Submitted via Qualtrics

Company/Organisation view

Question 1

Do you agree that the subscription and trading of SPAC securities prior to a De-SPAC Transaction should be limited to Professional Investors only (see paragraph 149 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

Retail investors may be susceptible to market rumours and share price manipulation than Professional Investors. Limiting the subscription and trading of SPAC securities prior to a De-SPAC Transaction to Professional Investors only will help protect the interests of retail investors.

Question 2

Do you agree with the measures proposed in paragraphs 151 to 159 of the Consultation Paper to ensure SPAC's securities are not marketed to and traded by the public in Hong Kong (excluding Professional Investors)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the measures proposed in paragraphs 151 to 159 of the Consultation Paper.

Question 3a

Do you consider it appropriate for SPAC Shares and SPAC Warrants to be permitted to trade separately from the date of initial listing to a De-SPAC Transaction?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the measures proposed in the Consultation Paper.

Question 3b

As your answer to question 3a is "No", do you have any alternative suggestions?

Please set out any alternative suggestions below.

Question 4a

Would either Option 1 (as set out in paragraph 170 of the Consultation Paper) or Option 2 as set out in paragraph 171 to 174 of the Consultation Paper) be adequate to mitigate the risks of extraordinary volatility in SPAC Warrants and a disorderly market?

Option 2

Please give reasons for your views. Please provide further technical details if you suggest a different option.

Option 2 appears to be better than Option 1 as it allows different triggering point for a cooling-off period taking into account the different nature of SPAC Shares and SPAC Warrants. However, price deviation percentages may have to be further refined after discussion with relevant stakeholders while ensuring an orderly market.

Question 4b

Do you have any other suggestions to address the risks regarding trading arrangements we set out in the Consultation Paper?

No

Please give any suggestions below:

Question 5

Do you agree that, at its initial offering, a SPAC must distribute each of SPAC Shares and SPAC Warrants to a minimum of 75 Professional Investors in total (of either type) of which 30 must be Institutional Professional Investors?

No

Please give reasons for your views.

As the SPAC regime is targeted at Professional Investors, it may be feasible to increase the minimum number of Institutional Professional Investors as Institutional Investors may be more willing to subscribe and will have the funds required to help raise the HK\$1 billion target. We suggest that there must be at least 45 Institutional Professional Investors

Question 6

Do you agree that, at its initial offering, a SPAC must distribute at least 75% of each SPAC Shares and SPAC Warrants to Institutional Professional Investors?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the reasons set out in the Consultation Paper.

Question 7

Do you agree that not more than 50% of the securities in public hands at the time of a SPAC's listing should be beneficially owned by the three largest public shareholders?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This would allow liquidity given the relatively small pool of investors eligible for subscribe for SPAC Shares.

Question 8

Do you agree that at least 25% of the SPAC's total number of issued shares and at least 25% of the SPAC's total number of issued warrants must be held by the public at listing and on an ongoing basis?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This would ensure that there is sufficient liquidity to ensure an open market in the securities of a SPAC.

Question 9a

Do you agree that the shareholder distribution proposals set out in paragraphs 181 and 182 of the Consultation Paper will provide sufficient liquidity to ensure an open market in the securities of a SPAC prior to completion of a De-SPAC Transaction?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the shareholder distribution proposals set out in paragraphs 181 and 182 of the Consultation Paper.

Question 9b

Are there other measures that the Exchange should use to help ensure an open and liquid market in SPAC securities?

No

Please set out any suggestions for other measures below.

Do you agree that, due to the imposition of restricted marketing, a SPAC should not have to meet the requirements set out in paragraph 184 of the Consultation Paper regarding public interest, transferability (save for transferability between Professional Investors) and allocation to the public?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the measures proposed in paragraph 184 of the Consultation Paper.

Question 11

Do you agree that SPACs should be required to issue their SPAC Shares at an issue price of HK\$10 or above?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the reasons set out in the Consultation Paper.

Question 12

Do you agree that the funds expected to be raised by a SPAC from its initial offering must be at least HK\$1 billion?

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We suggest that the funds expected to be raised by a SPAC from its initial offering should be at least HK\$625 million. This is to take into account our response to Question 32 such that 80% of the funds raised would be HK\$500 million (that is, 80% x HK\$625 million), which is in line with the current market capitalization requirement of Initial Public Offerings.

Question 13

Do you agree with the application of existing requirements relating to warrants with the proposed modifications set out in paragraph 202 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the application of existing requirements relating to warrants with the proposed modifications set out in paragraph 202 of the Consultation Paper.

Do you agree that Promoter Warrants and SPAC Warrants should be exercisable only after the completion of a De-SPAC Transaction?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the reasons set out in the Consultation Paper.

Question 15a

Do you agree that a SPAC must not issue Promoter Warrants at less than fair value?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This places all Warrant holders on the same ground with no preferential treatment.

Question 15b

Do you agree that a SPAC must not issue Promoter Warrants that contain more favourable terms than that of SPAC Warrants?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This places all Warrant holders on the same ground with no preferential treatment.

Question 16

Do you agree that the Exchange must be satisfied as to the character, experience and integrity of a SPAC Promoter and that each SPAC Promoter should be capable of meeting a standard of competence commensurate with their position?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This will help protect the interests of the investors and also aligns with the current expectation of being a director of a listed issuer, making a SPAC Promoter to act in the best interests of the SPAC.

Question 17a

Do you agree that the Exchange should publish guidance setting out the information that a SPAC should provide to the Exchange on each of its SPAC Promoter's character, experience and integrity (and disclose this information in the Listing Document it publishes for its initial offering), including the information set out in Box 1 of the

Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We believe that such guidance will be useful

Question 17b

Is there additional information that should be provided or information that should not be required regarding each SPAC Promoter's character, experience and integrity?

No

Please provide the details of any such information below.

Question 18

Do you agree that the Exchange, for the purpose of determining the suitability of a SPAC Promoter, should view favourably those that meet the criteria set out in paragraph 216 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the proposal set out in paragraph 216 of the Consultation Paper.

Question 19a

Do you agree that at least one SPAC Promoter must be a firm that holds a Type 6 (advising on corporate finance) and/or a Type 9 (asset management) license issued by the SFC?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This would ensure that such SPAC Promoter will have the requisite experience and exposure and also incentivize such SPAC Promoter to help identify suitable De-SPAC Targets

Question 19b

Do you agree that the SFC licensed SPAC Promoter must hold at least 10% of the Promoter Shares?

This would incentivize such SPAC Promoter to help identify suitable De-SPAC Targets.

Question 20a

Do you agree that, in the event of a material change in the SPAC Promoter or the suitability and/or eligibility of a SPAC Promoter, such a material change must be approved by a special resolution of shareholders at a general meeting (on which the SPAC Promoters and their respective close associates must abstain from voting)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We would like to suggest the Exchange to also consider including a mechanism that where a SPAC Promoter passes away, this will in effect constitute a material change but shareholders may redeem their shares without holding a general meeting.

Question 20b

Should the trading of a SPAC's securities be suspended and the SPAC return the funds it raised from its initial offering to its shareholders, liquidate and de-list (in accordance with the process set out in paragraphs 435 and 436 of the Consultation Paper) if it fails to obtain the requisite shareholder approval within one month of the material change?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We would like to suggest the Exchange to also consider including a mechanism that where a SPAC Promoter passes away, this will in effect constitute a material change but shareholders may redeem their shares without holding a general meeting.

Question 21

Do you agree that the majority of directors on the board of a SPAC must be officers (as defined under the SFO) of the SPAC Promoters (both licensed and non-licensed) representing the respective SPAC Promoters who nominate them?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This would ensure that interests of the SPAC Promoters align with that of the SPAC.

Question 22

Do you agree that 100% of the gross proceeds of a SPAC's initial offering must be held in a ring-fenced trust account located in Hong Kong?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This would be able to protect shareholders.

Question 23

Do you agree that the trust account must be operated by a trustee/custodian whose qualifications and obligations should be consistent with the requirements set out in Chapter 4 of the Code on Unit Trusts and Mutual Funds?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

In addition, we suggest that appropriate disclosure should be made in the listing document as regards to the identity of the trustee/custodian and/or details of the trust/custodian arrangements.

Question 24

Do you agree that the gross proceeds of the SPAC's initial offering must be held in the form of cash or cash equivalents such as bank deposits or short-term securities issued by governments with a minimum credit rating of (a) A-1 by S&P; (b) P-1 by Moody's Investors Service; (c) F1 by Fitch Ratings; or (d) an equivalent rating by a credit rating agency acceptable to the Exchange?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This could ensure that shareholders can get back their investments as soon as possible if they choose to redeem the SPAC Shares.

Question 25

Do you agree that the gross proceeds of the SPAC's initial offering held in trust (including interest accrued on those funds) must not be released other than in the circumstances described in paragraph 231 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This ensures that the funds of the shareholders will not be misused.

Question 26

Do you agree that only the SPAC Promoter should be able to beneficially hold Promoter Shares and Promoter Warrants at listing and thereafter?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This would enable the SPAC Promoter to identify potential targets for a De-SPAC Transaction and incentivize the SPAC Promoter.

Question 27

Do you agree with the restrictions on the listing and transfer of Promoter Shares and Promoter Warrants set out in paragraphs 241 to 242 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the restrictions on the listing and transfer of Promoter Shares and Promoter Warrants set out in paragraphs 241 to 242 of the Consultation Paper.

Question 28

Do you agree with our proposal to prohibit a SPAC Promoter (including its directors and employees), SPAC directors and SPAC employees, and their respective close associates, from dealing in the SPAC's securities prior to the completion of a De-SPAC Transaction?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

As the SPAC Promoter, SPAC directors and SPAC employees, and their respective close associates will have inside information regarding potential De-SPAC Transactions, they should not be allowed to deal in the SPAC's securities.

Question 29

Do you agree that the Exchange should apply its existing trading halt and suspension policy to SPACs (see paragraphs 249 to 251 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the reasons set out in paragraphs 249 to 251 of the Consultation Paper.

Question 30

Do you agree that the Exchange should apply new listing requirements to a De-SPAC Transaction as set out in paragraphs 259 to 281 of the Consultation Paper?

We agree that the Exchange should apply new listing requirements to a De-SPAC Transaction as set out in paragraphs 259 to 281 of the Consultation Paper.

Question 31

Do you agree that investment companies (as defined by Chapter 21 of the Listing Rules) should not be eligible De-SPAC Targets?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

As investment companies are already governed by Chapter 21 of the Listing Rules, there should be no overlapping.

Question 32

Do you agree that the fair market value of a De-SPAC Target should represent at least 80% of all the funds raised by the SPAC from its initial offering (prior to any redemptions)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This will ensure that the De-SPAC Target will have at least the same market capitalization requirement (that is, HK\$500 million) as required by Initial Public Offerings, after taking into account our response to Question 12.

Question 33

Should the Exchange impose a requirement on the amount of funds raised by a SPAC (funds raised from the SPAC's initial offering plus PIPE investments, less redemptions) that the SPAC must use for the purposes of a De-SPAC Transaction?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the measures set out in the Consultation Paper to impose a requirement on the amount of funds raised by a SPAC (funds raised from the SPAC's initial offering plus PIPE investments, less redemptions) that the SPAC must use for the purposes of a De-SPAC Transaction.

Question 34

Should a SPAC be required to use at least 80% of the net proceeds it raises (i.e. funds raised from the SPAC's initial offering plus PIPE investments, less redemptions) to fund

a De-SPAC Transaction?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

Please see response to Questions 32 and 33 above.

Question 35

Do you agree that the Exchange should mandate that a SPAC obtain funds from outside independent PIPE investors for the purpose of completing a De-SPAC Transaction?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This will minimize the risk of artificial price valuation of potential De-SPAC Targets.

Question 36

Do you agree that the Exchange should mandate that this outside independent PIPE investment must constitute at least 25% of the expected market capitalisation of the Successor Company with a lower percentage of between 15% and 25% being acceptable if the Successor Company is expected to have a market capitalisation at listing of over HK\$1.5 billion?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

25% should be a suitable threshold for PIPE investment, same as the current shareholding required to be held in public hands under the Listing Rules.

Question 37

Do you agree that at least one independent PIPE investor in a De-SPAC Transaction must be an asset management firm with assets under management of at least HK\$1 billion or a fund of a fund size of at least HK\$1 billion and that its investment must result in it beneficially owning at least 5% of the issued shares of the Successor Company as at the date of the Successor Company's listing?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This ensures that the independent PIPE investor has the requisite experience in managing substantial asset portfolios commensurate with those potential De-SPAC Targets. However, we suggest that such PIPE investor should not hold more than 10% of the issued shares of the Successor Company as at the date of the Successor Company's listing such that it will still be

considered independent and not a connected person of the Successor Company.

Question 38

Do you agree with the application of IFA requirements to determine the independence of outside PIPE investors?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

The IFA requirements should be sufficient to assess the independence of such outside PIPE investors.

Question 39

Do you prefer that the Exchange impose a cap on the maximum dilution possible from the conversion of Promoter Shares or exercise of warrants issued by a SPAC?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This will ensure that the shareholding value of SPAC Shares held by other shareholders are not severely diluted, resulting in a severe depreciation in value.

Question 40

Do you agree with the anti-dilution mechanisms proposed in paragraph 311 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

The proposed thresholds are fair and reasonable.

Question 41

Do you agree that the Exchange should be willing to accept requests from a SPAC to issue additional Promoter Shares if the conditions set out in paragraph 312 of the Consultation Paper are met?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree that the Exchange should be willing to accept requests from a SPAC to issue additional Promoter Shares if the conditions set out in paragraph 312 are met.

Question 42

Do you agree that any anti-dilution rights granted to a SPAC Promoter should not result in them holding more than the number of Promoter Shares that they held at the time of the SPAC's initial offering?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This will prevent a SPAC Promoter is acquiring more shares than it could on more favourable grounds.

Question 43

Do you agree that a De-SPAC Transaction must be made conditional on approval by the SPAC's shareholders at a general meeting as set out in paragraph 320 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree that a De-SPAC Transaction must be made conditional on approval by the SPAC's shareholders at a general meeting as set out in paragraph 320 of the Consultation Paper.

Question 44

Do you agree that a shareholder and its close associates must abstain from voting at the relevant general meeting on the relevant resolution(s) to approve a De-SPAC Transaction if such a shareholder has a material interest in the transaction as set out in paragraph 321 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This will give the independent shareholders the right to decide.

Question 45

Do you agree that the terms of any outside investment obtained for the purpose of completing a De-SPAC Transaction must be included in the relevant resolution(s) that are the subject of the shareholders vote at the general meeting?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This will give the independent shareholders, who are eligible to vote, the necessary information for them to decide whether to vote for or against the De-SPAC Transaction.

Do you agree that the Exchange should apply its connected transaction Rules (including the additional requirements set out in paragraph 334) to De-SPAC Transactions involving targets connected to the SPAC; the SPAC Promoter; the SPAC's trustee/custodian; any of the SPAC directors; or an associate of any of these parties as set out in paragraphs 327 to 334 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This will give additional safeguard measures and additional information to independent shareholders.

Question 47

Do you agree that SPAC shareholders should only be able to redeem SPAC Shares they vote against one of the matters set out in paragraph 352 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This will ensure that the SPAC shareholders to be accountable for their own choice.

Question 48

Do you agree a SPAC should be required to provide holders of its shares with the opportunity to elect to redeem all or part of the shares they hold (for full compensation of the price at which such shares were issued at the SPAC's initial offering plus accrued interest) in the three scenarios set out in paragraph 352 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This will ensure SPAC investors to be able to recover their investments if they do not feel comfortable with any one of the three scenarios.

Question 49

Do you agree a SPAC should be prohibited from limiting the amount of shares a SPAC shareholder (alone or together with their close associates) may redeem?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

Shareholders should be allowed to redeem their shares in full without any restriction or limitation.

Do you agree with the proposed redemption procedure described in paragraphs 355 to 362 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the proposed redemption procedure described in paragraphs 355 to 362 of the Consultation Paper.

Question 51

Do you agree that SPACs should be required to comply with existing requirements with regards to forward looking statements (see paragraphs 371 and 372 of the Consultation Paper) included in a Listing Document produced for a De-SPAC Transaction?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This will ensure that the future prospect of the Successor Company (upon completion of a De-SPAC Transaction) is based on agreed assumptions and after due and careful enquiry and will be reasonable. This will prevent over-optimistic performance which may mislead shareholders and investors.

Question 52

Do you agree that a Successor Company must ensure that its shares are held by at least 100 shareholders (rather than the 300 shareholders normally required) to ensure an adequate spread of holders in its shares?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree that a Successor Company must ensure that its shares are held by at least 100 shareholders (rather than the 300 shareholders normally required) to ensure an adequate spread of holders in its shares.

Question 53

Do you agree that the Successor Company must meet the current requirements that (a) at least 25% of its total number of issued shares are at all times held by the public and (b) not more than 50% of its securities in public hands are beneficially owned by the three largest public shareholders, as at the date of the Successor Company's listing?

As the Successor Company is listed, the same standard should apply.

Question 54

Are the shareholder distribution proposals set out in paragraphs 380 and 382 of the Consultation Paper sufficient to ensure an open market in the securities of a Successor Company or are there other measures that the Exchange should use to help ensure an open market?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree that the shareholder distribution proposals set out in paragraphs 380 and 382 of the Consultation Paper sufficient to ensure an open market in the securities of a Successor Company.

Question 55

Do you agree that SPAC Promoters should be subject to a restriction on the disposal of their holdings in the Successor Company after the completion of a De-SPAC Transaction?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This will increase confidence in investors when they subscribe for the SPAC Shares.

Question 56a

Do you agree that the Exchange should impose a lock-up on disposals, by the SPAC Promoter, of its holdings in the Successor Company during the period ending 12 months from the date of the completion of a De-SPAC Transaction?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This will ensure that the SPAC Promoter will have to negotiate the terms of transactions with the De-SPAC Target and also be satisfied with its financial performance before proceeding to completion, which will in turn protect the interests of other investors.

Question 56b

Do you agree that Promoter Warrants should not be exercisable during the period ending 12 months from the date of the completion of a De-SPAC Transaction?

This will ensure that the SPAC Promoter will have to negotiate the terms of transactions with the De-SPAC Target and also be satisfied with its financial performance before proceeding to completion, which will in turn protect the interests of other investors.

Question 57

Do you agree that the controlling shareholders of a Successor Company should be subject to a restriction on the disposal of their shareholdings in the Successor Company after the De-SPAC Transaction?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

Our reasons are similar to those made in our response to Question 57.

Question 58

Do you agree that these restrictions should follow the current requirements of the Listing Rules on the disposal of shares by controlling shareholders following a new listing (see paragraph 394 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

The current restrictions under the Listing Rules should be sufficient.

Question 59

Do you agree that the Takeovers Code should apply to a SPAC prior to the completion of a De-SPAC Transaction?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This will afford another layer of protection for shareholders.

Question 60

Do you agree that the Takeovers Executive should normally waive the application of Rule 26.1 of the Takeovers Code in relation to a De-SPAC Transaction, the completion of which would result in the owner of the De-SPAC Target obtaining 30% or more of the voting rights in a Successor Company, subject to the exceptions and conditions set out in paragraphs 411 to 415 of the Consultation Paper?

We agree with the reasons listed out in paragraph 410.

Question 61

Do you agree that the Exchange should set a time limit of 24 months for the publication of a De-SPAC Announcement and 36 months for the completion of a De-SPAC Transaction (see paragraph 423 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the reasons set out in paragraph 423 of the Consultation Paper.

Question 62

Do you agree that the Exchange should suspend a SPAC's listing if it fails to meet either the De-SPAC Announcement Deadline or the De-SPAC Transaction Deadline (see paragraphs 424 and 425 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the reasons set out in paragraphs 424 and 425 of the Consultation Paper.

Question 63

Do you agree that a SPAC should be able to make a request to the Exchange for an extension of either a De-SPAC Announcement Deadline or a De-SPAC Transaction Deadline if it has obtained the approval of its shareholders for the extension at a general meeting (on which the SPAC Promoters and their respective close associates must abstain from voting) (see paragraphs 426 and 427 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

In addition, we suggest that such extension should be allowed once only or the purpose of having a SPAC listing regime will be defeated.

Question 64

Do you agree that, if a SPAC fails to (a) announce / complete a De-SPAC Transaction within the applicable deadlines (including any extensions granted to those deadlines) (see paragraphs 423 to 428 of the Consultation Paper); or (b) obtain the requisite shareholder approval for a material change in SPAC Promoters (see paragraphs 218 and 219 of the Consultation Paper) within one month of the material change, the Exchange

will suspend the trading of a SPAC's shares and the SPAC must, within one month of such suspension return to its shareholders (excluding holders of the Promoter Shares) 100% of the funds it raised from its initial offering, on a pro rata basis, plus accrued interest?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the reasons and proposals set out in paragraphs 423 to 428 of the Consultation Paper.

Question 65

Do you agree that (a) a SPAC must liquidate after returning its funds to its shareholders and (b) the Exchange should automatically cancel the listing of a SPAC upon completion of its liquidation?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

This is in line with the purpose of a SPAC listing regime.

Question 66

Do you agree that SPACs, due to their nature, should be exempt from the requirements set out in paragraph 437 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

A SPAC will have difficulty in meeting those requirements.

Question 67

Do you agree with our proposal to require that a listing application for or on behalf of a SPAC be submitted no earlier than one month (rather than two months ordinarily required) after the date of the IPO Sponsor's formal appointment?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We suggest that two months would be preferable so that due and careful enquiry could be made by the IPO Sponsor before submitting any listing application and thereafter spend time on answering queries from the Exchange which could have been dealt with if more time is prescribed.

Should the Exchange exempt SPACs from any Listing Rule disclosure requirement prior to a De-SPAC Transaction, or modify those requirements for SPACs, on the basis that the SPAC does not have any business operations during that period?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

SPACs should be exempt from Listing Rule disclosure as it does not have any business operations and there should not be any material matters to disclose other than the announcement regarding a potential De-SPAC Transaction.