

**Frequently Asked Questions Series 20 (Released on 28 February 2013/ Last updated in ~~February 2020~~ January 2024)**

**Rule Requirements relating to Notifiable Transactions, Connected Transactions  
and Issues of Securities**

**Status of “Frequently Asked Questions”**

The following frequently asked questions (FAQs) are designed to help issuers understand and comply with the Listing Rules, particularly in situations not explicitly set out in the Rules or where further clarification may be desirable.

Users of the FAQs should refer to the Rules themselves and, if necessary, seek qualified professional advice. The FAQs are not substitutes for the Rules. If there is any discrepancy between the FAQs and the Rules, the Rules prevail.

In formulating our “answers”, we may have assumed certain underlying facts, selectively summarised the Rules or concentrated on one particular aspect of the question. They are not definitive and do not apply to all cases where the scenario may at first appear similar. In any given case, regard must be had to all the relevant facts and circumstances.

The Listing Division may be consulted on a confidential basis. Please contact the Listing Division at the earliest opportunity with any queries.

**Part 1 – Notifiable and/ or Connected Transactions**

No.	Main Board Rules	GEM Rules	Query	Response
<b><u>Definition of transaction</u></b>				
1.	14.04(1)(a), 14A.25	19.04(1)(a), 20.23	<p>Company A is an associated company of Listco. Company A proposes to issue new shares to Mr. X (the <b>Proposed Issue</b>).</p> <p>The Proposed Issue would dilute Listco’s interest in Company A. Is it a transaction for Listco under Chapter 14? Is it a connected transaction for Listco under Chapter 14A if Mr. X is a connected person of Listco?</p>	<p>The Proposed Issue is not a transaction for Listco under both Chapters 14 and 14A as Company A is not a subsidiary of Listco.</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
2.	14.04(1)(e), 14A.24(4)	19.04(1)(e), 20.22(4)	<p>Listco is a property developer and from time to time maintains term deposits and balances with various banks. It now proposes to place cash deposits with Company A on normal commercial terms.</p> <p>Company A is a finance company approved by regulatory authorities in the Mainland. It only provides financial services to its group companies including Listco.</p> <p>As Company A is a connected person, the proposed placing of cash deposits would be a connected transaction for Listco under Chapter 14A. Would it also constitute a transaction under Chapter 14?</p>	<p>Yes. The proposed placing of cash deposits would be regarded as Listco providing financial assistance to Company A which falls within the definition of “transaction” under both Rules 14.04(1)(e) and 14A.24(4).</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
3.	14.04(1)(e), 14A.24(4)	19.04(1)(e), 20.22(4)	<p>Mr. X is Listco’s executive director. He has been providing financial assistance to support Listco’s business.</p>	<p>Yes. Listco is liable for settling any payment made through the corporate credit card. Allowing Mr. X to use the card for payment of his personal expenses is a means to provide financial assistance to Mr. X. It</p>

No.	Main Board Rules	GEM Rules	Query	Response
			<p>Listco proposes to provide Mr. X with a corporate credit card for payment of his travelling expenses related to Listco’s business. If he also uses the corporate credit card for payment of his personal purchases, Listco would set off the payment against the amount due from Listco to Mr. X.</p> <p>Would the use of the corporate credit card for payment of Mr. X’s personal expenses constitute a transaction for Listco under Chapters 14 and 14A?</p>	<p>falls within the definition of “transaction” under both Rules 14.04(1)(e) and 14A.24(4).</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
4.	14.74, 14.77, 14A.61	19.74, 19.77 20.59	<p>Listco has granted an option to Mr. X to acquire an asset from Listco. Mr. X is an independent third party and the option is exercisable at his discretion. Listco has complied with the notifiable transaction Rules as if the option had been exercised.</p> <p>If Mr. X subsequently becomes a connected person of Listco, would Listco be required to comply with the connected transaction Rules for the grant of the option as if it had been exercised?</p>	<p>No. Listco would need to comply with the announcement requirements when the option is exercised, transferred or expired, or when Mr. X notifies Listco that he will not exercise the option.</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
5.	14A.24(6), 14A.25	20.22(6), 20.23	<p>Listco has issued some convertible bonds (or warrants).</p> <p>If the bondholder (or warrant holder) is a connected person of Listco, would the issue of new shares by Listco to the connected person upon the exercise of the conversion rights (or subscription rights) according to the terms of the bonds (or warrants) constitute a connected transaction for Listco?</p>	<p>No because Listco has no discretion over the conversion (or subscription), however, the issue of the convertible bonds (or warrants) to the connected person would have been a connected transaction.</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>

No.	Main Board Rules	GEM Rules	Query	Response
6.	14A.26, 14A.28	20.24, 20.26	<p>Company A is owned as to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 10% by Listco;</li> <li>- 10% by Mr. X who is a director of Listco; and</li> <li>- 80% by certain independent third parties.</li> </ul> <p>Listco providing financial assistance to Company A is a connected transaction for Listco as Company A is a commonly held entity.</p> <p>If Listco proposes to subscribe new shares in Company A for cash, is it a connected transaction for Listco?</p>	<p>Yes. Although Company A is not a connected person of Listco, the proposed subscription is a connected transaction for Listco under Rule 14A.28 because it involves Listco acquiring an interest in Company A, and Mr. X (a controller of Listco) is a substantial shareholder of Company A.</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
<b><u>Definition of connected person</u></b>				
7.	14A.07(1), 14A.07(5), 14A.09, 14A.16(1)	20.07(1), 20.07(5), 20.08, 20.14(1)	<p>Subsidiary A is a non wholly-owned subsidiary of Listco. It is owned as to 90% by Listco and 10% by Entity X.</p> <p>Are Entity X and Subsidiary A connected persons of Listco?</p>	<p>Entity X is a connected person of Listco because he/ it is a substantial shareholder of Subsidiary A, unless Entity X falls under any exemption under Chapter 14A (e.g. the insignificant subsidiary exemption under Rule 14A.09).</p> <p>If Entity X is also a “connected person at the issuer level” (e.g. Listco’s director, chief executive or substantial shareholder, or an associate of any of them), Subsidiary A is a connected subsidiary and therefore a connected person of Listco.</p> <p>If Entity X is a connected person only because of its relationship with Subsidiary A (and any other subsidiaries of Listco), Subsidiary A is not a connected person of Listco.</p> <p><i>Note: Updated in July 2014.</i></p>

No.	Main Board Rules	GEM Rules	Query	Response
8.	14A.16(1)	20.14(1)	<p>Company A is a subsidiary of Listco.</p> <p>Mr. X is a director of Listco. Is Company A a connected person of Listco if Mr. X is also</p> <p>(a) a director of Company A?</p> <p>(b) a shareholder of Company A?</p>	<p>(a) Company A is not a connected person of Listco simply because Mr. X is a director of Company A.</p> <p>(b) It would depend on Mr. X’s shareholding in Company A. If Mr. X can control the exercise of 10% or more of the voting power at general meetings of Company A, Company A is a connected subsidiary and therefore a connected person of Listco.</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
9.	14A.07, 14A.26, 14A.28	20.07, 20.24, 20.26	<p>Company A is an associated company of Listco.</p> <p>Mr. X is a director of Listco. Is Company A a connected person of Listco if Mr. X is also</p> <p>(a) a director of Company A?</p> <p>(b) a shareholder of Company A?</p>	<p>(a) Company A is not a connected person of Listco simply because Mr. X is a director of Company A.</p> <p>(b) It would depend on Mr. X’s shareholding in Company A.</p> <p>(i) If Mr. X can control the exercise of 10% or more of the voting power at general meetings of Company A:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Company A is a “commonly held entity” and any financial assistance to/ from Company A is a connected transaction for Listco under Rule 14A.26.</li> <li>- Listco acquiring an interest in Company A is a connected transaction for Listco under Rule 14A.28.</li> </ul> <p>(ii) If Mr. X can control the exercise of 30% or more of the voting power at general meetings of Company A or can control the composition</p>

No.	Main Board Rules	GEM Rules	Query	Response
				<p>of a majority of the board of Company A, Company A is an associate of Mr. X and therefore a connected person of Listco. Any transaction (including financial assistance) with Company A is a connected transaction for Listco.</p> <p><i>Note: Updated in July 2014.</i></p>
10.	14A.12(2)(b)	20.10(2)(b)	<p>Mr. X is a director of Listco. Mr. Y is Mr. X's brother.</p> <p>Company A is held by Mr. Y who can exercise more than 50% of the voting power at its general meetings. As Company A is a "majority-controlled company" held by Mr. Y, it is an associate of Mr. X and therefore a connected person of Listco.</p> <p>Company B is 51% owned by Company A and is its subsidiary. Is Company B a connected person of Listco?</p>	<p>Yes. Company B is also a "majority-controlled entity" held by Mr. Y because Mr. Y can, through its interest in Company A, control more than 50% of the voting power at general meetings of Company B.</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
<b><u>Continuing connected transactions</u></b>				
11.	14A.81, 14A.82, 14A.83	20.79, 20.80, 20.81	<p>In Year 1, Listco signed an agreement for selling certain types of goods to its parent group (the <b>First Transactions</b>) in Years 1 to 3.</p> <p>In Year 2, Listco proposes to sell a new type of goods to its parent group (the <b>Second Transactions</b>) over Years 2 to 3. Based on the annual caps, these continuing connected transactions would be exempt from the independent shareholder approval</p>	<p>The Exchange considers that the Second Transactions and the First Transactions are related as they are entered into by Listco with the same connected person and are of similar nature.</p> <p>(a) Listco would need to aggregate the transactions. If the percentage ratio(s) calculated on an aggregate basis exceed the de minimis threshold,</p>

No.	Main Board Rules	GEM Rules	Query	Response
			<p>requirement under the de minimis exemption. Would the Exchange require Listco to aggregate the Second Transactions with the First Transactions in Years 2 and 3 in the following circumstances?</p> <p>(a) The First Transactions were exempt from the independent shareholder approval requirement under the de minimis exemption.</p> <p>(b) The First Transactions were non-exempt continuing connected transactions, and Listco had complied with the connected transaction requirements for these transactions, including the independent shareholder approval requirement.</p>	<p>the Second Transactions would require independent shareholder approval.</p> <p>(b) As Listco had already complied with all the connected transactions requirements for the First Transactions, the Exchange would not require Listco to aggregate the Second Transactions with the First Transactions.</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
12.	14A.81	20.79	<p>Is it correct that the Exchange would not aggregate a continuing connected transaction of an income nature with a continuing connected transaction of an expense nature?</p>	<p>No. The Exchange may aggregate income and expense items if it considers the transactions are related. See also Listing Decisions LD64-4 and LD14-2011.</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
13.	14A.54, 14A.76	20.52, 20.74	<p>Listco and a connected person have entered into an agreement for certain continuing connected transactions in the next 3 years. Based on the percentage ratios calculated at that time, the transactions were exempt from the independent shareholder approval requirement under the de minimis exemption.</p> <p>When Listco publishes its next audited accounts, will it be required to calculate the percentage ratios again to determine whether the transactions under the</p>	<p>No, if Listco has already complied with the applicable requirements for the transactions at the time it entered into the agreement and the aggregate value of the transactions were within the annual cap.</p> <p>However, if the cap is exceeded or Listco proposes to renew the agreement or negotiate a material change to its terms, Listco would need to calculate the percentage ratios based on its latest published accounts and re-comply with the applicable connected transaction requirements.</p>

No.	Main Board Rules	GEM Rules	Query	Response
			remaining term of the agreement still qualify for the de minimis exemption?	<i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i>
14.	14A.51, 14A.52	20.49, 20.50	<p>Listco proposes to sell certain products to a connected person on normal commercial terms.</p> <p>The proposed continuing connected transactions in the current financial year would be fully exempt under the de minimis exemption. Would Listco be required to enter into a framework agreement for these transactions?</p>	<p>A framework agreement is not required if the proposed transactions are fully exempt.</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
15.	14A.52, 14A.60	20.50, 20.58	<p>Some time ago, Listco and Mr. X (an independent third party at that time) entered into an agreement with fixed terms for leasing a factory building for 10 years.</p> <p>Listco now proposes to appoint Mr. X as a director, and the lease of the factory building will be a continuing connected transaction for Listco. Would Listco be required to provide an independent financial adviser's opinion on the duration of the lease agreement given that its duration is longer than 3 years?</p>	<p>Under Rule 14A.60, Listco should comply with all applicable reporting, annual review and disclosure requirements for the lease agreement.</p> <p>The requirement for an independent financial adviser's opinion on the duration of the agreement under Rule 14A.52 would not apply.</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
16.	<b>(FAQ relocated to GL52-13 Appendix 1 in February 2020)</b>			
17.	14A.53, 14A.68(4)	20.51, 20.66(4)	For continuing connected transactions involving purchases or sales of commodity products in an issuer's ordinary and usual course of business, can the issuer propose annual caps of a fixed quantum as monetary caps may not be meaningful due to volatility in the commodity prices?	The connected transaction Rules require annual caps for continuing connected transactions be expressed in monetary terms. However, as described in the 2007 Listing Committee Annual Report, the Exchange may consider waiving the monetary cap requirement provided that the issuer discloses alternative caps of a fixed quantum, and a sensitivity analysis to illustrate how changes to the commodity prices will affect the



No.	Main Board Rules	GEM Rules	Query	Response
				<p>value of the continuing connected transactions. When setting the alternative caps, the issuer would need to estimate the volume of the transactions and not the future commodity prices.</p> <p>An issuer should consult the Exchange if it wishes to apply for the waiver.</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
18.	<b>(FAQ withdrawn on 1 July 2014)</b>			
	<b><u>Exemption for connected transactions</u></b>			
19.	14A.97	20.95	<p>Listco's businesses include constructing and operating toll roads. It proposes to employ a connected person to develop a computer system for toll fee collection and provide technical support for the system.</p> <p>Is the proposed transaction eligible for the consumer goods or services exemption?</p>	<p>No. The service to be provided to Listco is not of a type ordinarily supplied for private use or consumption, and does not fall within the scope of Rule 14A.97.</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
20.	14A.09	20.08	<p>If a person is a connected person of an issuer only because of his/ its relationship with the issuer's insignificant subsidiaries, would the insignificant subsidiary exemption apply to a placing of new securities by the issuer to such person?</p>	<p>Yes, but the issuer must ensure that it has a specific or general mandate for the issue of new securities under Rule 13.36.</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
21.	14A.89	20.87	<p>Company A is owned as to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 20% by Listco;</li> <li>- 70% by Mr. X who is a director of Listco and</li> </ul>	<p>(a)(i) Yes. The loan made by Listco is in proportion to its interest in Company A.</p>

No.	Main Board Rules	GEM Rules	Query	Response
			<p>- 10% by certain independent third parties.</p> <p>(a) Company A is a “commonly held entity” under Rule 14A.27. It proposes to borrow money from its shareholders on normal commercial terms to finance a new project. Is Listco’s financial assistance to Company A exempt under Rule 14A.89 in the following circumstances?</p> <p>(i) Listco provides a loan of HK\$20 million while Mr. X and/ or the other shareholders provide loans of HK\$80 million.</p> <p>(ii) Listco and Mr. X provide loans of HK\$20 million and HK\$70 million to Company A respectively.</p> <p>(b) If Company A proposes to raise funds by issuing new shares, would Listco’s subscription of new shares in Company A be a connected transaction? If yes, would the proposed subscription be exempt on the basis that it is made in proportion to Listco’s interest in Company A?</p>	<p>(a)(ii) No. The loan made by Listco represented about 22% of the total amount of loans, which is not in proportion to its interest in Company A.</p> <p>(b) The proposed subscription is a connected transaction for Listco as Company A is an associate of Mr. X and therefore a connected person of Listco.</p> <p>Rule 14A.89 applies to provision of financial assistance only. The proposed subscription would not be exempt simply because it is made by Listco in proportion to its interest in Company A.</p> <p><i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i></p>
22.	<b>(FAQ withdrawn on 1 July 2014)</b>			
	<b><u>Disclosure requirements</u></b>			
23.	14A.49, App <del>16-D2</del> – Para 8(2)  <i>(Updated in</i>	20.47, 18.09(2)	Listco discloses in its annual report information of a related party transaction according to the accounting standards. If such transaction is a fully exempt	Yes. Listco should specify that the related party transaction is a connected transaction under Chapter

<u>December 2023</u>			
--------------------------	--	--	--

**Appendix II(3) – FAQ Series 20 (Marked-Up Version)**

No.	Main Board Rules	GEM Rules	Query	Response
			connected transaction under Chapter 14A, does Listco need to comply with the disclosure requirement under Paragraph 8(2) of Appendix <del>46D2</del> ?  <i>(Updated in December 2023)</i>	14A and describe the exemption applicable to the transaction.  <i>Note: Rule reference updated in July 2014.</i>

**Part 2 – Mineral Companies**

No.	Main Board Rules	GEM Rules	Query	Response
	<b><u>Eligibility of Competent Person</u></b>			
24.	<b>(FAQ relocated to GL52-13 Appendix 1 in February 2020)</b>			
	<b><u>Management experience requirement</u></b>			
25.	<b>(FAQ withdrawn in February 2020)</b>			
	<b><u>Continuing obligations</u></b>			
26.	<b>(FAQ relocated to GL52-13 Appendix 1 in February 2020)</b>			

**Part 3 – Others**

No.	Main Board Rules	GEM Rules	Query	Response
	<b><u>Issue of securities</u></b>			
27.	7.19(6), 7.23(5)	10.29, 10.39	<p>Six months ago, Listco conducted a rights issue of one rights share for every existing share (the “Previous Rights Issue”). It now proposes another rights issue of one rights share for every two existing shares.</p> <p>Listco had obtained independent shareholders’ approval for the Previous Rights Issue according to Rule 7.19(6). Does it need to seek independent shareholders’ approval for the proposed rights issue?</p>	<p>Yes. This is because the proposed rights issue would increase Listco’s issued share capital by more than 50% when aggregated with the Previous Rights Issue.</p>
28.	10.07(1), Notes 2 and 3 to 10.07	13.16A 13.18(1), 13.19	<p>If the controlling shareholder of a newly listed issuer pledges his shares in the issuer as security for a bank loan in the manner described in Note 2 to the Rule <u>(GEM Rule 13.18(1))</u>, can the bank dispose of the pledged shares during the first 6 <del>(GEM: 12)</del> months after listing of the issuer?</p> <p><i>(Updated in February 2018 January 2024)</i></p>	<p>Yes. Under Note 3 to <u>Main Board Rule 10.07 (GEM Rule 13.19)</u>, the controlling shareholder must undertake to the issuer and the Exchange that he would notify the issuer immediately upon receipt of any indications from the bank about disposal of the pledged shares. The issuer must publish an announcement to disclose the matter as soon as possible after it has been notified by the controlling shareholder.</p> <p><i>(Updated in January 2024)</i></p>
29.	<b>(FAQ relocated to Series 17 No. 19I on 28 December 2018)</b>			