Frequently Asked Questions Series 30 (Released on 19 December 2014) (Updated on 14 July 2015)

Questions relating to the Risk Management and Internal Control section of the Corporate Governance Code

Status of "Frequently Asked Questions"

The following frequently asked questions (FAQs) are designed to help issuers understand and comply with the Listing Rules, particularly in situations not explicitly set out in the Rules or where further clarification may be desirable.

Users of the FAQs should refer to the Rules themselves and, if necessary, seek qualified professional advice. The FAQs are not substitutes for the Rules. If there is any discrepancy between the FAQs and the Rules, the Rules prevail.

In formulating our "responses", we may have assumed certain underlying facts, selectively summarised the Rules or concentrated on one particular aspect of the question. They are not definitive and do not apply to all cases where the scenario may at first appear similar. In any given case, regard must be had to all the relevant facts and circumstances.

The Listing Division may be consulted on a confidential basis. Please contact the Listing Division at the earliest opportunity with any queries.

No.	Main Board Rules	GEM Rules	Query	Response
1.	Appendix 14,	Appendix 15,	What is the	The revised Code will apply to accounting periods beginning on
	Sections C.2, C.3,	Sections C.2,	implementation date of the	or after 1 January 2016.
	L and Q	C.3, L and Q	amendments to the	
			Corporate Governance	An issuer must state in its first interim or annual report covering
			Code and Corporate	a period beginning on or after 1 January 2016 whether it has, for
			Governance Report	that period, complied with the new Code Provisions ("CP") in the
			("revised Code") in	revised Code.
			relation to internal	
			controls?	Example A:
				An issuer with a 31 December financial year-end must
				implement and report on the revised Code from 1 January 2016.
				Example B:
				An issuer with a 30 June financial year-end must report on the
				old Code up to 30 June 2016, and implement and report on the
				revised Code from 1 July 2016.
				Example C:
				An issuer with a 30 September financial year-end must report on
				the old Code up to 30 September 2016, and implement and report
				on the revised Code from 1 October 2016.
				(Updated on 14 July 2015)

2.	Appendix 14, Principle C.2	Appendix 15, Principle C.2	Principle C.2 of the Code states that the management should provide a	"Management" is a commonly understood term; each company may have its own definition of "management". We consider the "management" of an issuer should be determined by the issuer.
			confirmation to the board	
			on the effectiveness of the	
			risk management and	
			internal control systems. Is	
			there a definition for the	
			term "management"?	
3.	Appendix 14,	Appendix 15,	For the management to	We intended the term "confirmation" to mean that the
	Principle C.2 and	Principle C.2	provide a confirmation to	management should inspire confidence in the board on the
	RBP C.2.6	and RBP C.2.6	the board on the	effectiveness of the systems, as opposed to requiring assurance
			effectiveness of the	given by independent third parties.
			issuer's risk management	
			and internal control	
			systems, is it necessary for	
			the management to first	
			obtain a confirmation from	
			an independent third	
			party?	

4.	Appendix 14, CP	Appendix 15,	The board is required to	It is the role of management to implement and take day-to-day
	C.2.1	CP C.2.1	oversee the issuer's risk	responsibility for board policies on risk management and internal
			management and internal	control. However, the board needs to satisfy itself that
			control systems "on an	management has understood the risks, has implemented and is
			ongoing basis". Is this a	monitoring appropriate policies and controls, and is providing the
			day-to-day responsibility	board with timely information so that it can discharge its own
			of the board?	responsibilities.
5.	Appendix 14, CP	Appendix 15,	CP C.2.5 states that the	We understand that in practice it is common for issuers to engage
	C.2.5	CP C.2.5	issuer should have an	external service providers to perform the internal audit function.
			internal audit function. Is	We would not consider outsourcing the internal audit function to
			it a deviation from the CP	competent persons as a deviation from CP C.2.5.
			if an issuer outsources the	
			internal audit function?	

6.	Appendix 14,	Appendix 15,	What does the Exchange	While the Exchange does not intend to prescribe the manner in
	CP C.2.5	CP C.2.5	expect of an issuer's	which issuers carry out their internal audit function, we note that
			internal audit function?	it may be helpful for issuers to refer to the Institute of Internal
				Auditors' International Professional Practices Framework
				("IAIPPF") for guidance.
				The IAIPPF defines "internal auditing" as "an independent,
				objective assurance and consulting activity designed to add value
				and improve an organization's operations. It helps an
				organization accomplish its objectives by bringing a systematic,
				disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of
				risk management, control, and governance processes".
7.	Appendix 14,	Appendix 15,	Note 2 to CP C.2.5 states	We consider that a group should have the flexibility to decide
	CP C.2.5	CP C.2.5	that a group with multiple	which of its group companies, holding or subsidiaries, is best
			listed issuers may share	equipped to carry out the internal audit function for other
			group resources to carry	members of the group, based on expertise and resources planning
			out the internal audit	and allocation. However, it is not the case that a group should
			function for members of	always share resources to carry out the internal audit function. In
			the group. Which of the	some cases, it may be more appropriate for issuers within a group
			listed issuers in the group	to carry out the internal audit function separately. This is a matter
			should carry out the	for each issuer, or group of issuers, to consider and decide upon
			internal audit function?	in the light of their individual circumstances.