

## Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

<https://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017111.pdf>.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

### PART I: INDEPENDENT NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS

#### Overboarding and INED's time commitment

1. Do you agree with our proposed amendment to Code Provision (“**CP**”) A.5.5 (on a “comply or explain” basis) so that in addition to the CP’s current requirements, the board should also explain, if the proposed independent non-executive director (“**INED**”) will be holding his seventh (or more) listed company directorship, why he would still be able to devote sufficient time to the board?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

The focus of disclosure should be put on the proposed INED's capability, experience and contribution to the issuer rather on the number of directorships he/she holds.

#### Board diversity

2. Do you agree with our proposals to upgrade CP A.5.6 (on a “comply or explain” basis) to a Rule (Rule 13.92) requiring issuers to have a diversity policy and to disclose the policy or a summary of it in their corporate governance reports?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

It was noted the increasing importance of board diversity as a factor for investors in making their investment decisions. It was noted the CP has been introduced for quite some time and it would be an appropriate time now to upgrade the CP to a rule.

3. Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.5.5 that it requires (on a “comply or explain” basis) the board to state in the circular to shareholders accompanying the resolution to elect the director:
- (i) the process used for identifying the nominee;
  - (ii) the perspectives, skills and experience that the person is expected to bring to the board; and
  - (iii) how the nominee would contribute to the diversity of the board.

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

This will enhance transparency and allow shareholders to make informed voting decisions.

4. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Mandatory Disclosure Requirement L.(d)(ii) to reflect the upgrade of CP A.5.6 (on a “comply or explain” basis) to a Rule (Rule 13.92) requiring issuers to have a diversity policy and to disclose the policy or a summary of it in their Corporate Governance Reports?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Same as question 2 above.

## Factors affecting INED's independence

### A. Cooling off periods for former professional advisers

5. Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 3.13 (3) so that there is a three-year cooling off period for professional advisers before they can be considered independent, instead of the current one year?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

One-year cooling off period should be sufficient.

6. Do you agree with our proposal to revise CP C.3.2 (on a "comply or explain" basis) so that there is a three-year cooling off period for a former partner of the issuer's existing audit firm before he can be a member of the issuer's audit committee?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Same as question 5 above.

**B. Cooling off period in respect of material interests in business activities**

7. Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 3.13(4) to introduce a one-year cooling off period for a proposed INED who has had material interests in the issuer's principal business activities in the past year?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

The proposed one-year cooling off period strikes a balance between international best practice and practical issues of reducing the pool of available INEDs.

**C. Cross-directorships or Significant Links with other Directors**

8. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Recommended Best Practice A.3.3 (i.e. voluntary) to recommend disclosure of INEDs' cross-directorships in the Corporate Governance Report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

The definitions of "cross-directorships" or "significant links" are vague and need to be clarified and defined first.

**D. Family ties**

9. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a Note under Rule 3.13 to encourage inclusion of an INED's immediate family members in the assessment of the director's independence?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

The proposed amendments are in line with the independence assessments adopted in other countries.

10. Do you agree with our proposal to adopt the same definition for "immediate family member" as Rule 14A.12(1)(a) which defines an "immediate family member" as "his spouse, his (or his spouse's) child or step-child, natural or adopted, under the age of 18 years"?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

This gives a standard definition of "immediate family member".

**PART II: NOMINATION POLICY**

11. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Mandatory Disclosure Requirement L.(d)(ii) of Appendix 14 to require an issuer to disclose its nomination policy adopted during the year?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

## **PART III: DIRECTORS' ATTENDANCE AT MEETINGS**

### **Directors' attendance at general meetings**

12. Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.6.7 (on a "comply or explain" basis) by removing the last sentence of the current wording (i.e. they should also attend general meetings and develop a balanced understanding of the views of shareholders.)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

To remove inconsistencies in the interpretation of the Code.
--

### **Chairman's annual meetings with INEDs**

13. Do you agree with our proposal to revise CP A.2.7 (on a "comply or explain" basis) to state that INEDs should meet at least annually with the chairman?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

--

**PART IV: DIVIDEND POLICY**

14. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce CP E.1.5 requiring (on a “comply or explain” basis) the issuer to disclose its dividend policy in the annual report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

The dividend policy is important information for the investors.

**PART V: ELECTRONIC DISSEMINATION OF CORPORATE COMMUNICATIONS – IMPLIED CONSENT**

15. Do you think that the Rules should be amended to allow shareholders’ consent to be implied for electronic dissemination of corporate communications by issuers?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree to the proposal, subject to the amendments to the HK Companies Ordinance to allow implied consent for electronic dissemination of corporate communication by issuers.

- End -