Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/May-2019-Review-of-ESG-Guide/Consultation-Paper/cp201905.pdf.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

Timeframe for Publication of ESG Reports

- 1. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Main Board Listing Rule 13.91 and GEM Listing Rule 17.103 to shorten the time required to publish an environmental, social and governance ("**ESG**") report from three months after the publication of the annual report to within four months for Main Board issuers or three months for GEM issuers from the financial year-end date?
 - 🛛 Yes
 - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Having considered the time and resources required to compile such information by the SMEs in particular, it'd be better for these issuers having be a longer transition time (commencing after fiscal year 1 Jan 2021).

Printed Form of ESG Reports

- 2. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Listing Rules and the Guide to clarify that issuers are not required to provide printed form of the ESG report to shareholders unless responding to specific requests, but are required to notify shareholders that the ESG report has been published on the Exchange's and the issuer's websites?
 - 🛛 Yes
 - 🗌 No

Introducing Mandatory Disclosure Requirements

<u>General</u>

- 3. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce Mandatory Disclosure Requirements ("**MDR**")?
 - Yes
 - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Governance Structure

- 4. If your response to Question 3 is positive, do you agree with our proposal to introduce an MDR requiring a statement from the board containing the following elements:
 - (a) a disclosure of the board's oversight of ESG issues?
 - (b) the process used to identify, evaluate and manage material ESGrelated issues (including risks to the issuer's businesses); and
 - (c) how the board reviews progress made against ESG-related goals and targets?
 - Yes
 - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

It's unclear what is required from the board to publish a statement here. It'd be better and more direct to address the ESG related governance structure and its elements in Appendix 14, rather than in the ESG Guide only. 5. Do you agree with our proposal to set out in a note that the board statement should include information on the issuer's current ESG management approach, strategy, priorities and goals/targets and an explanation of how they relate to the issuer's businesses?

\boxtimes	Yes
<u> </u>	

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Reporting Principles

- 6. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce an MDR requiring disclosure of an explanation on how the issuer has applied the Reporting Principles in the preparation of the ESG report?
 - Yes
 - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

If the issusers followed the ESG Guide and fufilled its requirements, they'd applied these principles already. It's unclear the reason why the issuers need to repeatedly narrate application of these principles in their ESG reports which would not contain any value information.

- 7. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on "materiality" to make it clear that materiality of ESG issues is to be determined by the board and that the issuer must disclose a description of significant stakeholders identified, the process and results of the issuer's stakeholder engagement (if any), and the criteria for the selection of material ESG factors?
 - 🗌 Yes
 - No No

The board has the ultimate responsibility about the materiality of ESG issues of companies and may or may not participate in and determine these issuers by itself. It'd allow some flexibility in this area so that the board can choose the best process and structure to determine such material ESG issues effectively.

- 8. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on "quantitative" to:
 - (a) require disclosure of information on the standards, methodologies, assumptions and/or calculation tools used, and source of the conversion factors used for the reporting of emissions/energy consumption (where applicable); and
 - (b) clarify that while key performance indicators ("**KPIs**") for historical data must be measurable, targets may be expressed by way of directional statements or quantitative descriptions?
 - Yes
 - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Reporting Boundary

- 9. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to include an MDR requiring an explanation of the ESG report's reporting boundary, disclosing the process used to identify the specific entities or operations that are included in the ESG report?
 - Yes Yes
 - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

While the issuers explain their reporting boundary, they'd disclose the rationale behind it including various risks such as financial, reputational, operational, social etc.

Introducing Aspect on Climate Change and Revising the Environmental KPIs

Climate Change

- 10. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Aspect A4 requiring:
 - (a) disclosure of policies on measures to identify and mitigate the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer; and
 - (b) a KPI requiring a description of the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer, and the actions taken to manage them?
 - Yes
 - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

The issuers are expected to define "significance" which may or may not consider financial implications alone.

Targets

11. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Environmental KPIs to require disclosure of a description of targets set regarding emissions, energy use and water efficiency, waste reduction, etc. and steps taken to achieve them?



No No

GHG Emissions

- 12. Do you agree with our proposal to revise an Environmental KPI to require disclosure of Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas ("**GHG**") emissions?
 - Yes
 - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

I think the issuers should require to disclose Scope 1 and/or Scope 2. It is because Scope 2 GHG emissions in many industries could be more substantial than Scope 1 which are negligible in some cases.

Upgrading the Disclosure Obligation of the Social KPIs

- 13. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the disclosure obligation of all Social KPIs to "comply or explain"?
 - Yes
 - No No

Revising the Social KPIs

Employment Types

- 14. Do you agree with our proposal to revise a KPI to clarify "employment types" should include "full- and part-time" staff?
 - Yes
 - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Rate of Fatalities

- 15. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the KPI on fatalities to require disclosure of the number and rate of work-related fatalities occurred in each of the past three years including the reporting year?
 - 🛛 Yes
 - No No

Supply Chain Management

- 16. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce the following new KPIs in respect of supply chain management?
 - (a) Description of practices used to identify environmental and social risks along the supply chain, and how they are implemented and monitored.
 - (b) Description of practices used to promote environmentally preferable products and services when selecting suppliers, and how they are implemented and monitored.

X Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Anti-corruption

- 17. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new KPI requiring disclosure of anti-corruption training provided to directors and staff?
 - 🛛 Yes
 - No No

Encouraging Independent Assurance

18. Do you agree with the proposal to revise the Guide's wording on independence assurance to state that the issuer may seek independent assurance to strengthen the credibility of ESG information disclosed; and where independent assurance is obtained, the issuer should describe the level, scope and processes adopted for assurance clearly in the ESG report?





Please give reasons for your views.

- End -