formed. The independent expert shall make its recommendation to shareholders in its letter set out in the circular to shareholders.

Q98.	Do you agree with our proposal?					
	\square Agree					
	□ Disagree					
	Comments:					
Para	graph 4.6 of Part C of the Consultation Paper					
We v	will specify in the Rules that the circular to shareholders shall contain:					
(a)	a separate letter from the independent board committee advising shareholders on the transaction or arrangement, taking into account the recommendations of the independent expert; and					
(b)	a separate letter from the independent expert to recommend to the independent board committee whether the terms of the transaction or arrangement are fair and reasonable, whether the transaction or arrangement is in the interest of the issuer and its shareholders as a whole and advise shareholders on how to vote.					
Q99.	Do you agree with our proposal?					
	\square Agree					
	□ Disagree					
	Comments:					

BOARD PRACTICES

Code of Best Practice

Paragraph 5.3 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules so that the Code of Best Practice will be set out as an appendix to the Rules and will be the minimum standard that we recommend all issuers to meet. Compliance with the minimum standard set out in the Code of Best Practice will not be a mandatory requirement. Issuers will be allowed to deviate from such minimum standard. Issuers shall disclose any deviation from the minimum standard in the Code of Best Practice in their reports on corporate governance in their annual reports.

2100.	Do you agree with our proposal?				
		Agre	e		
		Disagree (please tick one or more of the following)			
			Compliance with the Code of Best Practice should be made mandatory.		
			Issuers should not be required to disclose any deviation from the minimum standard in the Code of Best Practice in their annual reports.		
			Other views:		
	Com	ments.			
	-				
	-				

Paragraph 5.4 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to require issuers to disclose the following information in their half-year reports:

- (a) whether they have met the minimum standard in the Code of Best Practice; and
- (b) any substantial changes in their own corporate governance practices since the publication of their latest annual reports.

Q101.	Do you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree		
		Disagree		
	Com	ments:		

Report on corporate governance

Paragraph 6.3 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to require issuers to include a report on corporate governance practices prepared by the board of directors in their annual reports. The Rules will not dictate the contents of the report since the circumstances of each issuer are different. However, the report shall be comprehensive and shall, at least, include the following information:

- (a) the corporate governance practices, particularly in relation to directors, board practices and shareholders' rights, adopted by the issuer;
- (b) whether the issuer meets the minimum standard in the Code of Best Practice and its own code; and
- (c) in the event of any deviation from the minimum standard in the Code of Best Practice, details of such deviation during the financial year.

If the proposals set out in paragraphs 7.8, 8.6, 9.8, 11.5 and 12.4 of Part C of the Consultation Paper are adopted, information that must be disclosed under these proposals shall form part of the report on corporate governance of the issuers.

<i>Q102</i> .	Do you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree		
		Agree, but the report on corporate governance should also include the following additional item(s). Please specify those item(s) and state reason(s) for your view:		
		Agree, but the report on corporate governance should not require disclosure of the following item(s). Please specify those item(s) and state reason(s) for		
		your view:		
		Disagree. Issuers should not be required to include a report on corporate governance in their annual reports.		

Establishment of governance committees

Audit committee

Paragraph 7.3 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Main Board Rules to follow the GEM Rules so that establishing an audit committee shall become a compulsory requirement.

Q103.	Do you agree with our proposal?				
		Agree			
		Disagree			
	Con	nments:			
Paragra	aph 7	.4 of Part C of the Consultation Paper			
		end the Rules to require the audit committee to comprise at least 3 non-rectors with a majority of INEDs.			
Q104.	Do j	you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree			
		Disagree. Please describe the composition of the audit committee you think is appropriate, specify the minimum number of audit committee members, and state reason(s) for your view:			
	Comments:				

Q105.	Do you agree that the chairman of the audit committee should be an INED?				
		Agree			
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:			
	Com	aments:			
Paragra	aph 7.	5 of Part C of the Consultation Paper			
member propos	er wit	end the Rules to require the audit committee to have at least 1 committee th appropriate qualifications or experience in financial reporting, if the appointment of at least 1 INED who has appropriate professionals or experience in financial matters is adopted.			
Q106.	Do you agree with our proposal?				
		Agree			
		Disagree			
	Con	aments:			

Paragraph 7.6 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to provide that if an issuer fails to constitute an audit committee, or at any time has not appointed a sufficient number of non-executive directors and INEDs to the audit committee, it must inform us immediately and publish an announcement in this regard.

Q107.	Do you agree with our proposal?			
	☐ Agree	?		
	□ Disag	gree		
	Comments:			

Paragraph 7.7 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will set out a list of the duties and responsibilities of the audit committee in the Rules to provide further guidance to issuers. The list shall include the following:

- (a) to consider the appointment of the external auditor, the audit fee, and any questions of resignation or dismissal;
- (b) to discuss with the external auditor the nature and scope of the audit before the audit commences;
- (c) to review from time to time the cost effectiveness of the audit and the independence and objectivity of the external auditor;
- (d) to review the quarterly, half-year and annual financial statements before submission to the board of directors, focusing particularly on:
 - (i) any changes in accounting policies and practices
 - (ii) major judgmental areas
 - (iii) significant adjustments resulting from audit

(iv)	going concern assumption
(v)	compliance with accounting standards
(vi)	compliance with the Rules and other legal requirements;

- (e) to discuss, in the absence of management where necessary, problems and reservations arising from the quarterly and half-year reviews or annual audits, and any matters the auditor may wish to raise;
- (f) to review the external auditor's management letter and management's response;
- (g) to discuss with the management the system of internal controls and that management has discharged its duties in having an effective internal control system;
- (h) where an internal audit function exists, to ensure co-ordination between the internal and external auditors, and that the internal audit function is adequately resourced and has appropriate standing within the issuer;
- (i) to consider any findings of major investigations of internal control matters and management's response;
- (j) to review the group's operating, financial and accounting policies and practices;
- (k) to consider other topics, as defined by the board of directors; and
- (l) to report on all of the above matters to the board of directors.

Q108. Do you agree with our proposal?

	Agree. Please specify any item(s) you think should be added to or deleted
	from the list of duties and responsibilities of the audit committee set out in
	paragraph 7.7 of Part C of the Consultation Paper. Please also state
	reason(s) for your view:
_	D'
	Disagree

	<i>Coi</i>	nments:			
Para	graph 7	7.8 of Part C of the Consultation Paper			
		end the Rules to require issuers to disclose the following information relating committee in their annual reports:			
(a)	its rol	e and function;			
(b)	its con	mposition;			
(c)		umber of audit committee meetings held during the year and record of lance of members during the year;			
(d)	findi	a report on the work performed by the audit committee during the year, including its findings on review of the quarterly/half-year/annual results, adequacy and effectiveness of issuer's internal control systems, etc; and			
(e)	signif	significant issues addressed by the audit committee during the year.			
Q10	9. Do	you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree. Please specify any information you think should be added to or deleted from the list of disclosure items set out in paragraph 7.8 of Part C of the Consultation Paper. Please also state reason(s) for your view:			
		Disagree			

	Con	iments:
Remu	nerati	ion committee
Paragr	aph 8.	4 of Part C of the Consultation Paper
1	remun	all amend the Code of Best Practice to recommend issuers to establish a eration committee only comprising INEDs. We do not propose to make this a tory requirement.
Q110.	Do y	you agree with our proposal?
		Agree
		Disagree (please tick one of the following)

	Establishing a remuneration committee should be a compulsory requirement. Please state reason(s) for your view:				
	Other views:				
Comments.					

Q111.	Do you agree that a remuneration committee (if any) should comprise INEDs only?				
		Agree			
		Disagree. Please describe the composition of a remuneration committee you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:			
	Com	ments:			

Paragraph 8.5 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Code of Best Practice to include the principal functions of the remuneration committee. These include establishing a formal and transparent procedure for developing policy on directors' remuneration and for fixing the remuneration packages of individual directors, and ensuring that no director is involved in deciding his or her own remuneration.

Q112. Do you agree with our proposal? Agree. Please specify any other duties and functions of the remuneration committee you think are appropriate and state reason(s) for your view: Disagree Comments: Paragraph 8.6 of Part C of the Consultation Paper We will amend the Rules to require issuers to disclose the following information in their annual reports: the role, function and composition of the remuneration committee (if any) or the (a) reason for not having a remuneration committee; the number of meetings held by the remuneration committee or the board of directors (if there is no remuneration committee) during the year to discuss remuneration related matters and the attendance record of members at meetings held during the year; a summary of the work, including determining the policy for the remuneration of executive directors and approving the terms of executive directors' service contracts,

the board of directors (if there is no remuneration committee) during the year.

remuneration committee) during the year; and

(d)

performed by the remuneration committee or board of directors (if there is no

significant remuneration related issues addressed by the remuneration committee or

<i>Q113</i> .	Do you agree with our proposal?				
		Agree. Please specify any information you think should be added to or deleted from the list of disclosure items set out in paragraph 8.6 of Part C of the Consultation Paper. Please also state reason(s) for your view:			
		Disagree			
	Comments:				
Nomin	ation	committee			
Paragra	aph 9.	6 of Part C of the Consultation Paper			
	ttee c	and the Code of Best Practice to recommend issuers to establish a nomination omprising a majority of INEDs. We do not propose to make this a mandatory			
Q114.	Do you agree with our proposal?				
		Agree			
		Disagree (please tick one of the following)			
		☐ There is no need for issuer to establish a nomination committee.			

			Establishing a nomination committee should be a compulsory requirement. Please state reason(s) for your view:
			Other views:
			——————————————————————————————————————
	Con	iments:	
0115		vou aav	ree that a nomination committee (if any) should comprise INEDs only?
Q113.		Agree	
			gree. Please describe the composition of a nomination committee you
		think 	is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:

	<i>Com</i>	ments:
Paragr	aph 9.	7 of Part C of the Consultation Paper
nomin directo	ation ors' ap	end the Code of Best Practice to include the principal functions of the committee. These include making recommendations to the board on all pointments, evaluating the performance of the directors and assessing the e of INEDs.
Q116.	Do y	ou agree with our proposal?
		Agree. Please specify any other duties and functions of a nomination committee you think are appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:
		Disagree
	Com	ments:

Paragraph 9.8 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will also amend the Rules to require issuers to disclose the following information in their annual reports:

- (a) the role, function and composition of a nomination committee (if any) or the reason for not having a nomination committee;
- (b) the nomination procedures adopted by and a summary of the work, including determining the policy for the nomination of directors, performed by the nomination committee or the board of directors (if there is no nomination committee) during the year; and
- (c) significant issues in relation to the nomination of directors addressed by the nomination committee or the board of directors (if there is no nomination committee) during the year.

Q117. Do you agree with our proposal?

	Agree. Please specify any information you think should be added to or					
	deleted from the list of disclosure items set out in paragraph 9.8 of Part C of					
	the Consultation Paper. Please also state reason(s) for your view:					
	Disagree					
Con	nments:					

DIRECTORS' DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Duties and responsibilities of non-executive directors

Paragraph 10.3 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Code of Best Practice to include a general description of the duties and responsibilities of non-executive directors, which shall include:

- (a) participating in board meetings of the issuer to bring an independent judgement to bear on issues of strategy, performance, resources, key appointments and standards of conduct;
- (b) protecting the interests of shareholders, particularly minority or independent shareholders if the issuer is controlled by a single shareholder or group of shareholders, including inquiring into any unusual matters or decisions which may be detrimental to the interests of such shareholders; and
- (c) participating in the audit committee and other governance committees where applicable.

Q118. Do you agree with the proposal?

	directors you think are appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:
	Disagree
m	ments:

Chairman and chief executive officer

Paragraph 11.4 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Code of Best Practice to recommend segregation of the roles of chairman and chief executive officer as a good practice. However, in view of the practical issues in relation to the segregation of these two roles, we do not propose make this a mandatory requirement.

119.	<i>Do</i> у	ou agr	ee with our proposal?
		Agree	
		Disag	gree (please tick one of the following)
			There is no need for the issuer to segregate the roles of chairman and chief executive officer.
			Segregation of the roles of chairman and chief executive officer should be made mandatory.
			Other views:
	Com	ments:	

Paragraph 11.5 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to require issuers to disclose in their annual reports whether or not these two roles are segregated.

Q120.	Do you agree with our proposal?					
	\Box Agree					
	□ Disagree					
	Comments:					
Intern	al controls					
Paragra	aph 12.3 of Part C of the Consultation Paper					
conduc propos	Il amend the Code of Best Practice to recommend directors of issuers to regularly et a review of the effectiveness of the group's system of internal controls. We do not see make this a mandatory requirement. The review should cover all controls, and financial, operational and compliance controls, and risk management.					
Q121.	Do you agree with our proposal?					
	\Box Agree					
	□ Disagree					
	Comments:					

Paragraph 12.4 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will also amend the Rules to require issuers, which have conducted a review of their system of internal controls, to include a report on such review in their annual reports. The report on the review shall disclose:

(a)	a statement that the directors are responsible for the system of internal controls;				
(b)	details of any significant areas of concern which may affect shareholders;				
(c)	an explanation of how the system of internal controls has been defined for the issuer;				
(d)	proced	ures and internal controls for the dissemination of price sensitive information;			
(e)	whethe	er the issuer has an internal audit function;			
(f)	the period which the review covers;				
(g)	how often internal controls are reviewed;				
(h)	significant views or proposals put forward by the audit committee; and				
(i)	a statement that the directors have reviewed the effectiveness of the system of internal controls.				
Q122	2. Do y	you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree. Please specify any other information you think should be added to or deleted from the list of disclosure items set out in Paragraph 12.4 of Part C of the Consultation Paper. Please also state reason(s) for your view:			
		Disagree			

	Comments:
Voting	g by interested directors
Paragr	aph 13.3 of Part C of the Consultation Paper
which different relevant relevan	Il amend the Rules to require a director to abstain from voting on any matter in he or any of his associates (as defined in the Rules) has any interest which is ent from other shareholders and not to be counted towards the quorum of the nt board meeting. There will be an exception to the general prohibition if the nt interest is immaterial. The existing exceptions to the general voting prohibition as tly provided in the Rules will continue to apply.
Q123.	Do you agree with our proposal?
	\square Agree
	□ Disagree
	Comments:

SECURITIES TRANSACTIONS BY DIRECTORS

Disclosure of breaches

Paragraph 14.3 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to expressly provide that any breach of such minimum standard set out in the Rules will be regarded as a breach of the Rules. If an issuer sets its own code at a standard higher than that contained in the Rules, any breach of such code will not be regarded as a breach of the Rules provided that the minimum standard contained in the Rules is met.

Q124.	Do you agree with our proposal?							
	\Box Agree							
	□ Disagree							
	Comments:							

Paragraph 14.4 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

In order to promote transparency, we will amend the Rules to require issuers to disclose in their annual and half-year reports:

- (a) whether the issuer has adopted a code of conduct regarding securities transactions at a higher standard than the standard set out in the Rules;
- (b) whether its directors have complied with or whether there has been any noncompliance with the minimum standard set out in the Rules and its code of conduct regarding securities transactions; and
- (c) in the event of any non-compliance with the minimum standard set out in the Rules, details of such non-compliance.

Q125. Do you agree with our proposal?

	Agree. Please specify any information you think should be added to or deleted from the list of disclosure items set out in paragraph 14.4 of the					
	Consultation Paper. Please also state reason(s) for your view:					
	Disagree					
Con	nments:					

Definition of "dealing"

Paragraph 15.2 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to include a definition of "dealing". "Dealing" shall mean any sale or purchase of any securities, or offer or agreement to sell or purchase any securities, and the grant, acceptance, acquisition, disposal, exercise or discharge of any option (whether for a call, or put, or both) or other right or obligation, present or future, conditional or unconditional, to acquire or dispose of securities, or any interest in securities, of the issuer and "deal" shall be construed accordingly. The restriction on "dealing" will extend to cover any pledge of securities of the issuer by its directors.

Q120.	Do j	vou agree with our proposai?				
		Agree				
		Disagree				
	Comments:					
Paragra	aph 1:	5.3 of Part C of the Consultation Paper				
		and the Rules to clarify that an acquisition of qualification shares by directors egarded as a "dealing" in securities for the purposes of the Rules.				
Q127.	Do you agree with our proposal?					
		Agree. Please specify any other instances you think should be regarded as "dealings" and state reason(s) for your view:				
		400				

\square Disagree
Comments:
Dealings by directors in "exceptional circumstances"
Paragraph 16.3 of Part C of the Consultation Paper
We will amend the Model Code for Securities Transactions by Directors of Lister Companies set out in the Main Board Rules and the GEM Rules relating to the procedure for directors' dealing in the issuers' securities under exceptional circumstances during the "black out" period. A director will be allowed to sell, but not acquire, securities of the issuer under exceptional circumstances during the "black out" period. This would only be allowed provided the director has submitted a prior written notice to and received a date written acknowledgement from the chairman of the board or a director designated by the board. The director shall satisfy the chairman or the designated director that the circumstances are exceptional before he can deal in the securities. Failure to comply with these requirements will constitute a breach of the Rules.
Q128. Do you agree with our proposal?
\Box Agree
\square Disagree
Comments:

Paragraph 16.4 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to require an issuer to give written notice of such dealings to us stating why it considered the circumstances to be exceptional. The issuer shall issue an announcement immediately to disclose such dealings after they are completed. The announcement shall state that the chairman or the designated director is satisfied that there were exceptional circumstances for such sale of the issuer's securities by the director.

Q129	0. Do	you agree with our proposal?
		Agree
		Disagree
	Con	nments:
Dire	ctors a	s trustees or beneficiaries
Parag	graph 1	7.4 of Part C of the Consultation Paper
We w	ill ame	end the Rules to reflect the following:
(a)	of the	director is acting as a sole trustee, the relevant Rules will apply to all dealings trust as if he were dealing on his own account (unless the director is a bare e, in which case the relevant Rules will not apply); and
(b)	and he he is	the director deals in the securities of an issuer in his capacity as a co-trustee has not participated in or influenced the decision to deal in the securities, and not, and none of his associates are, a beneficiary or a discretionary object the trust, the dealings by the trust will not be regarded as his dealings.
Q130). Do	you agree with our proposal?
		Agree
		Disagree

Securi	ties transactions by "relevant employees"
Paragra	aph 18.3 of Part C of the Consultation Paper
for the than the Rules. definite any em	Il amend the Code of Best Practice to recommend issuers to establish a guideline for employees' securities transactions, which should be on no less exacting terms the minimum standard of conduct for directors' securities transactions set out in the We do not propose to make this a mandatory requirement. We will also include a sion of "relevant employee" in the Code of Best Practice. A "relevant employee" is imployee of an issuer or director or employee of a subsidiary or parent company of the uer who, because of his office, is likely to be in possession of unpublished price-we information in relation to the issuer.
Q131.	Do you agree with our proposal to recommend issuers to establish a guideline for their employees' securities transactions, which should be on no less exacting terms than the minimum standard of conduct for directors' securities transactions set out in the Rules?
	\square Agree
	□ Disagree
	Comments:

Comments:

Q132.	2. Do you agree with the proposed definition of "relevant employee"?		
		Agree	
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:	
	Com	ments:	
	-		

"Black out" period of directors' securities transactions

Paragraph 19.7 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules so that for quarterly reports, the relevant "black out" period for securities transactions by directors in the Rules will be 2 weeks immediately preceding the earlier of the date of the board meeting approving the quarterly results and the deadline of publication of the results announcement, and end on the date of the results announcement. No amendments to the Rules will be made for the relevant "black out" period for half-year and annual results.

133.	Do y	Do you agree with our proposal?				
		Agree				
		Disagree (please tick one of the following)				
			The "black out" period for half-year, annual and quarterly reporting should be removed and disclosure by way of announcement by issuers on the next business day after dealing is sufficient. Please state reason(s) for your view:			
			The "black out" period for half-year and annual results in the Rules should be retained. The "black-out" period for quarterly reporting should also be 1 month immediately preceding the preliminary announcement of the quarterly results. Please state reason(s) for your view:			

		"black out" period should follow the UK Listing Rules, whereby ctors are not allowed to deal in the issuers' securities for:
	(a)	a period of 2 months immediately before the preliminary announcement of annual results, or if shorter, the period from the relevant financial year end up to and including the time of the announcement;
	(b)	a period of 2 months immediately before publication of the half- year report, or if shorter, the period from the relevant financial period end up to and including the time of the publication; and
	(c)	a period of 1 month immediately before the announcement of quarterly results, or if shorter, the period from the relevant financial period end up to and including the time of such announcement, where the issuers report on a quarterly basis.
	Plea	ase state reason(s) for your view:
	Othe	er views:
Comments		
Comments		
_		

Q134.	Do you agree that the "black out" period for half-year, annual and quarterly reporting should commence from the end of the respective financial year or period and end on the date of publication of the results announcement?						
		Agre	ee				
		Disa	gree				
	Com	ments	:				
DIRE	СТОБ	RS' CO	ONTRACTS, REMUNERATION AND APPOINTMENTS				
Direct	ors' se	ervice	contracts				
Paragr	aph 20).7 of	Part C of the Consultation Paper				
			Rules to require approval of shareholders (other than shareholders who ith an interest in the service contracts and their associates) for:				
			ntract that is to be granted to a director of the issuer or any of its for a duration exceeding 3 years; or				
Ç	<i>Q135</i> .	Do y	ou agree with our proposal?				
			Agree				
			Disagree (please tick one of the following)				
			□ No shareholders' approval should be required, regardless of the length of the service contract.				
			☐ Shareholders' approval should be obtained if the length of the service contract is more than (please tick one of the following):				
			\Box 1 year				

				2 years
				5 years
				10 years
				Other. Please specify:
		Con	nments:	
(b)	year or	to pa	ay compen	requires the issuer to give a period of notice of more than 1 sation of more than a year's remuneration (other than solely termination by the issuer of a fixed term contract).
	Q136.	Dog	vou agree 1	with our proposal?
			Agree	
			Disagree	e (please tick one or more of the following)
				shareholders' approval should be required, regardless of the agth of period of notice or the amount of compensation.
			\Box Sh	
			con	areholders' approval should be obtained if the service ntract requires issuers to give a period of notice of more in: month(s) (please specify).
			con tha	ntract requires issuers to give a period of notice of more

		Comments:
Paragra	aph 20	0.8 of Part C of the Consultation Paper
should advise	form share	ration committee of the issuer (if any) or an independent board committee a view in respect of service contracts that require shareholders' approval and cholders (other than shareholders who are directors with an interest in the racts and their associates) on how to vote.
Q137.	Dog	you agree with our proposal?
		Agree
		Disagree
	Con	nments:
D: 1		6.14 4 9 44

Disclosure of directors' remuneration

Paragraph 21.3 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to remove the current requirement of disclosure of directors' remuneration by bands and require issuers to disclose the following information relating to directors' remuneration and compensation packages in their annual reports:

(a) directors' remuneration and compensation packages by individual director (including INEDs) showing the name of each director and the amounts of remuneration and compensation;

(b)	remun	eration policy and long-term incentive schemes;
(c)	details	of the basis on which fees and other benefits for INEDs are determined; and
(d)	inform	nation on share options held by directors as required in the Rules.
Q138	. Do j	you agree with our proposal?
		Agree. Please specify any information you think should be added to or deleted from the list of disclosure items set out in paragraph 21.3 of Part C of the Consultation Paper. Please also state reason(s) for your view:
		Agree, but the information should be disclosed on a no-name basis.
		Disagree. The Rules which require disclosure of directors' remuneration by bands should be retained. There is no need to disclose such information by individual directors showing the name of each director and the amounts of remuneration and compensation.
	Con	nments:

Appointment, reappointment and removal of directors

Paragraph 22.4 of Part C of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to require directors to be subject to rotation at regular intervals. Retiring directors shall be eligible for re-election.

Q139.	Do you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree		
		Disagree. Please reason(s) for your view:		
	Con	nments:		
Q140.		you agree to require appointment, reappointment and removal of INEDs to be lect to independent shareholders' approval?		
		Agree		
		Disagree		
	Con	nments:		

PART D OF THE CONSULTATION PAPER CORPORATE REPORTING AND

DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION

QUARTERLY REPORTING

Quarterly reports

Paragraph 1.11 of Part D of Consultation Paper

We will amend the Main Board Rules to require issuers to publish quarterly reports within 45 days of the quarter-end.

<i>1</i> 1.	Do you agree with our proposal to require Main Board issuers to publish their financial results on a quarterly basis?			
		Agree		
		Agree, but subject to comments relating to disclosure content and/or timeliness of reporting in questions 142 and 144 to 146 below.		
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:		
	Com	ments:		

re	esul	ts an	gree with our proposal to require issuers to publish their quarterly d despatch their quarterly reports within 45 days after the end of the uarterly period?
		Agre	ee e
			agree. The reporting deadline for quarterly reporting should be (please one of the following):
			1 month
			2 months
			Other. Please specify:
C	Com	ments	
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
re	грог	rting	gree that the financial reporting framework should be quarterly for the first and third quarters of a financial year, half-year reporting at half of a financial year and annual reporting for the financial year?
		Agre	ee
		Disa	gree (please tick one of the following)
			Quarterly reporting for each of the first, second and third quarters of an issuer's financial year and annual reporting for its financial year.
			Other views:

	Con	nments:
Paragr	aph 1	.12 of Part D of Consultation Paper
inform will ar	ation nend	end the Main Board Rules to require issuers to include as a minimum the set out in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper in their quarterly reports. We the GEM Rules where appropriate so that the same disclosure requirements of GEM issuers.
Q144.		you agree with our proposal that quarterly reports should contain as a imum the information set out in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper?
		Agree
		Disagree. Please specify those items which should be added to or deleted from Appendix I to the Consultation Paper and state reason(s) for your view:
	Con	nments:

5.	inco	you agree that quarterly reports should contain the following comparative me statements, or in the case of a group, comparative consolidated income ments:
	(a)	for the comparable quarter of the immediately preceding financial year; and
	<i>(b)</i>	for the comparable year to date period of the immediately preceding financial year?
		Agree
		Agree, except that a comparative consolidated income statement for the immediately preceding quarter should replace item (a) above.
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:
	Com	ments:

Q146.	Do you agree that that the same disclosure requirements should apply to Main Board and GEM issuers?					
		Agree				
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:				
	Con	nments:				
Paragr	aph 1.13 of Part D of the Consultation Paper					
We wi		and the Main Board Rules to require audit committees to review their issuers' ports.				
Q147.	Do y	you agree with our proposal?				
		Agree				
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:				

	Con	nments:
Quart	erly r	esults announcements
Paragra	aph 2.	4 of Part D of the Consultation Paper
annour	nceme	end the Main Board Rules to require issuers to publish their quarterly results ents on the next business day following their approval by the board of directors 5 days of the quarter-end.
Q148.	Doy	you agree with our proposal?
		Agree
		Agree, but subject to comments relating to disclosure content in question 149 below.
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:
	Con	nments:

Paragraph 2.5 of Part D of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Main Board Rules to require issuers to disclose as a minimum the information set out in the relevant sections of Appendix I to the Consultation Paper in their quarterly results announcements. We will also amend the GEM Rules to mirror the proposed disclosure requirements for the quarterly results announcements of Main Board issuers.

)149.	cont	you agree with our proposal that quarterly results announcements should ain as a minimum the information set out in the relevant sections of Appendix the Consultation Paper?
		Agree
		Disagree. Please specify those items which should be added to or deleted from the relevant sections of Appendix I to the Consultation Paper and state reason(s) for your view:
	Com	nments:

Paragraph 2.6 of Part D of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Main Board Rules to require audit committees to review their issuers' quarterly results announcements.

Q150.	Do you agree with our proposal?		
		Agree	
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:	
	Com	ments:	

HALF-YEAR REPORTING

Half-year reports

Paragraph 3.7 of Part D of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to permit issuers to distribute summary half-year reports containing, as a minimum, the information set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper.

-	you agree with our proposal to permit issuers to distribute summary half-year orts?
	Agree
	Agree, but subject to comments relating to disclosure content in question 152.
	Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:
Con	nments:
	you agree with our proposal that summary half-year reports should contain, minimum, the information set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper?
	Agree
	Disagree. Please specify those items which should be added to or deleted from Appendix II to the Consultation Paper:
	Con

	Con	nments	ς;·
Paragra	aph 3	.8 of F	Part D of the Consultation Paper
			e Rules to require issuers to publish their half-year results and despatch orts within 2 months of the relevant period end.
Q153.	Do :	you ag	gree with our proposal?
		Agre	ее
			agree. The reporting deadline for half-year reporting should be (please one of the following):
			1 month
			45 days
			3 months
			The existing requirements for Main Board and GEM issuers to publish their half-year results and despatch their half-year reports within 3 months and 45 days respectively should be retained.
			Other. Please specify:
	Con	nments	s:

Half-year results announcements

Paragraph 4.9 of Part D of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to the effect that issuers will disclose in their half-year results announcements, in principle, the same information as disclosed in a summary half-year report. Details of these disclosure requirements are set out in the relevant sections of Appendix II to the Consultation Paper.

7.	Do you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree		
		Disagree. Please specify those items you think should be added to or deleted from the list set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper:		
		Disagree. The current disclosure requirements should be retained.		
	Com	ments:		
	-			

Paragraph 4.10 of Part D of the Consultation Paper

If the proposal in paragraph 4.9 of Part D of the Consultation Paper is adopted, we will amend the Main Board Rules to abolish the existing two-phased publication arrangement for half-year results announcements.

Q155.	Do you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree		
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:		
	Con	Comments:		
Q156.	the disci year to si	ou disagree with our proposals set out in paragraphs 4.9 and 4.10 of Part D of Consultation Paper and prefer to retain the Main Board Rules' existing losure requirements and two-phased publication arrangement in relation to half-results announcements, how many days should be given to Main Board issuers ubmit to us the full half-year results announcement following the publication of simplified results announcement (please tick one of the following)?		
		1 day		
		7 days		
		14 days		

		21 days (existing requirement)
		Other. Please specify the period you think is appropriate and state reason(s) for your view:
	<i>C</i>	
	Con	nments:
FULL	YEA	R REPORTING
Annua	al rep	orts
Paragr	aph 5.	6 of Part D of the Consultation Paper
		end the Main Board Rules to follow the GEM Rules and require issuers to despatch their annual reports within 3 months of their financial year end.
Q157.	Do y	you agree with our proposal?
		Agree
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:

	Com.	ments:
Paragra	aph 5.	7 of Part D of the Consultation Paper
		end the Rules to include the reference disclosures relating to corporate natters for issuers' annual reports set out in Appendix IV to the Consultation
Q158.	Do y	ou agree with our proposal?
		Agree
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:
	Com.	ments:

Summary financial reports

Paragraph 6.2 of Part D of the Consultation Paper

Further to the amendment described in paragraph 6.1 of Part D of the Consultation Paper, we will also amend the Rules to require issuers to disclose the following information in their summary financial report:

- (a) a statement of compliance with and details of any deviation from the minimum standard set out in the Code of Best Practice; and
- (b) particulars of any purchase, sale or redemption by the issuer or any of its subsidiaries, of its listed securities during the financial year or an appropriate negative statement.

Q159.	Do you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree		
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:		
	Comments:			

Annual results announcements

Paragraph 7.9 of Part D of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to the effect that issuers will disclose in their annual results announcements, in principle, the same financial information as disclosed in a summary financial report. Details of the disclosure requirements are set out in Appendix V to the Consultation Paper.

60.	Do you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree		
		Disagree. Please specify those items you think should be added to or deleted from the list set out in Appendix V to the Consultation Paper:		
		Disagree. The current disclosure requirements should be retained.		
	Comments:			

Paragraph 7.10 of Part D of the Consultation Paper

If the proposal in paragraph 7.9 of Part D of the Consultation Paper is adopted, we will amend the Rules to abolish the existing two-phased publication arrangement for annual results announcements.

Q161.	Do you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree		
		Disagree. Please state reason(s) for your view:		
	C			
		ments:		
Q162.	the C and Rule given Boar publ	u disagree with the proposals set out in paragraphs 7.9 and 7.10 of Part D of Consultation Paper and prefer to retain the existing disclosure requirements two-phased publication arrangement of the Main Board Rules and GEM is in relation to annual results announcements, how many days should be not issuers to submit to us the full annual results announcements (for Main red issuers) and the annual reports (for GEM issuers) following the dication of the simplified results announcement (please tick one of the wing)?		
		1 day		
		7 days		
		14 days		
		21 days		

		Other. Please specify the period you think is appropriate and state reason(s for your view:			
	Com	nments:			
		TS OF CIRCULARS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS RELATING TO LETRANSACTIONS			
Very s	ubsta	ntial acquisitions			
Paragr	aph 8	3 of Part D of the Consultation Paper			
		and the Main Board Rules to follow the approach in the GEM Rules to require nts' report on the enlarged group to be included in such circulars.			
Q163.	Do	you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree			
		Disagree			
	Con	nments:			

General information in all announcements and circulars of notifiable transactions

Paragraph 9.2 of Part D of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Rules to require issuers to disclose the following information in all announcements and circulars of notifiable transactions:

- (a) book value of the assets being acquired or realised;
- (b) the identity of the counter-party except for a counter-party who is an independent third party and wishes to remain anonymous. We agree that the identity of the third party and its activities are not relevant to the particular transaction;
- (c) details of any guarantee and/other security given and required as part of the transaction;
- (d) reasons for entering into the transaction;
- (e) the business valuation report of a business or company and/or traffic study report in respect of an infrastructure project or project company to be incorporated in the circulars should include:
 - (i) crucial assumptions for the business valuation including discount rate/growth rate used;
 - (ii) sensitivity analysis based on different discount rates and growth rates; and
 - (iii) if the business valuations are based on profit forecasts, the accounting policies and calculations for the forecasts must be examined and reported on by the auditors or consultant accountants. Any financial adviser mentioned in the circular must also report on the forecasts. Please also refer to paragraphs 21.1 to 21.2 of Part B of the Consultation Paper for our proposal for asset valuation;
- (f) the original acquisition cost of the assets which will be sold to connected persons where the issuer has held such assets for a period of 12 months or less; and
- (g) if the transaction involves a disposal of an interest in a subsidiary by an issuer, a declaration as to whether the subsidiary will continue to be a subsidiary of the issuer following the transaction.

Q164.	Do you agree with our proposal?			
		Agree. Please specify any information you think should be added to or deleted from the list of disclosure items set out in paragraph 9.2 of Part D of the Consultation Paper. Please also state reason(s) for your view:		
		Disagree		
	Con	nments:		
Q165.	pari	you agree that the identity of the counter-party being an independent third ty and its activities should be disclosed in the announcement and circular of transaction?		
		Agree. Such information is relevant to the transaction and should be disclosed in the respective announcement and circular.		
		Disagree. Such information is not relevant to the transaction and the issuer should not be required to disclose it in the respective announcement and circular.		
	Con	Comments:		

OTHERS

(g)

Changes in directorship

Paragraph 10.3 of Part D of the Consultation Paper

We will amend the Main Board Rules to require issuers to publish an announcement of any changes in directorships.

Q166	6. Do you agree with our proposal?					
	\square Agree					
	□ Disagree					
	Comments:					
Parag	graph 10.4 of Part D of the Consultation Paper					
	vill amend the Rules to require issuers to disclose biographical details of the newly inted directors in the announcement of their appointment, including:					
(a)	the full name and age;					
(b)	positions held with the issuers and other members of the issuers' group;					
(c)	previous experience and qualifications held;					
(d)	length or proposed length of service with the issuers;					
(e)	relationships with any directors, senior management or substantial or controlling shareholders;					
(f)	their interests in shares of the issuers within the meaning of the Securities (Disclose of Interests) Ordinance; and					
(g)	other information of which shareholders should reasonably be made aware.					

We will amend the Rules to require issuers to also disclose biographical details of the newly appointed directors in the notice of meeting, if such appointments are subject to shareholders' approval at the issuers' annual general meeting.

Q167.	Do you agree with our proposal?		
		Agree. Please specify any other information you think should be disclosed in the announcement and state reason(s) for your view:	
		Disagree	
Despa	Comments:		
	tch of notice of general meeting and circular		
Paragra	aph 1	1.5 of Part D of the Consultation Paper	
despat notice	ch the	end the Main Board Rules to follow the GEM Rules so that issuers shall be relevant circulars to shareholders at the same time as or before they give the general meeting to approve the notifiable transaction or the connected concerned.	
Q168.	Do you agree with our proposal?		
		Agree	
		Disagree	

	Comments:		
Paragra	aph 11.6 of Part D of the Consultation Paper		
	ll amend the Rules to require issuers to publish notice of general meetings by way innouncement.		
Q169.	Do you agree with our proposal?		
	\Box Agree		
	□ Disagree		
	Comments:		

APPENDIX I TO THE CONSULTATION PAPER SUMMARY OF DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR QUARTERLY REPORTS AND QUARTERLY RESULTS ANNOUNCEMENTS

Quarterly reports

Each quarterly report shall contain at least the following information in respect of the issuer:

A. Financial information

- (1) Income statement for the current 3-month period and cumulatively for the current financial year to date, with comparative figures for the comparable periods of the immediately preceding financial year, containing as a minimum the following components:
 - (a) turnover;
 - (b) profit (or loss) before taxation, including the share of profit (or loss) of affiliated companies with separate disclosure of any items included therein which are exceptional because of size and incidence;
 - (c) taxation on profits (Hong Kong and overseas) in each case indicating basis of computation with separate disclosure of the taxation on share of affiliated companies' profits;
 - (d) profit (or loss) attributable to minority interests;
 - (e) profit (or loss) attributable to shareholders;
 - (f) rates of dividend paid or proposed on each class of shares (with particulars of each such class) and amounts absorbed thereby (or an appropriate negative statement);
 - (g) earnings per share;
 - (h) investment and other income;
 - (i) cost of goods sold;
 - (j) interest on borrowings;

- (k) depreciation/amortisation; and
- (l) profit (or loss) on sale of investments or properties.
- (2) Balance sheet as at the end of the current 3-month period, with comparative figures for the balance sheet as at the end of the immediately preceding financial year, containing as a minimum the following components:
 - (a) fixed assets;
 - (b) intangibles;
 - (c) current assets;
 - (d) current liabilities;
 - (e) non-current liabilities;
 - (f) minority interests; and
 - (g) capital and reserves.

Additional information in respect of the group's total bank borrowings as at the end of the relevant period shall be separately disclosed. Any such information must be analysed into the aggregate amounts repayable:

- (i) on demand or within a period of not exceeding 1 year; and
- (ii) within a period of more than 1 year.
- (3) statement of changes in equity, with comparative figures for the comparable year-to-date period of the immediately preceding financial year; and
- (4) in preparation of the quarterly report, the Exchange expects issuers to comply with the principles for recognition and measurement as prescribed in SSAP 25 or IAS 34 where appropriate. A statement of that fact should be made.
- B. Particulars of any purchase, sale or redemption by the issuer or any of its subsidiaries, of its listed securities during the relevant period, or an appropriate negative statement.

- C. A business review covering the following:
 - (a) a fair review of business developments during the financial period and the financial position at the end of the period;
 - (b) details of important events which have occurred since the end of the financial period; and
 - (c) an indication of likely future business developments.
- D. Any supplementary information which in the opinion of the directors of the issuer is necessary for a reasonable appreciation of the results for the relevant period.
- E. Each quarterly report must state whether or not the information provided therein has been audited (and if so, it must set out a copy of the auditors' report thereon). In the event that any auditors' report thereon (if any) has been qualified or modified, details of such qualification or modification must be set out in the quarterly report.
- F. If applicable, the information required to be disclosed under Practice Note 19 of the Main Board Rules or Rule 17.22 to Rule 17.24 of the GEM Rules relating to ongoing financial exposure to borrowers and other on-going matters of relevance.
- G. An issuer's audit committee must review the quarterly report. In the event that the audit committee disagreed with an accounting treatment which had been adopted, full details of such disagreement must be disclosed in the quarterly report.

Note: It is the responsibility of the audit committee of the issuer to determine the scope and extent of the review. In reviewing a quarterly report, the audit committee may refer to relevant statements of auditing standards and auditing guidelines in relation to review of interim financial reports for guidance.

Quarterly results announcements

Each quarterly results announcement shall contain at least the information set out under items A(1), A(2), B, C, D and E in respect of the listed group. Within item A(2), however, issuers shall not be required to disclose additional information in respect of the issuer's total bank borrowings.

APPENDIX II TO THE CONSULTATION PAPER SUMMARY OF DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR SUMMARY HALF-YEAR REPORTS AND HALF-YEAR RESULTS ANNOUNCEMENTS

Summary half-year reports

Each summary half-year report shall contain at least the following information in respect of the issuer:

A. Financial information

Income statement for the current half-year period, with comparative figures for the comparable period of the immediately preceding financial year, and balance sheet as at the end of the half-year period, with comparative figures for the balance sheet as at the end of the immediately preceding financial year. The income statement and balance sheet should be as they appear in an issuer's full half-year report and should conform with the relevant disclosure requirements of Appendix 16 of the Main Board Rules and Chapter 18 of the GEM Rules.

- B. Particulars of any purchase, sale or redemption by the issuer or any of its subsidiaries, of its listed securities during the relevant period, or an appropriate negative statement.
- C. A business review covering the following:
 - (a) a fair review of business developments during the financial period and the financial position at the end of the period;
 - (b) details of important events which have occurred since the end of the financial period; and
 - (c) an indication of likely future business developments.
- D. A statement as to whether issuers have met the minimum standard in the Code of Best Practice and whether there has been any substantial change in their own corporate governance practices since the publication of their latest annual report.
- E. Names of the director(s) who have signed the full half-year report on behalf of the board of directors of the issuer.

- F. A statement to the effect that the summary half-year report only gives a summary of the information and particulars contained in the issuer's full half-year report.
- G. A statement as to how an entitled person of the issuer may obtain free of charge a copy of the issuer's full half-year report from which the report is derived.
- H. A statement as to the manner in which an entitled person may in future notify the issuer of his wishes in relation to the sending to the person of a copy of a summary half-year report in place of a copy of the full half-year report from which it is derived.
- I. Where the accounting information contained in a summary half-year report has been audited by the issuer's auditor, the summary half-year report of an issuer shall contain:—
 - (a) where the auditors' report in the listed issuer's half-year financial statements is qualified or modified (whether or not it is qualified), details of the qualification or modification; and
 - (b) an opinion from the issuer's auditors as to whether the summary half-year report is consistent with the full half-year report from which it is derived.

Half-year results announcements

Each half-year results announcement shall contain at least the information set out under items A, B, C, D and I(a) in respect of the listed group and in addition a statement as to whether the half-year results announcement has been reviewed by the issuer's audit committee.

APPENDIX III TO THE CONSULTATION PAPER SUMMARY OF NEW DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO BOARD PRACTICES FOR ANNUAL REPORTS

This section summarises the new disclosure requirements relating to corporate governance matters for issuers' annual reports, which are explained in detail in Part C of this Consultation Paper.

(i) Corporate governance practices

An issuer's annual report shall contain a report on corporate governance practices including the following information:

- (a) the corporate governance practices, particularly in relation to directors, board practices and shareholders' rights, adopted by the issuer;
- (b) whether the issuer meets the minimum standard in the Code of Best Practice and its own code; and
- (c) in the event of any deviation from the minimum standard in Code of Best Practice, details of such deviation during the financial year.

(ii) Audit committee

An issuer shall disclose the following information in relation to its audit committee:

- (a) its role and function;
- (b) its composition;
- (c) the number of audit committee meetings held during the year and record of attendance of members during the year;
- (d) a report on the work performed by the audit committee during the year, including its findings on review of the quarterly/half-year/annual results, adequacy and effectiveness of issuer's internal control systems, etc; and
- (e) significant issues addressed by the audit committee during the year.

(iii) Remuneration committee

An issuer shall disclose the following information in relation to its remuneration committee:

- (a) the role, function and composition of the remuneration committee (if any) or the reason for not having a remuneration committee;
- (b) the number of meetings held by the remuneration committee or the board of directors (if there is no remuneration committee) during the year to discuss remuneration related matters and attendance record of members at meetings held during the year;
- (c) a summary of the work, including determining the policy for the remuneration of executive directors and approving the terms of executive directors' service contracts, performed by the remuneration committee or board of directors (if there is no remuneration committee) during the year; and
- (d) significant remuneration related issues addressed by the remuneration committee or the board of directors (if there is no remuneration committee) during the year.

(iv) Nomination committee

An issuer shall disclose the following information in relation to its nomination committee:

- (a) the role, function and composition of the nomination committee (if any) or the reason for not having a nomination committee;
- (b) the nomination procedures adopted by and a summary of the work, including determining the policy for the nomination of directors, performed by the nomination committee or the board of directors (if there is no nomination committee) during the year; and
- (c) significant issues in relation to the nomination of directors addressed by the nomination committee or the board of directors (if there is no nomination committee) during the year.

(v) Segregation of roles of chairman and chief executive

An issuer shall disclose in its annual report whether or not the roles of chairman and chief executive are segregated.

(vi) Internal controls

An issuer which has conducted a review of its system of internal controls shall include a report on such review in its annual report. The report shall include the following information:

- (a) a statement that the directors are responsible for the system of internal controls;
- (b) details of any significant areas of concern which may affect shareholders;
- (c) an explanation of how the system of internal controls has been defined for the issuer;
- (d) procedures and internal controls for dissemination of price sensitive information;
- (e) whether the issuer has an internal audit function;
- (f) the period which the review covers;
- (g) how often internal controls are reviewed;
- (h) significant views or proposals put forward by the audit committee; and
- (i) a statement that the directors have reviewed the effectiveness of the system of internal controls.

(vii) Directors' securities transactions

An issuer's annual report shall contain the following information in respect of directors' securities transactions:

- (a) whether the issuer has adopted a code of conduct regarding securities transactions at a higher standard than the standard set out in the Rules;
- (b) whether its directors have complied with or whether there has been any noncompliance with the minimum standard set out in the Rules and its code of conduct regarding securities transactions; and
- (c) in the event of any non-compliance with the minimum standard set out in the Rules, details of such non-compliance.

(viii) Directors' remuneration

We will amend the Rules to remove the current requirement of disclosure of directors' remuneration by bands and to require issuers to disclose the following information relating to directors' remuneration and compensation packages in their annual reports:

- (a) directors' remuneration and compensation packages by individual director (including INEDs) showing the name of each director and the amounts of remuneration and compensation;
- (b) remuneration policy and long-term incentive schemes;
- (c) details of the basis on which fees and other benefits for INEDs are determined; and
- (d) information on share options held by directors as required in the Rules.

(ix) Auditors' remuneration

The disclosure of auditors' remuneration in the annual report shall be analysed into those in respect of audit service and those in respect of non-audit service.

APPENDIX IV TO THE CONSULTATION PAPER SUMMARY OF REFERENCE DISCLOSURES RELATING TO CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATTERS IN ANNUAL REPORTS

The following disclosures relating to corporate governance matters are provided for issuers' reference. They are not intended to be exhaustive or mandatory: they are rather intended to set out the areas which we consider issuers may comment on in their annual reports. The level of detail, with which such commentary is provided, will vary depending on the nature and complexity of issuers' business activities.

(i) Remuneration of senior management

The annual report may include the following information:

- (a) the number of shares held by senior management (i.e. those individuals whose biographical details are disclosed in the annual report); and
- (b) the aggregate of salaries, the aggregate of bonuses, share options of senior management together with their remuneration policy.

Note: where the above information of senior management is disclosed, the disclosure of the remuneration of the 5 highest paid individuals by band in the annual report shall exclude senior managers whose remuneration is disclosed under remuneration of senior management.

(ii) Investor relations

The annual report may include the following information:

- (a) any significant changes in the issuer's articles of association during the year;
- (b) the way in which shareholders convene an extraordinary general meeting;
- (c) the procedures by which enquiries may be put to the board;
- (d) the procedures for putting forward proposals at shareholders' meetings;
- (e) details of top 10 shareholders;
- (f) review of shareholders by type;

- (g) the number and identity of shareholders holding more than a 5% shareholding;
- (h) details of last shareholders' meeting;
- (i) calendar of important shareholders' dates; and
- (j) public float capitalisation as at the end of the year.
- (iii) Additional commentary on management discussion and analysis

The annual report may include the following information:

- (a) efficiency indicators (e.g. return on equity, working capital ratios) indicating the bases of computation;
- (b) industry specific ratios indicating the bases of computation;
- (c) a discussion of the issuer's corporate strategy;
- (d) an overview of trends in the issuer's industry;
- (e) a discussion on business risks and risks management policy;
- (f) a discussion on the issuer's environmental policies and performance, including compliance with the relevant laws and regulations; and
- (g) a discussion on the issuer's policies and performance on social, ethical and reputational issues.

APPENDIX V TO THE CONSULTATION PAPER SUMMARY OF DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR ANNUAL RESULTS ANNOUNCEMENTS

Each annual results announcement shall contain at least the following information in respect of the issuer:

A. Financial information

Income statement for the financial year, with comparative figures for the immediately preceding financial year, and balance sheet as at the end of the year, with comparative figures for the balance sheet as at the end of the immediately preceding financial year. The income statement and balance sheet should contain all the information and particulars as they appear in an issuer's annual report.

- B. Particulars of any purchase, sale or redemption by the issuer or any of its subsidiaries, of its listed securities during the relevant year, or an appropriate negative statement.
- C. A business review covering the following:
 - (a) a fair review of business developments during the financial year and the financial position at the end of the year;
 - (b) details of important events which have occurred since the end of the financial year; and
 - (c) an indication of likely future business developments.
- D. Any supplementary information which in the opinion of the directors of the issuer is necessary for a reasonable appreciation of the results for the relevant year.
- E. Where the auditors' report on the issuer's annual financial statements is qualified or modified (whether or not it is qualified), details of the qualification or modification.
- F. A statement of compliance with and details of any deviation from the minimum standard in the Code of Best Practice.
- G. A statement as to whether the annual results announcement has been reviewed by the issuer's audit committee.