

Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

<http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/May-2019-Review-of-ESG-Guide/Consultation-Paper/cp201905.pdf>.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

Timeframe for Publication of ESG Reports

1. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Main Board Listing Rule 13.91 and GEM Listing Rule 17.103 to shorten the time required to publish an environmental, social and governance (“**ESG**”) report from three months after the publication of the annual report to within four months for Main Board issuers or three months for GEM issuers from the financial year-end date?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree. Shorten timeframe for publication of ESG reports can provide a more relevant information to investors and present a less likely time lack picture of company's performance to the public at the same time as the annual report.

Printed Form of ESG Reports

2. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Listing Rules and the Guide to clarify that issuers are not required to provide printed form of the ESG report to shareholders unless responding to specific requests, but are required to notify shareholders that the ESG report has been published on the Exchange’s and the issuer’s websites?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree as online information is more fit to the market need nowadays.

Introducing Mandatory Disclosure Requirements

General

3. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce Mandatory Disclosure Requirements (“MDR”)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree. MDR is setting out specific requirements on the disclosure of governance structure, reporting principles and boundary. This proposal can provide a clear direction and enhance the reporting quality on the significant part in disclosure.

Governance Structure

4. If your response to Question 3 is positive, do you agree with our proposal to introduce an MDR requiring a statement from the board containing the following elements:

(a) a disclosure of the board’s oversight of ESG issues?

(b) the process used to identify, evaluate and manage material ESG-related issues (including risks to the issuer’s businesses); and

(c) how the board reviews progress made against ESG-related goals and targets?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree that the board should address the above elements to minimise the ESG related risks which is important to the company and the investors.

5. Do you agree with our proposal to set out in a note that the board statement should include information on the issuer's current ESG management approach, strategy, priorities and goals/targets and an explanation of how they relate to the issuer's businesses?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree. This proposal can further disclose the board's strategic management policy in relation to ESG.

Reporting Principles

6. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce an MDR requiring disclosure of an explanation on how the issuer has applied the Reporting Principles in the preparation of the ESG report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree. MDR can enable investors to have a better understanding on the rationale behind the application of Reporting Principles.

7. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on "materiality" to make it clear that materiality of ESG issues is to be determined by the board and that the issuer must disclose a description of significant stakeholders identified, the process and results of the issuer's stakeholder engagement (if any), and the criteria for the selection of material ESG factors?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree. This helps to make it clear the background on how the "materiality" is arrived.

8. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on “quantitative” to:

(a) require disclosure of information on the standards, methodologies, assumptions and/or calculation tools used, and source of the conversion factors used for the reporting of emissions/energy consumption (where applicable); and

(b) clarify that while key performance indicators (“KPIs”) for historical data must be measurable, targets may be expressed by way of directional statements or quantitative descriptions?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree. This helps investors more easy to assess and compare data either on a year-on-year basis or amongst peer companies.

Reporting Boundary

9. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to include an MDR requiring an explanation of the ESG report’s reporting boundary, disclosing the process used to identify the specific entities or operations that are included in the ESG report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree. This gives investors easy to understand the frame of reference in the ESG report.

Introducing Aspect on Climate Change and Revising the Environmental KPIs

Climate Change

10. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Aspect A4 requiring:

- (a) disclosure of policies on measures to identify and mitigate the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer; and
- (b) a KPI requiring a description of the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer, and the actions taken to manage them?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree. New aspect A4-Climate Change can give a marco picture on the environmental change that may overall affect the issuer's businesses and operations.

Targets

11. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Environmental KPIs to require disclosure of a description of targets set regarding emissions, energy use and water efficiency, waste reduction, etc. and steps taken to achieve them?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree. This can help the issuers to have a clear roadmap to improve their performance and refine their strategies to control the environmental risks.

GHG Emissions

12. Do you agree with our proposal to revise an Environmental KPI to require disclosure of Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas (“GHG”) emissions?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree. This change is in line with the international standards on ESG reporting.

Upgrading the Disclosure Obligation of the Social KPIs

13. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the disclosure obligation of all Social KPIs to “comply or explain”?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree. The upgrade helps to reflect the equal importance of social KPIs in the domain.

Revising the Social KPIs

Employment Types

14. Do you agree with our proposal to revise a KPI to clarify “employment types” should include “full- and part-time” staff?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree and this can give a clear direction in data collection and disclosure.

Rate of Fatalities

15. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the KPI on fatalities to require disclosure of the number and rate of work-related fatalities occurred in each of the past three years including the reporting year?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree. This track-record disclosure would help issuers to review their safety performance and provide information for stakeholders to understand the effectiveness of the safety policies.

Supply Chain Management

16. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce the following new KPIs in respect of supply chain management?

(a) Description of practices used to identify environmental and social risks along the supply chain, and how they are implemented and monitored.

(b) Description of practices used to promote environmentally preferable products and services when selecting suppliers, and how they are implemented and monitored.

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree. This change can enhance the extension to a wide scope of related associates.

Anti-corruption

17. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new KPI requiring disclosure of anti-corruption training provided to directors and staff?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

No. Company usually has touched on the matter in in-house policy and training. Also, ICAC has regular extensive marketing publication in the society.

Encouraging Independent Assurance

18. Do you agree with the proposal to revise the Guide's wording on independence assurance to state that the issuer may seek independent assurance to strengthen the credibility of ESG information disclosed; and where independent assurance is obtained, the issuer should describe the level, scope and processes adopted for assurance clearly in the ESG report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree to keep this as voluntary requirement in order to avoid compliance burden to issuers and too much interruption in their business operation.

- End -