Part B Consultation Questions

Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at: https://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/July-2020-Paperless-Listing/Consultation-Paper/cp202007.pdf. Please indicate your preference by ticking the appropriate boxes.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

We encourage you to read all of the following questions before responding.

1.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Listing Rules to require (i) all listing documents in a new listing (" New Listing ") ¹ to be published solely in an online electronic format and cease printed form listing documents; and (ii) except for Mixed Media Offers ² , all New Listing subscriptions, where applicable, to be made through online electronic channels only?
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	The paperless prospectus waivers have become widespread. The paper is a waste of resources. This change is overdue.
2.	As a consequence of our proposal in Question 1, do you agree with our proposal to amend the Listing Rules to remove the requirement for listed issuers to make available physical copies of listing documents to the public at the address(es) set out in a formal notice?
	Yes
	■ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Physical copies are redundant, and it is inefficient (and disproportionately expensive) to have small print runs to cater to the remote possibility that a very limited number of potential investors will still require a physical copy
	potential investors win still require a physical copy

¹ "New Listing" refers to an application for listing of equities (including stapled securities and depositary receipts), debt securities and collective investment schemes ("**CIS**") on the Exchange by a new applicant where a listing document is required under the Listing Rules but excludes a Mixed Media Offer. For the purpose of the Consultation Paper, debt securities refer to debt securities (including debt issuance programmes) listed pursuant to chapters 22 to 36 of Main Board Listing Rules and chapters 26 to 29, 32 to 35 of GEM Listing Rules.

² "Mixed Media Offer" refers to an offer process whereby an issuer or a CIS offeror can distribute paper application forms for public offers of certain securities without a printed prospectus, so long as the prospectus is available on the HKEX website and the website of the issuer/CIS offeror and it makes printed prospectuses publicly available free of charge upon request at specified locations (which do not have to be the same locations as where the printed application forms are distributed).

3.	Do you agree with our proposal to require issuers to only post documents ³ online on both the Exchange's e-Publication System and the issuer's website ("Online Display Documents") and to remove the requirement for their physical display?
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	In my 20 years of practising in Hong Kong, I am aware of only one instance where someone actually inspected the physical documents (and it was a junior from my team that I instructed to go and inspect a contract)
4.	Do you agree that Online Display Documents should be displayed online for a specified period ⁴ except for those documents that are required by the Listing Rules to be made available on an ongoing basis?
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	The online requirement should track the former physical requirement, and therefore be limited in time duration.
5.	Do you agree that the Exchange should continue to allow redaction of Online Display Documents in only very limited circumstances?
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	This is going to be a critical issue for biotechnology companies - particularly where they enter into in-licensing or other commercial agreements that may contain technical or other details of significant commercial sensitivity.

Such documents are listed in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper, save for the changes proposed in respect of notifiable transactions and connected transactions as set out in Section G of the Consultation Paper.
 The time frames are set out in Appendix 1 to the Consultation Paper.

6.	Do you agree that the current definition of 'and that the Exchange should continue to a	
	Yes	
	No	
	Please give reasons for your views.	
	The definition should be clarified to ensure properly incorporated. At the moment the decapture any contract not in the usual course (if a "materiality" is not applied), result in being disclosed, which may or may not be contract that would be neither discloseable was a listed issuer) should be clearly stated	of business - which could in some cases a disproportionate number of documents meaningful to investors. We think that a under Chapter 14 or 14A (if the company
7.	Do you agree that restrictions should not be Online Display Documents?	e placed on downloading and/or printing
	Yes	
	No	
	Please give reasons for your views.	
	We think this will encourage issuers and their ways to shift some details from the document documents that do not strictly constitute mat be permitted without restriction, we think the whether some guidance can be provided or redacted upon application (and the consideraccount when considering applications).	nts on display into "side letters" and other erial contracts. If downloading is going to Exchange ought to give consideration to n which provisions of contracts may be
8.	Do you agree with our proposal not to put in to record and verify the identity of a person v	
	No	
	Please give reasons for your views.	

In i	respect of a relevant notifiable transaction ⁵ , do you agree with our proposal to:
i)	require the issuer to display the contracts pertaining to the transaction only; and
ii)	remove the requirement to display all material contracts entered into by the issuer within the last two years before the issue of the circular?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Ple	ease give reasons for your views.
	respect of a connected transaction that is subject to the shareholders' approval juirement, do you agree with our proposal to:
i)	
ii)	require the issuer to display the contracts pertaining to the transaction only; and
	require the issuer to display the contracts pertaining to the transaction only; and remove the requirement to display contracts referred to in the circular and directors' service contracts ⁶ ?
\boxtimes	remove the requirement to display contracts referred to in the circular and directors'
	remove the requirement to display contracts referred to in the circular and directors' service contracts ⁶ ?
	remove the requirement to display contracts referred to in the circular and directors' service contracts ⁶ ? Yes
	remove the requirement to display contracts referred to in the circular and directors' service contracts ⁶ ? Yes No
	remove the requirement to display contracts referred to in the circular and directors' service contracts ⁶ ? Yes No
	remove the requirement to display contracts referred to in the circular and directors' service contracts ⁶ ? Yes No
	remove the requirement to display contracts referred to in the circular and directors' service contracts ⁶ ? Yes No

⁵ A relevant notifiable transaction refers to a major transaction, a very substantial disposal or a very substantial acquisition as defined in the Consultation Paper.

⁶ Excluding contracts that are expiring or determinable by the employer within one year without payment of compensation (other than statutory compensation).