QUESTIONNAIRE ON PERIODIC FINANCIAL REPORTING

The purpose of this questionnaire is to seek views and comments from market users and interested parties regarding the issues discussed in the Consultation Paper on Periodic Financial Reporting published by The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited (the Exchange), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited (HKEx), in August 2007.

Amongst other things, the Exchange seeks comments regarding whether the current Main Board Listing Rules and Growth Enterprise Market (GEM) Listing Rules (together, the Rules) should be amended.

A copy of the Consultation Paper and this questionnaire can be obtained from the Exchange or at http://www.hkex.com.hk/consul/paper/consultpaper.htm.

Please return completed questionnaires no later than 5 November 2007 by one of the following methods:

By mail or

Corporate Communications Department

hand delivery

Re: Consultation Paper on Periodic Financial Reporting

to:

Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited 12th Floor, One International Finance Centre

1 Harbour View Street, Central

Hong Kong

By fax to:

(852) 2524-0149

By email to:

pfr@hkex.com.hk

The Exchange's submission enquiry number is (852) 2840-3844.

Please indicate your preference by ticking the appropriate boxes.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages as necessary.

Hal	f-year reporting
-	stion 1: Do you agree that the time allowed for the release of half-year results announcements reports should be shortened from three months to two months after the relevant financial period?
	Yes
	⊠ No
Plea	se state reasons for your views.
report con soft their report con value	e financial information contained in the interim report is no less exacting than that of an annual ort. The interim results need to incorporate information from independent external third ties such as property valuers and actuaries. Even if the issuer is able to complete its own isolidated results within a relatively short period of time because of available technology or tware as agreed by the Stock Exchange, it takes time for the third party advisers to produce it reports following review of both the company's internal information as at the reporting its end and external business factors which, in our business cannot be done prior to the orting period end. In addition, our external auditors would also need time to review the apany's financial statements as well as the third party reports prepared by the independent ters and actuaries. Accordingly, we feel that the current reporting deadline of three months uld be retained.
_	ction 2: Do you agree that the new reporting deadlines should be introduced in phases; fically:
(a)	"large companies" (as defined pursuant to Question 3 below) being required to comply with the new Rules first; and
(b)	to allow a transitional period of two years for other companies to meet the new deadlines?
	Yes
	⊠ No
Pleas	e state reasons for your views.
The size	new reporting requirements, if adopted, should apply to all listed companies regardless of the of market capitalization or business turnover. The presumption that "large companies" have

more resources to comply with the new requirements is inappropriate and an over generalisation, as it is also the case that "large companies" have more complex corporate structures covering diverse geographical operations, and arguably would need an equal amount, if not more, time to prepare themselves for the new changes.

	
newly liste	3: Do you agree that "large companies" should mean companies with a market on of \$10 billion or more as at 31 December 2006 and, in the case of issuers that are d after 1 January 2007, those with an initial market capitalisation of \$10 billion or more of listing? (For more detail, please see paragraph 21 of the Consultation Paper.)
	Yes
\boxtimes	No
Please state	reasons for your views.
subject to	f a company should not be judged by its closing price (or market capitalization) which is high volatility. Therefore, we are of the view that all issuers should be subject to the and implementation schedule.
	Do you agree that the commencement dates for the accelerated reporting deadlines for porting for Main Board issuers should be:
(a) "larg	e companies" - half-year accounting periods ending on or after 30 June 2008;
(b) other	companies - half-year accounting periods ending on or after 30 June 2010?
	Yes
\boxtimes	No
Please state suggested co	reasons for your views. Please also comment, including reasons, if you have other immencement dates.
dates one y the consulta accelerated Main Board with the ne	our response to Questions 2 and 3. In addition, companies tend to set board meeting ear in advance and prepare budgets by the last quarter before year end. We note that ation conclusions will not be published until the first quarter of 2008. To commence the reporting deadlines as early as 30 June 2008 would be unduly onerous on timing for I issuers to arrange (or re-arrange) and co-ordinate the relevant resources to comply we rules. If the proposal to accelerate reporting deadlines is to be adopted, we would the new rules on half-year accounting periods to commence on or after 30 June 2010.
Annual repo Question 5: I eports shoul- nd?	rting Do you agree that the time allowed for the release of annual results announcements and d be shortened from four months to three months after the relevant financial period
\boxtimes	Yes

		
	□ No	
Plea	state reasons for your views.	
Rel ach	sing annual results announcement and reports within a three-month period is reasonable able.	le and
		~
Quesi phase	n 6: Do you consider that the new three month reporting deadline should be introduce uch that:	ed in
(a)	large companies" (as defined pursuant to Question 7 below) would be required to convith the new Rules first; and	nply
(b)	here would be a transitional period of two years for other companies to meet the eadline?	new
	Yes	
	☑ No	
Please	ate reasons for your views.	
Pleas	see our response in Question 2.	
Questi neani	7: Do you agree that, for these purposes, "large companies" should have the sa set out in Question 3 above (and paragraph 21 of the Consultation Paper)?	ıme
] Yes	
] No	

-4-

Please	e state	reasons for your views.		
Pleas	se see (our response in Question 3.		
_		Do you agree that the commencement dates for the accelerated reporting deadlines for ting for Main Board issuers should be:		
(a)	"larg	e companies" - annual accounting periods ending on or after 31 December 2008;		
(b) other companies – annual accounting periods ending on or after 31 December 2010?				
		Yes		
	\boxtimes	No		
		reasons for your views. Please also comment, including reasons, if you have other mmencement dates.		
,	Please see our response in Question 4, save for the fact that we would propose for the new rules on annual accounting periods to commence on or after 31 December 2010.			
Mand	atory o	quarterly reporting for Main Board issuers		
Question issuers		Do you agree that mandatory quarterly reporting should be introduced for Main Board		
		Yes		
	\boxtimes	No		
Please	state re	easons for your views.		
We re	cognis	e the arguments for quarterly reporting in increasing transparency.		
To meet the proposed requirement of publishing quarterly reports within 45 days of the quarter end, Main Board issuers must overcome the practical difficulties in terms of incurring significantly more financial and human resourses to producing additional two sets of accounts per year, and diverting management's attention and time away from the business and strategy. These practical difficulties are genuine and exist particularly for issuers with complex corporate				

To meet the proposed requirement of publishing quarterly reports within 45 days of the quarter end, Main Board issuers must overcome the practical difficulties in terms of incurring significantly more financial and human resourses to producing additional two sets of accounts per year, and diverting management's attention and time away from the business and strategy. These practical difficulties are genuine and exist particularly for issuers with complex corporate structures and diverse geographical operations, like ours, notwithstanding the availability of advance technology or software. The additional cost to be incurred by the issuers would not generate additional shareholder value. Quarterly reporting would lead investors and management of issuers to focus on short-term rather than long-term financial performance, and for businesses like ours, which are subject to cyclical fluctuations throughout the year, publishing quarterly financial results will be misleading and result in increased volatility of the issuer's shares.

We are of the view that the existing half-year and annual reporting requirements, together with the

disseminati	rice-sensitive information and notifiable transactions, are sufficient for the timely on of material information to investors. In conclusion, we do not recommend the quarterly reporting to be adopted.
Question 10: days after the	Do you agree that Main Board issuers should publish their quarterly reports within 45 period end?
	Yes
	No
•	ve that a reporting deadline for quarterly reporting other than 45 days is more blease state your preference. Please also state reasons for your views.
We do not re	ecommend the proposal on quarterly reporting to be adopted.
	Do you agree that quarterly reports of Main Board issuers should include as a the information set out in Table 8 of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
\boxtimes	No
	easons for your views. Please also comment, together with reasons, on those items lieve may be considered to be added to Table 8.
requirements shareholders quarterly rep	ares are excessive and unnecessary. We would like to point out that different content of for quarterly, half-year and annual reports are unduly complex. This is confusing for and issuers alike. We urge that a thorough re-examination of the nature and extent of porting be undertaken and in this context, it would be noteworthy that quarterly uirements in the U.S. is basically statistical in nature.

Question 12: Do you agree that a condensed consolidated income statement in a quarterly report should contain the following information, together with prior year comparatives:

(a)	curre	nt quarter results; and			
(b)	(b) cumulative year-to-date results?				
	\boxtimes	Yes			
		No			
Pleas	e state r	easons for your views.			
1		osed quarterly reporting requirements are adopted, both current quarter results and year-to-date results should be disclosed for meaningful comparison.			
shoule	d also b	Do you believe that the following information, together with prior year comparatives, e provided in the condensed consolidated income statement in the quarterly report for (see paragraphs 60 and 61 of the Consultation Paper):			
(a)	the fir	st quarter results; and			
(b)	imme	diately preceding quarter results?			
		Yes			
	\boxtimes	No			
Please	state re	easons for your views.			
quart	er wou uation.	the third quarter results against that of the first quarter and immediate preceding ld not be meaningful particularly for our business which is subject to seasonal. The inclusion of first quarter results and immediate preceding quarter results will rmation-overload and confusing for readers to understand.			
shareh should	olders a	Do you agree that printing and mailing of hard copies of quarterly reports to all and holders of the company's other securities should not be required but listed issuers uired to publish their quarterly reports on the HKEx website and the listed issuer's			
	\boxtimes	Yes			
		No			
Please	state re	asons for your views.			
shoul price	d not be sensitiv	at the printing and mailing of hard copies of quarterly reports to all shareholders e required for environmental reasons. With the introduction of online notification of e information and notifiable transactions in place of traditional media dissemination, hat listed issuers should only be required to publish their quarterly reports on the			

HKEx website and the listed issuer's own website. However, hard copies of the reports should be mailed to those shareholders who request for them.				
	ion 15: s with:	Do you agree that the new quarterly reporting requirements should be introduced in		
(a)	"large companies" (as defined pursuant to Question 3 above) being required to comply with the new Rules first; and			
(b)	other	companies allowed a transitional period of two years to meet the new deadlines?		
		Yes		
	\boxtimes	No		
Please	state re	easons for your views.		
Pleas	e see o	ur response to Question 2.		
		Do you agree that the commencement dates for the new quarterly reporting for Main Board issuers should be:		
(a)	~	companies" - three months quarterly accounting periods ending on or after 30 nber 2008; and		
(b)		companies - three months quarterly accounting periods ending on or after 30 nber 2010?		
		Yes		
	\boxtimes	No		
		easons for your views. Please also comment, including reasons, if you have other mencement dates.		
		ar response to Question 4, save for the fact that if quarterly reporting is to be adopted, opose for the new rules to commence on or after 30 September 2010.		
Alignn	nent of	GEM Rules to proposed Main Board Rules on quarterly reporting		
		Do you agree that the same disclosure and publication requirements for quarterly ald apply to Main Board and GEM issuers?		

	Yes		
	No		
Please state	reasons for your views.		
No comme	nt.		
<i>Question 18.</i> requirements September 2	Do you agree that GEM issuers she starting from their three months of 10?	ould be required to comply quarterly accounting period	with the new disclosure ds ending on or after 30
	Yes		
	No		
'lease state i	easons for your views.		
No commer	t.		
			
Question 19:	Do you agree that the reporting dea	dline for the new GEM qua	arterly reports should be
ne same as the reporting	he reporting deadline for Main Bordeadline for GEM quarterly reports	ard quarterly reports even i ?	if that means extending
	Yes		
	No		
lease state re	easons for your views.		
			
No commen	•		
uestion 20:	Do you have any other comme	ents in respect of the iss	ones discussed in the
	Paper? If so, please set out your add		sues discussed in the
			·····
ame	: Christobelle Liao	Title	: Company Secretary and
			Doordary and

			Corp Cou	oorate nsel
Company Name	: The HongKong and Shan	ghai Hotels, Limited		
Contact Person	: Christobelle Liao	Tel. No.	:	t
E-mail Address :		Fax No.	:	