# Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/May-2019-Review-of-ESG-Guide/Consultation-Paper/cp201905.pdf.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

#### Timeframe for Publication of ESG Reports

- Do you agree with our proposal to amend Main Board Listing Rule 13.91 and GEM Listing Rule 17.103 to shorten the time required to publish an environmental, social and governance ("ESG") report from three months after the publication of the annual report to within four months for Main Board issuers or three months for GEM issuers from the financial year-end date?
  - Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Aligning timeframes for ESG and financial reporting provides a more holistic perspective for understanding a company's performance and impacts. It allows investors to cross-reference different data sets and information from the two reports at the same time to have a more infromed view of the company.

#### Printed Form of ESG Reports

2. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Listing Rules and the Guide to clarify that issuers are not required to provide printed form of the ESG report to shareholders unless responding to specific requests, but are required to notify shareholders that the ESG report has been published on the Exchange's and the issuer's websites?



No No

Please give reasons for your views.

It offers flexibility for companies to communicate ESG information to their stakeholders in ways they see most effective. We also recommend the same approach for companies' financial report.

# Introducing Mandatory Disclosure Requirements

## <u>General</u>

- 3. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce Mandatory Disclosure Requirements ("**MDR**")?
  - 🛛 Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

It adds robustness of systems and processes for ESG disclosure, hence the credibiltiy of the information disclosed.

### Governance Structure

- 4. If your response to Question 3 is positive, do you agree with our proposal to introduce an MDR requiring a statement from the board containing the following elements:
  - (a) a disclosure of the board's oversight of ESG issues?
  - (b) the process used to identify, evaluate and manage material ESG-related issues (including risks to the issuer's businesses); and
  - (c) how the board reviews progress made against ESG-related goals and targets?
  - Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

It shows the board's capacity and commitment to manage ESG issues properly. We would suggest including disclosure on whether the board has received training related to ESG issues.

5. Do you agree with our proposal to set out in a note that the board statement should include information on the issuer's current ESG management approach, strategy, priorities and goals/targets and an explanation of how they relate to the issuer's businesses?



No No

Please give reasons for your views.

ESG management has direct and indirect impact on a company's businesses. The requirements will nudge companies to have a higher-level management oversight and understanding on ESG matters and how they connect with the business; therefore, the Exchange should require companies to provide more specific information with regards to ESG governance and management approach, strategy, goals/targets, initiatives and impact on financial performance. This can help investors and stakeholders to see whether the company has a systematic and authentic apporach to ESG, and avoid mis-guiding the investors or stakeholders.

## **Reporting Principles**

- 6. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce an MDR requiring disclosure of an explanation on how the issuer has applied the Reporting Principles in the preparation of the ESG report?
  - 🛛 Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

It provides contextual information about a company's ESG reporting to achieve transparency.

7. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on "materiality" to make it clear that materiality of ESG issues is to be determined by the board and that the issuer must disclose a description of significant stakeholders identified, the process and results of the issuer's stakeholder engagement (if any), and the criteria for the selection of material ESG factors?

Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Materiality assessment is essential in determining what ESG issues are of priority to the company and thus important to disclose, and the assessment should be well supported by a clear method of materiality assessment. Stakeholder engagement should be an integral part of the process.

- 8. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on "quantitative" to:
  - (a) require disclosure of information on the standards, methodologies, assumptions and/or calculation tools used, and source of the conversion factors used for the reporting of emissions/energy consumption (where applicable); and
  - (b) clarify that while key performance indicators ("**KPIs**") for historical data must be measurable, targets may be expressed by way of directional statements or quantitative descriptions?

🛛 Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Disclosure on methodologies and assumptions safeguards data quality and adds confidence to the readers. We would recommend where quantitative targets for measurable KPIs are not provided, issuers should state the reasons and commit to disclosing targets in future reports.

#### **Reporting Boundary**

9. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to include an MDR requiring an explanation of the ESG report's reporting boundary, disclosing the process used to identify the specific entities or operations that are included in the ESG report?

Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree to the proposed amendment as it allows comparison and benchmarking of ESG performance. Companies should be encouraged to align reporting boundary with that of financial report.

# Introducing Aspect on Climate Change and Revising the Environmental KPIs

### Climate Change

- 10. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Aspect A4 requiring:
  - (a) disclosure of policies on measures to identify and mitigate the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer; and
  - (b) a KPI requiring a description of the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer, and the actions taken to manage them?
  - Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

This is in line with international reporting initiaitves, such as GRI and TCFD.

## <u>Targets</u>

- 11. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Environmental KPIs to require disclosure of a description of targets set regarding emissions, energy use and water efficiency, waste reduction, etc. and steps taken to achieve them?
  - 🛛 Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Disclosing targets alongside performance gives a clear indication of a company's progress and consious effort made towards a sustainable future.

### **GHG Emissions**

12. Do you agree with our proposal to revise an Environmental KPI to require disclosure of Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas ("**GHG**") emissions?



No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Reporting emissions in categories of scope 1 and 2 provides a better context for readers to make sense of the actions and targets to reduce emissions.

# Upgrading the Disclosure Obligation of the Social KPIs

- 13. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the disclosure obligation of all Social KPIs to "comply or explain"?
  - Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Fully agree as the social KPIs are as important as the environmental KPIs which have been made compulsory.

# **Revising the Social KPIs**

## Employment Types

- 14. Do you agree with our proposal to revise a KPI to clarify "employment types" should include "full- and part-time" staff?
  - Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

A clear definition of employment types enables standardised reporting across companies.

### Rate of Fatalities

- 15. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the KPI on fatalities to require disclosure of the number and rate of work-related fatalities occurred in each of the past three years including the reporting year?
  - 🛛 Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

This allows trend analysis which reflects the company's progress in health & safety management.

### Supply Chain Management

- 16. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce the following new KPIs in respect of supply chain management?
  - (a) Description of practices used to identify environmental and social risks along the supply chain, and how they are implemented and monitored.
  - (b) Description of practices used to promote environmentally preferable products and services when selecting suppliers, and how they are implemented and monitored.

X Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

We would recommend amending (b) to include promotion of socially preferable products and services, so that purchases from social enterprises can be encouraged.

### Anti-corruption

- 17. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new KPI requiring disclosure of anti-corruption training provided to directors and staff?
  - Yes
  - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

We would recommend specifying the number and percentage of directors and staff receiving the training.

## Encouraging Independent Assurance

18. Do you agree with the proposal to revise the Guide's wording on independence assurance to state that the issuer may seek independent assurance to strengthen the credibility of ESG information disclosed; and where independent assurance is obtained, the issuer should describe the level, scope and processes adopted for assurance clearly in the ESG report?



No No

Please give reasons for your views.

The information should also be included in an independent assurance statement signed off by the assurance service provider disclosed in the report.

- End -