Question 1
Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a code provision ("CP") requiring an issuer's board to set culture in alignment with issuer's purpose, value and strategy?
Please give reasons for your views.
Question 2a
Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a CP requiring establishment of an anti-corruption policy?
Please give reasons for your views.
Question 2b
Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade a Recommended Best Practice ("RBP") to CP requiring establishment of a whistleblowing policy?
Please give reasons for your views.
Question 3
Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a CP requiring disclosure of a policy to ensure independent views and input are available to the board, and an annual review of the implementation and effectiveness of such policy?

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 4a

Do you agree with our proposal regarding re-election of an independent non-executive director serving more than nine years ("Long Serving INEDs") to revise an existing CP to require (i) independent shareholders' approval; and (ii) additional disclosure on the factors considered, the process and the board or nomination committee's discussion in arriving at the determination in the explanation on why such Long Serving INED is still independent and should be re-elected?

No

Please give reasons for your views.

A company should strive to achieve a healthy balance of continuity and refreshment through a blend of newer and longer-tenured directors. Long-serving directors' valuable experience and familiarity with the Company, as are new perspectives and ideas brought by new directors, are crucial factors from which a company can yield significant benefit. INEDs' long tenure does not of itself create a board which is susceptible to group think and is not mutually exclusive with innovative capability. Accordingly, while evaluating the overall effectiveness of the board, tenure is not a dispositive factor. For the board to be effective, relative to director tenure, it is more essential that the directors are of upright character, can bring diverse viewpoints, exhibit a variety of skills, knowledge, professional experience and background, be diligent and represent the long-term interests of shareholders.

Question 4b

Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a CP requiring an issuer to appoint a new independent non-executive director ("INED") at the forthcoming annual general meeting where all the INEDs on the board are Long Serving INEDs, and disclosing the length of tenure of the Long Serving INEDs on the board on a named basis in the shareholders' circular?

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 5

Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new RBP that an issuer generally should not grant equity-based remuneration (e.g. share options or grants) with performance-related elements to INEDs as this may lead to bias in their decision-making and compromise their objectivity and independence?

Please give reasons for your views.
Question 6a Do you agree with our proposal to highlight that diversity is not considered to be achieved by a single
gender board in the note of the Rule?
Please give reasons for your views.
Question 6b
Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a Mandatory Disclosure Requirement ("MDR") requiring all listed issuers to set and disclose numerical targets and timelines for achieving gender diversity at both: (a) board level; and (b) across the workforce (including senior management)?
Please give reasons for your views.
Question 6c
Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a CP requiring the board to review the implementation and effectiveness of its board diversity policy annually?
Please give reasons for your views.
Question 6d
Do you agree with our proposal to amend the relevant forms to include directors' gender information?

Please give reasons for your views.
Question 7
Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade a CP to Rule requiring issuers to establish a nomination committee chaired by an INED and comprising a majority of INEDs?
Please give reasons for your views.
Question 8
Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade a CP to a MDR to require disclosure of the issuer's shareholders communication policy (which includes channels for shareholders to communicate their views on various matters affecting issuers, as well as steps taken to solicit and understand the views of shareholders and stakeholders) and annual review of such policy to ensure its effectiveness?
Please give reasons for your views.
Question 9
Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a Rule requiring disclosure of directors' attendance in the poll results announcements?
Please give reasons for your views.
Question 10
Do you agree with our proposal to delete the CP that requires issuers to appoint non-executive

directors for a specific term?
Yes
Please give reasons for your views.

Question 11

Do you agree with our proposal to elaborate the linkage in the Code by (a) setting out the relationship between corporate governance and environmental, social and governance ("ESG") in the introductory section; and (b) including ESG risks in the context of risk management under the Code?

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 12

Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Rules and the ESG Guide to require publication of ESG reports at the same time as publication of annual reports?

No

Please give reasons for your views.

ESG report is meant to be an informative report which calls for a great deal of time and resource. For many listed companies, the same personnel is responsible for preparing both the annual report and ESG report. In view of the increasingly onerous ESG disclosure requirements, shortening the timeframe may stretch resources and make the report more prone to error. Listed companies may be discouraged from investing more effort in preparing a quality ESG report which discloses more useful information to the public.

Question 13

Do you have any comments on how the re-arranged Code is drafted in the form set out in Appendices III and IV to the Consultation Paper and whether it will give rise to any ambiguities or unintended consequences?

Please give reasons for your views.
Question 14
In addition to the topics mentioned in the Consultation Paper, do you have any comments regarding what to be included in the new guidance letter on corporate governance (i.e. CG GL) which may be helpful to issuers for achieving the Principles set out in the Code?
Please give reasons for your views.
Question 15a
Do you agree with our proposed implementation dates for all proposals (except the proposals on Long Serving INED): the financial year commencing on or after 1 January 2022?
Please give reasons for your views.
Question 15b
Do you agree with our proposed implementation dates for proposals on Long Serving INED: the financial year commencing on or after 1 January 2023?
Please give reasons for your views.