# Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/May-2019-Review-of-ESG-Guide/Consultation-Paper/cp201905.pdf.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

### Timeframe for Publication of ESG Reports

- Do you agree with our proposal to amend Main Board Listing Rule 13.91 and GEM Listing Rule 17.103 to shorten the time required to publish an environmental, social and governance ("ESG") report from three months after the publication of the annual report to within four months for Main Board issuers or three months for GEM issuers from the financial year-end date?
  - 🛛 Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Should be coincident with financial results because that is when analysts are looking more deeply at companies and their forecasts. There is a risk that ESG reports will be disregarded if announced separately.

#### Printed Form of ESG Reports

2. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Listing Rules and the Guide to clarify that issuers are not required to provide printed form of the ESG report to shareholders unless responding to specific requests, but are required to notify shareholders that the ESG report has been published on the Exchange's and the issuer's websites?



No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Saves paper but alerts interested parties about its availability

# Introducing Mandatory Disclosure Requirements

### General

- 3. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce Mandatory Disclosure Requirements ("**MDR**")?
  - Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Governance Structure

- 4. If your response to Question 3 is positive, do you agree with our proposal to introduce an MDR requiring a statement from the board containing the following elements:
  - (a) a disclosure of the board's oversight of ESG issues?
  - (b) the process used to identify, evaluate and manage material ESG-related issues (including risks to the issuer's businesses); and
  - (c) how the board reviews progress made against ESG-related goals and targets?
  - Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Not sure if it means that the Board is also taking responsibility for lack of or insufficient ESG: maybe a step too far

5. Do you agree with our proposal to set out in a note that the board statement should include information on the issuer's current ESG management approach, strategy, priorities and goals/targets and an explanation of how they relate to the issuer's businesses?

Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Reporting Principles

6. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce an MDR requiring disclosure of an explanation on how the issuer has applied the Reporting Principles in the preparation of the ESG report?

Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

7. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on "materiality" to make it clear that materiality of ESG issues is to be determined by the board and that the issuer must disclose a description of significant stakeholders identified, the process and results of the issuer's stakeholder engagement (if any), and the criteria for the selection of material ESG factors?

🗌 No

- 8. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on "quantitative" to:
  - (a) require disclosure of information on the standards, methodologies, assumptions and/or calculation tools used, and source of the conversion factors used for the reporting of emissions/energy consumption (where applicable); and
  - (b) clarify that while key performance indicators ("**KPIs**") for historical data must be measurable, targets may be expressed by way of directional statements or quantitative descriptions?

Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Very complicated, so may require some handholding from experts - not all companies can afford...

#### **Reporting Boundary**

9. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to include an MDR requiring an explanation of the ESG report's reporting boundary, disclosing the process used to identify the specific entities or operations that are included in the ESG report?

No No

# Introducing Aspect on Climate Change and Revising the Environmental KPIs

#### Climate Change

- 10. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Aspect A4 requiring:
  - (a) disclosure of policies on measures to identify and mitigate the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer; and
  - (b) a KPI requiring a description of the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer, and the actions taken to manage them?

	Yes
--	-----

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Quite complicated. Better to intro as a "Nice to Have" before converting into must have

### Targets

- 11. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Environmental KPIs to require disclosure of a description of targets set regarding emissions, energy use and water efficiency, waste reduction, etc. and steps taken to achieve them?
  - Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

May be very complex. Introduce in phases.

### **GHG Emissions**

- 12. Do you agree with our proposal to revise an Environmental KPI to require disclosure of Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas ("**GHG**") emissions?
  - Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Very Complex. Award stars to those who comply and make it options to comply

## Upgrading the Disclosure Obligation of the Social KPIs

- 13. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the disclosure obligation of all Social KPIs to "comply or explain"?
  - 🛛 Yes
  - No No

# **Revising the Social KPIs**

## Employment Types

- 14. Do you agree with our proposal to revise a KPI to clarify "employment types" should include "full- and part-time" staff?
  - Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Rate of Fatalities

- 15. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the KPI on fatalities to require disclosure of the number and rate of work-related fatalities occurred in each of the past three years including the reporting year?
  - 🛛 Yes



#### Supply Chain Management

- 16. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce the following new KPIs in respect of supply chain management?
  - (a) Description of practices used to identify environmental and social risks along the supply chain, and how they are implemented and monitored.
  - (b) Description of practices used to promote environmentally preferable products and services when selecting suppliers, and how they are implemented and monitored.

Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes but make it disrectionary

#### Anti-corruption

- 17. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new KPI requiring disclosure of anti-corruption training provided to directors and staff?
  - Yes
  - No No

### Encouraging Independent Assurance

18. Do you agree with the proposal to revise the Guide's wording on independence assurance to state that the issuer may seek independent assurance to strengthen the credibility of ESG information disclosed; and where independent assurance is obtained, the issuer should describe the level, scope and processes adopted for assurance clearly in the ESG report?



No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Not sure if added burden on reporting adds significant costs to companies, so perhaps make more disclosures optional than mandatory for companies smaller than a certain size. Others we could consider: 1) identify 3-5 standard KPI that all companies must publish. 2) Could consider phasing in some of these regulations to provide more disclosure.

End -