# Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/May-2019-Review-of-ESG-Guide/Consultation-Paper/cp201905.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

## Timeframe for Publication of ESG Reports

- 1. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Main Board Listing Rule 13.91 and GEM Listing Rule 17.103 to shorten the time required to publish an environmental, social and governance ("**ESG**") report from three months after the publication of the annual report to within four months for Main Board issuers or three months for GEM issuers from the financial year-end date?
  - Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

In regards to other new amendments on the Rules and the Guide, the current timeframe with more flexibility is suggested to keep unchanged for a transition period.

#### Printed Form of ESG Reports

2. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Listing Rules and the Guide to clarify that issuers are not required to provide printed form of the ESG report to shareholders unless responding to specific requests, but are required to notify shareholders that the ESG report has been published on the Exchange's and the issuer's websites?



No No

Please give reasons for your views.

This would avoid environmental footprint of printed reports.

# Introducing Mandatory Disclosure Requirements

## <u>General</u>

- 3. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce Mandatory Disclosure Requirements ("**MDR**")?
  - Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

This proposed amendment sends a clear message to emphasise the importance of ESG disclosures.

## Governance Structure

- 4. If your response to Question 3 is positive, do you agree with our proposal to introduce an MDR requiring a statement from the board containing the following elements:
  - (a) a disclosure of the board's oversight of ESG issues?
  - (b) the process used to identify, evaluate and manage material ESG-related issues (including risks to the issuer's businesses); and
  - (c) how the board reviews progress made against ESG-related goals and targets?
  - Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

This would encourage listed companies to take accountility of ESG issues and risks in the board level. With these specific disclosure requirements, it would allow investors and stakeholders to assess the board's commitment and invovlement in ESG issues. 5. Do you agree with our proposal to set out in a note that the board statement should include information on the issuer's current ESG management approach, strategy, priorities and goals/targets and an explanation of how they relate to the issuer's businesses?

Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

The elements proposed in Q4 have sufficient guidance. A separate note is unnesccary.

# Reporting Principles

6. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce an MDR requiring disclosure of an explanation on how the issuer has applied the Reporting Principles in the preparation of the ESG report?

Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

This proposed amendment aligned with other international standards such as GRI.

7. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on "materiality" to make it clear that materiality of ESG issues is to be determined by the board and that the issuer must disclose a description of significant stakeholders identified, the process and results of the issuer's stakeholder engagement (if any), and the criteria for the selection of material ESG factors?

$\boxtimes$	Yes
-------------	-----

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Materiality is a fundemental step of ESG strategy formulation. It helps issuers prioritize and identify material issues, and how to address stakeholders' expectation. The amendments would clarify the concept of materiality and provide more guidance to listed companies.

- 8. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on "quantitative" to:
  - (a) require disclosure of information on the standards, methodologies, assumptions and/or calculation tools used, and source of the conversion factors used for the reporting of emissions/energy consumption (where applicable); and
  - (b) clarify that while key performance indicators ("**KPIs**") for historical data must be measurable, targets may be expressed by way of directional statements or quantitative descriptions?

X Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Agree with part (a) to align with international standards. For part (b), if targets may be expressed by the way of directional statements, it will contradict with the "quantitative" principle. Suggest to delete "directional, forward-looking statements".

#### **Reporting Boundary**

9. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to include an MDR requiring an explanation of the ESG report's reporting boundary, disclosing the process used to identify the specific entities or operations that are included in the ESG report?

$\boxtimes$	Yes
-------------	-----

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

This would provide accurate and material information for reader to assess an issuer's ESG performance with other peers.

# Introducing Aspect on Climate Change and Revising the Environmental KPIs

### Climate Change

- 10. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Aspect A4 requiring:
  - (a) disclosure of policies on measures to identify and mitigate the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer; and
  - (b) a KPI requiring a description of the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer, and the actions taken to manage them?
  - Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

The proposed new requirements align with international trend of growing concern on climate change and TCFD Recommendations.

## Targets

- 11. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Environmental KPIs to require disclosure of a description of targets set regarding emissions, energy use and water efficiency, waste reduction, etc. and steps taken to achieve them?
  - Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

The proposed new requirements align with international standards including GRI and TCFD Recommendations.

## **GHG Emissions**

- 12. Do you agree with our proposal to revise an Environmental KPI to require disclosure of Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas ("**GHG**") emissions?
  - 🛛 Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Disclosure of Scope 1 and Scope 2 is a norm for GHG accounting and reporting.

# Upgrading the Disclosure Obligation of the Social KPIs

- 13. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the disclosure obligation of all Social KPIs to "comply or explain"?
  - Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

This gives a clear message to issuers to the importance of social aspects which is equally important to the environmental aspects.

# **Revising the Social KPIs**

## Employment Types

- 14. Do you agree with our proposal to revise a KPI to clarify "employment types" should include "full- and part-time" staff?
  - Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

This would provide a clear definition of employment types.

#### Rate of Fatalities

15. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the KPI on fatalities to require disclosure of the number and rate of work-related fatalities occurred in each of the past three years including the reporting year?

		١	/e	s



Please give reasons for your views.

Other KPIs are reported on a yearly basis. Aliging the same timeframe would help readers to understand the issuer's ESG performance in the forehead mentioned reporting period.

## Supply Chain Management

- 16. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce the following new KPIs in respect of supply chain management?
  - (a) Description of practices used to identify environmental and social risks along the supply chain, and how they are implemented and monitored.
  - (b) Description of practices used to promote environmentally preferable products and services when selecting suppliers, and how they are implemented and monitored.

X Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Compared with the current requirements, the proposed amendments give a clearer guidance to limit the scope of supply chain management to environmental and social risks and issues.

## Anti-corruption

- 17. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new KPI requiring disclosure of anti-corruption training provided to directors and staff?
  - Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

This aligns with international standards such as GRI.

## Encouraging Independent Assurance

18. Do you agree with the proposal to revise the Guide's wording on independence assurance to state that the issuer may seek independent assurance to strengthen the credibility of ESG information disclosed; and where independent assurance is obtained, the issuer should describe the level, scope and processes adopted for assurance clearly in the ESG report?



No No

Please give reasons for your views.

The Exchange should encourage independent assurance by stating the benefits clearly as proposed. As the ESG assurance practices are still evolving, the transparencey on the details of the assurance is essential. However, we suggest the Exchange to consider to including a list of accepted assurance standards in future when the assurance practices become mature.

End -