

## Part B Consultation Questions – Pre-opening Session Enhancement

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes and provide reasons to support your views. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at: <http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/August-2019-Consultation-Paper-on-Market-Microstructure-Enhancements/Consultation-Paper/cp201908.pdf>

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1. Do you support using the following **auction features** in POS, similar to CAS:
- Randomised auction matching;
  - Allowing at-auction limit orders throughout the session;
  - Allowing short selling orders with a price not lower than the previous closing price; and
  - Enhanced market transparency.

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your view.

***The reason for my view is because the proposed features mentioned above may improve liquidity and price discovery, as well as lower price volatility.***

2. Do you agree that the enhanced POS model should **be applied to all equities and funds only** similar to CAS, with details as follows:
- Including equities (including depositary receipts, investment companies, preference shares and stapled securities) and funds (ETFs and REITs)
  - Excluding debt securities, structured products, leveraged and inverse products, equity warrants and rights.

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your view.

***The reason I believe that the enhanced POS model should be applied to all equities and funds only similar to CAS but excluding other products such as structured and leveraged products is because of their volatility nature.***

3. Do you support applying a two-stage price limit during POS similar to CAS?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your view.

***The reason I support the proposed application of a two-stage limit during POS is because it takes into account price discovery function required for an orderly and fair open of market price.***

4. Do you support setting the price limits to be initially  $\pm 15\%$  from the previous closing price, and then to within the lowest ask and highest bid prices recorded at the end of order input period?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your view.

***I support setting the price limits to be initially +/-15% from the previous closing price and then to within the lowest ask and highest bid prices recorded at the end of order input period because only a minimal number of stocks on a daily basis would move beyond that limit.***

5. Do you support the proposed time periods in the enhanced POS, or would you prefer the alternative model with the No-cancellation period shortened by 2 minutes such that auction matching may occur latest by 9:20am same as today?

Support the proposed time periods

Prefer the alternative model

Not support

Please give reasons for your view.

***The reason I support the proposed time periods over the alternative model is because the randomising of the auction matching time would be more time efficient in its operation.***

6. Do you support the proposal of not matching at-auction order at the previous closing price when an IEP cannot be formed in POS?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your view.

***I support the proposal of NOT matching at-auction order at the previous closing price when IEP cannot be formed in POS because of the consideration factor where significant price changing events could have taken place overnight rendering the previous closing price unrepresentative.***

7. Do you have any other comments on the POS enhancement proposal?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your view.

## Part C Consultation Questions – Volatility Control Mechanism Enhancement

8. Do you support the proposed expansion of VCM stocks in the securities market to all HSCI constituent stocks to safeguard market from possible disorderliness in trading caused by advances in trading technology?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your view.

***The reason I support the proposed expansion is because the effect of market volatility may spillover to other stock in the market. It makes sense to cover all HSCI constituent stocks but not all non-HSCI stocks at the same level due to consideration on trade turnover and liquidity.***

9. If you prefer other expansion options, please indicate below:

Alternative Option 1: Expand to include constituents of Hang Seng LargeCap Index only

Alternative Option 2: Expand to further include all constituents of Hang Seng LargeCap and MidCap Indexes only

Alternative Option 3: Expand to all equities but with a higher triggering threshold for the non-HSCI stocks

Others. Please specify : \_\_\_\_\_

Please give reasons for your view.

10. Do you support the proposed trigger thresholds of 10%, 15%, and 20% for Hang Seng Composite LargeCap, MidCap and SmallCap stocks respectively?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your view.

***The reason I support is because the proposed trigger thresholds are of a gradual manner which helps manage triggering frequency and induce less impact on trader or investor.***

11. Do you support the proposal to allow multiple triggers in the same trading session?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your view.

***The reason I support the proposal to allow multiple triggers in the same trading session is because it allows a multiple level of market protection and it is a fine balance between interruptions and market protection.***

12. Do you have other suggested enhancements or any other comments for VCM in the securities market?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your view.

13. If your answer to Q12 is "Yes", would you support implementing the three enhancement features proposed first, as they can be implemented relatively quickly, before we move on to review or implement some other more complex features?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your view.

14. On top of the proposed VCM enhancements, should we also consider a market-level volatility control (such as market-wide circuit breakers) for the Hong Kong market?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your view.

***The effectiveness or impact of implementing Market-wide circuit breakers have not been proven and when triggers may lead to investor panic and unforeseeable consequences.***

15. If your answer to Q14 is "Yes", what kind of model would be suitable and when should we consider it?

Please give reasons for your view.

## Part D Consultation Questions – Implementation Approach and Timeline

16. How much lead time would you need for the proposed POS enhancements?

- Under 3 months;
- 4-6 months;
- 7-12 months
- Others. Please specify : \_\_\_\_\_

Please give reasons for your view.

17. How much lead time would you need for the proposed VCM enhancements?

- Under 3 months;
- 4-6 months;
- Others. Please specify : \_\_\_\_\_

Please give reasons for your view.

- End -