Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/documents/cp2010124.pdf.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

CHAPTER	1: INTRODUCTION
Plain Writir	ng Amendments
Question 1.	Do you have any comments on the plainer writing amendments? Do you consider any part(s) of the plainer writing amendments will have unintended consequences?
	Yes
\boxtimes	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
N/A	
	2: PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS
PART I: DI	RECTORS
1. Direc	ctors' Duties and Time Commitments
Question 2.	Do you agree with our proposed change to Rule 3.08 to clarify the responsibilities the Exchange expects of directors?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
Such	wordings clarify the responsibilities of directors.

Questio	on 3.	Do you agree with our proposed addition of the Note to Rule 3.08 referring to the guidance issued by the Companies Registry and HKIOD?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		nce issued by the Companies Registry is not tailor-made for listed companies e Guidance issued by HKIOD adds a reference.
Questio	on 4.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(e)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should regularly review the time required from a director to perform his responsibilities to the issuer, and whether he is meeting that requirement?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	a) Dire	ector only undertake to have sufficient time to perform the duties is enough.
		hould be up to the listed issuers to determine in its own case whether a ation committee is necessary.
	is a ne	every seldom for a board to have frequent change of directors. Whenever there we appointment to the Board, it has to be approved by the Board. A mandatory ement of a nomination committee is therefore not necessary.
		view of the above, the regular review on the directors' time required and spent I not be mandatory.
Questio	on 5.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(f)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should review NEDs' annual confirmation that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

In addition to the reasons set out in Question 4, the new duty in the nomination committee's written terms of reference would cause an unduly burdensome on NEDs to keep time sheets on the time spent on their tasks done for the listed issuers.

Do you agree to include a disclosure requirement in the Corporate Governance

Question 6.

		Report (paragraph L(d)(ii) of Appendix 14) that NEDs have made annual confirmation to the nomination committee that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	require	ition to the reasons set out in Question 4 and Question 5, the new disclosure ements on the sufficient time spent by NEDs are not indicators for the good es and proper performance of NEDs.
Questio	on 7.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3(re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that a director should limit his other professional commitments and acknowledge to the issuer that he will have sufficient time to meet his obligations?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	b) It is c) The d) Hov	unfairly penalise those competent diligent INEDs. quality not quantity that matters. proposed statement and acknowledgement add nothing. w many directorships a person can take up would all depend on the capability ailability of that person.
Questio	on 8.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3 (re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that an NED should confirm annually to the nomination committee that he has spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

	Same	as the reasons given in Questions 4, 5 and 6.
Questi	on 9.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP D.1.4 to a CP (re-numbered CP D.1.4) and amending it to state that an NED's letter of appointment should set out the expected time commitment?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	the an	e a full-time employee, NED's appointment can hardly be assessed in terms of nount of time estimated to be spent due to the ever-changing affairs of the listed 's board.
Questi	on 10.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP A.5.6 to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.6) and to amending it to encourage timeliness of disclosure by a director to the issuer on any change to his significant commitments?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	on nev	ecause it includes the disclosure of the estimated time to be spent by a director w commitments in other company that director may have difficulty in arriving estimation.
Questi	on 11.	Do you consider that there should be a limit on the number of INED positions an individual may hold?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	b) It is c) How	ng appointed as a director, they are competent to allocate their time. s quality not quantity that matters. w many directorships a person can take up would all depend on the capability vailability of that person.

Questio	on 12.	If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", what should be the number? Please give reasons for your views.
	N/A	
Questio	on 13.	If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", do you think that it should be a Rule or a CP?
		Rule
		CP
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N/A	
2.	Direct	ors' Training and Independent Non-executive Directors
Questio	on 14.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous professional development) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.5)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		er be remained in RBP because other professional in the Co will handle relevant
	- so lo	ong as these will be comprehensive training provided by the Stock Exchange.
	The p	roposal is impractical and unnecessary because:
		st chairmen and certain directors of the listed issuers are likely public figures tract public media when attending certain programmes in public occasion.
	stock	ch director should have a particular expertise in a certain field. How is the exchange going to determine what sort of training and for how many hours lirector is required?
Questio	on 15.	Do you agree that the minimum number of hours of directors training should be eight?
		Yes

]	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Same	as the reasons given in Question 14.
Questio	n 16.	What training methods do you consider to be acceptable for the requirements stated in the proposed CP (re-numbered RBP A.6.5)? Please give reasons for your views.
	Same	as the reasons given in Question 14.
Questio	n 17.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.3.2 (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) to a Rule (re-numbered Rule 3.10A)?
ا		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
		current requirement of 3 INEDs is sufficient in facilitating independent views provided to the board regardless of its size.
		proposed change might force the listed issuers to reduce its board size and mother managing body.
	c) It'll	burden the issuer with higher cost.
	d) Find	d an appropriate person takes time.
Questio	n 18.	Do you agree that this Rule (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) be effective after a transitional period as described in paragraph 87 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ot applicable because we do not agree to the proposal (i.e. at least one-third of

 \boxtimes

No

Question 19.	separate resolution for the further employment of an INED who has served more than nine years) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.4.3)?
	Yes
\boxtimes	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
have	better to have it to be remained as RBP because it would not be necessary to such result if INED having served more than 9 years. irect link between directors length of service and its independence
Question 20.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.4.8 (issuer should include explanation of its reasons for election and independence of an INED in a circular) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.5.5)?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
	o not consider that this will give more information which is useful to nolders.
3. Board	l Committees
A. Remu	neration Committee
Question 21.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to establish a remuneration committee with a majority of INED members from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.25)?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
No Co	omment

Question 22. Do you agree with our proposal that the remuneration committee must be chaired by an INED?

		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	No ne	ed to be mandatory as no director will decide his own remuneration.
Questi	on 23.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to have written terms of reference for the remuneration committee from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.26)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
No 0	Comme	nt
Questi	on 24.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule (Rule 3.27) requiring an issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27?
Questi	on 24.	issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of
Questi	on 24.	issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27?
Questi		issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27? Yes
Questi	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27? Yes No
Questi	Please It is no becau deviate	issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27? Yes No give reasons for your views. ot so important to warrant an announcement. The existing Code is sufficient se it requires the statement of compliance or the considered reasons for
	Please It is no becau deviate	issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27? Yes No give reasons for your views. ot so important to warrant an announcement. The existing Code is sufficient se it requires the statement of compliance or the considered reasons for ion in the annual report and interim report. Do you agree with our proposal that issuers that fail to meet Rules 3.25, 3.26

Question 26	5. Do you agree that we should add "independent" to the professional advice made available to a remuneration committee (CP B.1.2, re-numbered CP B.1.1)?
	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Question 27	7. Do you agree that, in order to accommodate Model B, we should revise CP B.1.3 (re-numbered CP B.1.2) as described in paragraph 117 of the Consultation Paper?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Мо	del B provides a sensible and workable alternative to Model A.
Question 28	3. (i) Do you agree that where the board resolves to approve any remuneration with which the remuneration committee disagrees, the board should disclose the reasons for its resolution in its corporate governance report)? (ii) If your answer is "yes", do you agree that RBP B.1.8 should be revised and upgraded to a CP (re-numbered CP B.1.6).
(i)	Yes No
(ii)	Yes No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.

	unwar	tted effect of deterring the directors expressing their views on remuneration .
		s may increase the possibility of any conflict between the board members and muneration committee members.
Questi	on 29.	Do you agree that the term "performance-based" should be deleted from CP B.1.2(c) (re-numbered CP B.1.2(b)) and revised as described in paragraph 118 of the Consultation Paper?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
В.	Nomin	nation Committee
Questi	on 30.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.4 (establishment and composition of a nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.1) should be upgraded to a CP?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	· ·	hould be up to the listed issuer to determine in its own case whether a ation committee is necessary.
	is a ne	s very seldom for a board to have frequent change of directors. Whenever there we appointment to the Board, it has to be approved by the Board. A mandatory ement of a nomination committee is therefore not necessary.
Questi	on 31.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.4) should state that the nomination committee's chairman should be an INED?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

a) Disclosing the dissenting views in the corporate governance report may have the

	Same	as the reasons given in Question 30.
Questic	on 32.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.5 (nomination committee's terms of reference, renumbered CP A.5.2) should be upgraded to a CP?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	time e	ition to the reasons given in Question 30, NED's appointment (unlike a full-mployee) can hardly be assessed in terms of the amount of time estimated to nt due to the ever-changing affairs of the listed issuer's board.
Questic	on 33.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should be performed at least once a year?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Same	as the reasons given in Question 30.
Questic	on 34.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should implement the issuer's corporate strategy?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	the bo	is no co-relation between the review on the structure, size and composition of ard on one hand and the implementation of the issuer's corporate strategy on her hand.

Question 35.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.6 (availability of nomination committee's terms of reference) should be upgraded to a CP?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Same	as the reasons given in Question 30.

Question 36.		Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.6, re-numbered CP A.5.3) should state that issuers should include their nomination committee's terms of reference on the HKEx website?
		Yes
	\leq	No
P	lease	give reasons for your views.
S	Same a	as the reasons given in Question 30.
Question	a 37.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.7 (sufficient resources for the nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should be upgraded to a CP?
		Yes
	\leq	No
P	lease	give reasons for your views.
S	Same a	as the reasons given in Question 30.
Question	ı 38.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.7, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should clarify that a nomination committee should be able to seek independent professional advice at the issuer's expense?
		Yes
	\leq	No
P	Please	give reasons for your views.
S	Same a	as the reasons given in Question 30.

C.	Corporate Governance Committee	
Question 39.		Do you agree with the proposed terms of reference listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons and alternative suggestions.
	- It wo	corporate governance committee may increase the work burden of directors; buld be difficult to imagine how meaningful it would be to have such nittee. We better leave it to the whole board to take care of such corporate nance practice. We therefore do not support the compulsory formation of a rate governance committee (or the expansion of the terms of reference of an ang committee to include corporate governance matters).
Question 40.		Do you consider that the committee(s) performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should submit to the board a written report on its work annually?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Same	as the reasons given in Question 39.
Questi	on 41.	Do you consider that this report (as described in paragraph 140 of the Consultation Paper) should be published as part of the issuer's corporate governance report?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Same	as the reasons given in Question 39.

Question 42.		Do you agree with introducing RBP D.3.3 stating that an issuer should establish a corporate governance committee?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	form o	equirement for the establishment of a corporate governance committee in the of the recommended best practice is acceptable because of the reasons given in ion 39.
Questio	on 43.	Do you agree the duties of an existing committee or committees can be expanded to include those of a corporate governance committee?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Same	as the reasons given in Question 39
Questio	on 44.	Do you agree with the addition of CP D.3.2 stating that the committee performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should comprise a majority of INEDs?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Same	as the reasons given in Question 39.

Questio	on 45.	Do you agree with the proposal to add a note to CP D.3.2 stating that the committee should include one member who is an executive director or non-executive director with sufficient knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day operations?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Same a	as the reasons given in Question 39.
D.	Audit o	committee
Questio	on 46.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.3.7 (audit committee's terms of reference should include arrangements for employees to raise concerns about improprieties in financial reporting) to a CP?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	RBP ii	nstead of CP is sufficient.
Questio	on 47.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP C.3.3(e)(i) to state that the audit committee should meet the external auditor at least twice a year?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 48.		Do you agree that a new RBP should be introduced to encourage audit committees to establish a whistleblowing policy?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		histleblowing policy should be built in the group's internal control system that dit Committee just ensures that the system is in place.
4.	Remui	neration of Directors, CEO and Senior Management
Questio	on 49.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers should disclose senior management remuneration by band (Appendix 16, new paragraph 25A)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		cisting disclosure requirement of directors and management remuneration is ent. Too much unnecessary disclosure on remuneration will create internal ets.
Questio	on 50.	If your answer to Question 49 is yes, do you agree with our proposal that senior management remuneration disclosure should include sales commission?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N/A	

Question 51.		Do you agree with our proposal to amend Appendix 16 to require an issuer to disclose the CEO's remuneration in its annual report and by name?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Exten	nt disclosure requirements on directors' remuneration are sufficient. sion of these requirements to CEO is unnecessary and also gives rise to the em of defining CEO.
Questio	on 52.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP B.1.6 to a CP (a significant proportion of executive directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rewards to corporate and individual performance, re-numbered CP B.1.5)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	also su factors perfor	ent industries have different compensation practices. Corporate performance is abject to many factors, such as economic climate, products life cycle, natural s and reactions of target customers, in addition to directors' leadership and mance. What is the best remuneration package shall be left to the listed s to decide.
5.	Board	Evaluation
Questi	on 53.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new RBP B.1.8 that issuers should conduct a regular evaluation of its own and individual directors' performance?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	_	erformance of the board is best reflected in the business of the company and all ors are accountable to shareholders already.

6. Board Meetings

A. Considering a matter where there is a conflict of interest by a physical board meeting rather than a written board resolution

Question 54. Do you agree that, except for plain language amendments, the wording of CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) should be retained (issuers to hold a board meeting to discuss resolutions on a material matter where a substantial directors or a director has a conflict of interest)?

Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

It is incorrect to assume that directors including INEDs will pay less attention on a "paper resolution" than that proposed in a "physical meeting" for considering any proposal which the substantial shareholder has a conflicting interest.

Written resolutions of directors can only be passed by all directors or at least the majority of the directors of the listed issuer. If INEDs consider the proposal inappropriate, such INEDs can refuse to sign such written resolution and express a different view on it by requiring such view to be included in the written resolutions, such that the resolution without INEDs' support cannot be approved under the Listing Rules. On the basis of INEDs' scrutiny, written resolution is not inferior than that of a physical meeting.

In view of the above, it is suggested to remove the CP.

Question 55. Do you agree with our proposals to add a note to CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) stating that attendance at board meetings can be achieved by telephonic or video conferencing?

X Yes

□ No

Please give reasons for your views.

This is in line with the relevant provision as stated in most articles of association of the listed issuers.

B. Directors' Attendance at Board Meetings

Question 56. Do you agree with our proposal to add the notes to paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14 (on attendance at board meetings) as described in paragraph 195 of the Consultation Paper?

	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	It prop	perly reflects the intention of the existing provisions.
Questio	on 57.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new requirement (paragraph I(d) to Appendix 14) that attendance by an alternate should not be counted as attendance by the director himself?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	the act	ernate is appointed by the relevant director, the director is vicariously liable to its of his alternate under the Hong Kong Companies Ordinance. Hence, the ance by an alternate in the meeting should be counted as attendance by the or himself.
Questio	on 58.	Do you agree with our proposal that an issuer disclose, for each named director, the number of board or committee meetings he attended and separately the number of board or committee meetings attended by his alternate?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	This n	ew disclosure gives a clear clarification.

C. Removing Five Percent Threshold for Voting on a Resolution in which a Director has an Interest

Question 59. Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 13.44 to remove the exemption described in paragraph 199 (transactions where a director has an interest)?

T Yes

No.

Please give reasons for your views.

For many years, holdings of less than 5% in the shares of contract counterparties have been disregarded in considering whether a director has a conflict of interest. Having no percentage based safe harbour means that any holding in the shares of a contractual counterparty may be regarded as a conflict of interest, thereby precluding a director from voting on the contract. This could give rise to material practical problems in assessing the "materiality" of an interest in contract under consideration.

7. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Questio	on 60.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the words "at the board level" from Code Principle A.2 to clarify the division between management of the board and day-to-day management of an issuer's business?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	No co	mment
Questio	on 61.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.2.3 to add "accurate" and "clear" to describe the information that the chairman should ensure directors receive?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	No co	mment
Questio	on 62.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.4 to a CP to give greater emphasis to the chairman's duty to provide leadership for the board, to ensure that the board works effectively and discharges its responsibilities, etc.?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	RBP i	nstead of CP is sufficient.

Question		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.5 to a CP and amend it to state: "The chairman should take primary responsibility for ensuring that good corporate governance practices and procedures are established"?
		Yes
	\leq	No
P	lease g	give reasons for your views.
R	RBP in	stead of CP is sufficient.
Question		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.6 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's responsibility to encourage directors with different views to voice their concerns, allow sufficient time for discussion of issues and build consensus?
		Yes
	\leq	No
P	lease g	give reasons for your views.
R	RBP in	stead of CP is sufficient.
Question		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.7 to a CP and amend it to state that the chairman should hold separate meetings with only INEDs and only NEDs at least once a year?
		Yes
	\leq	No
P	lease g	give reasons for your views.
tl - n b	hey ca Even neeting	ed to govern if the INEDs and NEDs have matters to discuss with Chairman, in do so at any time. if Chairman holds meeting with INEDs and NEDs at the same time, such g is still an appropriate forum in which INEDs and NEDs give different views e INEDs and NEDs do not take part in the day-to-day operations of the listed

Question 66.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.8 to a CP to highlight the chairman's role to ensure effective communication between the board and shareholders?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	RBP i	nstead of CP is sufficient.
Questio	on 67.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.9 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's role to enable NED contributions and constructive relations between EDs and NEDs?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	RBP i	nstead of CP is sufficient.
8.	Notify	ing directorship change and disclosure of directors' information
Questi	on 68.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to require issuers to disclose the retirement or removal of a director or supervisor?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	It is go	ood to clarify.

Question 69.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to apply to the appointment, resignation, re-designation, retirement or removal of a CEO (and not only to a director or supervisor)?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
Question 70.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2)(o) to cover all civil judgments of fraud, breach of duty or other misconduct involving dishonesty?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
Question 71.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51B(3)(c) to clarify that the sanctions referred to in that Rule are those made against the issuer (and not those of other issuers)?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
<u> </u>	

Questio	n /2.	directors' information is published on an issuer's website?
[\boxtimes	Yes
[No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	n 73.	Do you agree with our proposed amendment to the CP (RBP A.3.3 upgraded) that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website?
[\boxtimes	Yes
[No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
9.]	Provid	ling Management Accounts or Management Updates to the Board
Questio	n 74.	Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provide board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the Consultation Paper?
[Yes
]	\boxtimes	No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Quarte	erly updates are sufficient.

10.		Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the 's Subsidiaries
Questi	on 75.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix) removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	regula	osure requirement without a triggering threshold for directors of subsidiaries orly exercising options is burdensome. It is sufficient to publish it in the ally returns.
Questi	on 76.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Same	as the reasons given in Question 75.
11.	Disclo Value	sing Long Term Basis on which an Issuer Generates or Preserves Business
Questi	on 77.	Do you agree that we should introduce the proposed CP (CP C.1.4) as described in paragraph 250 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

 \boxtimes

Given that there is a requirement for Management Discussion and Analysis, we do not think that a separate statement regarding business model and corporate strategy will provide investors with any useful information.

12. Directors' Insurance

Questi	on 78.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.1.9 (issuers should arrange appropriate insurance for directors) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.1.8)?				
	\boxtimes	Yes				
		No				
	Please	give reasons for your views.				
		the interest of the listed issuer and its shareholders to insure directors for ial claims against them in the performance of their duties.				
Questi	on 79.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the words "adequate and general" to RBP A.1.9 (upgraded and re-numbered CP A.1.8)?				
		Yes				
		No				
	Please	Please give reasons for your views.				
	The w	ords "adequate and general" seems abstract that it is unable to quantify.				
PART	II: SH	AREHOLDERS				
1.	Share	holders' General Meetings				
A.	Notice	of Meeting and Bundling of Resolutions				
Questi	on 80.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.1.1 to state that issuers should avoid "bundling" of resolutions and where they are "bundled" explain the reasons and material implications in the notice of meeting?				
	\boxtimes	Yes				
		No				
	Please	give reasons for your views.				

B.	Voting	by Poll
Questic	on 81.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.39(4) to allow a chairman at a general meeting to exempt procedural and administrative matters described in paragraph 274 of the Consultation Paper from voting by poll?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	_	ocedural and administrative matters, voting by a show of hands instead of poll e practical and appropriate.
Questic	on 82.	Do you agree with the examples of procedural and administrative resolutions in paragraph 275 of the Consultation paper? Do you have any other examples to add?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	additio adjudi	camples listed in paragraph 275 are procedural and administrative matters. In on, it may consider providing the chairman of the meeting with the power to cate any matter not listed in paragraph 275 taking place in the meeting as lural and administrative matters or not.
Questic	on 83.	Do you agree that our proposed amendments to Rule 13.39(5) clarify disclosure in poll results?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	No coi	mment

Question 84.		Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.2.1 to remove the words "at the commencement of the meeting" so that an issuer's chairman can explain the procedures for conducting a poll later during a general meeting?		
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	_	be appropriate for the chairman of the meeting to explain the procedures diately prior to voting.		
C.	Shareh	olders' Approval to Appoint and Remove an Auditor		
Questio	on 85.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new Rule 13.88 to require shareholder approval to appoint the issuer's auditor?		
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	This a	ccords with Hong Kong Companies Ordinance.		
Questio	on 86.	Do you agree with our proposal to add, in new Rule 13.88, a requirement for shareholder approval to remove the issuer's auditor before the end of his term of office?		
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Please give reasons for your views.			
	This a	accords with Hong Kong Companies Ordinance.		

meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Question 89. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make	Question 87.		Do you agree that the new Rule 13.88 should require a circular for the removal of the auditor to shareholders containing any written representation from the auditor and allow the auditor to make written and/or verbal representation at the general meeting to remove him?	
Please give reasons for your views. Shareholders can make an informed decision on the resolution relating to the removal of auditors. D. Directors' Attendance at Meetings Question 88. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Question 89. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policie to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)? Yes No Please give reasons for your views.		\boxtimes	Yes	
Shareholders can make an informed decision on the resolution relating to the removal of auditors. D. Directors' Attendance at Meetings Question 88. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Question 89. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policie to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)? Yes No Please give reasons for your views.			No	
D. Directors' Attendance at Meetings Question 88. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)? ☐ Yes ☐ No Please give reasons for your views. Question 89. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policie to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)? ☐ Yes ☐ No Please give reasons for your views.		Please	give reasons for your views.	
Question 88. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Question 89. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policie to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)? Yes No Please give reasons for your views.			<u> </u>	
meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Question 89. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policie to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)? Yes No Please give reasons for your views.	D.	Directo	ors' Attendance at Meetings	
□ No Please give reasons for your views. Question 89. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policie to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)? □ Yes □ No Please give reasons for your views.	Questio	on 88.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance at meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)?	
Please give reasons for your views. Question 89. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policie to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)? Yes No Please give reasons for your views.		\boxtimes	Yes	
Question 89. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policie to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)? Yes No Please give reasons for your views.			No	
positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policie to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)? Yes No Please give reasons for your views.		Please	give reasons for your views.	
positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policie to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)? Yes No Please give reasons for your views.				
No Please give reasons for your views.	Questio	on 89.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make a positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policies) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)?	
Please give reasons for your views.			Yes	
		\boxtimes	No	
RBP instead of CP is sufficient.		Please	give reasons for your views.	
		RBP i	nstead of CP is sufficient.	

	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new mandatory disclosure provision in Appendix 23 (re-numbered paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14) stating that issuer must disclose details of attendance at general meetings of each director by name?
\leq	Yes
	No
lease	give reasons for your views.
ı 91.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state the issuer's chairman should arrange for the chairman of "any other committees" to attend the annual general meeting?
\leq	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Audito	r's Attendance at Annual General Meetings
	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state that the chairman should arrange for the auditor to attend the issuer's annual general meeting to answer questions about the conduct of the audit, the preparation and content of the auditors' report, the accounting policies and auditor independence?
\leq	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Audito	ers can answer any enquiries from shareholders.
	elease

2. Shareholders' Rights

Questi	on 93.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of "shareholders' rights" under paragraph 3 (b) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph O of Appendix 14)?			
		Yes			
		No			
	Please	Please give reasons for your views.			
	It can	be considered posting such disclosure on the issuers' websites.			
3.	Comm	nunication with Shareholders			
A.	Establi	shing a Communication Policy			
Questi	on 94.	Do you agree with our proposed new CP E.1.4 stating that issuers should establish a shareholder communication policy?			
		Yes			
		No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
	The requirement for establishing shareholder communication policy depends upon the size of organisation structure of the listed issuer. It is appropriate leave it to the listed issuer to determine whether it shall establish the shareholder communication policy.				
B.	Publisl	ning Constitutional Documents on Website			
Questi	on 95.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.90 requiring issuers to publish an updated and consolidated version of their M & A or constitutional documents on their own website and the HKEx website?			
	\boxtimes	Yes			
		No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			

C.	Publis	hing Procedures for Election of Directors
Questio	on 96.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.51D requiring an issuer to publish the procedures for shareholders to propose a person for election as a director on its website?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	It is no	ot necessary.
D. D	isclosi	ng Significant Changes to Constitutional Documents
Questio	on 97.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of any significant change in the issuer's articles of association under paragraph $3(c)(i)$ of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph $P(a)$ of Appendix 14)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Annou	incement of the proposed change in articles of association is sufficient.
PART	III: C	OMPANY SECRETARY
1.	Comp	any Secretary's Qualifications, Experience and Training
Questio	on 98.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Rule 3.28 on requirements for company secretaries' qualifications and experience?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questic	on 99.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider as acceptable the list of qualifications for company secretaries set out in paragraph 345 of the Consultation Paper?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	The qu	alifications as listed are of compliance nature
Questic	on 100.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questic	on 101.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	ordina	ock exchange can easily keep in touch with the company secretary who is rily resident in Hong Kong to get information about the affairs of the listed of which the business operations and management are not based in Hong

Questio	on 102.	Do you agree with our proposal to repeal Rule 19A.16 so that Mainland issuers' company secretaries would need to meet the same requirements as for other countries?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	The co	ompliance matters relate to Hong Kong's applicable rules and regulations.
Questio	on 103.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a Rule 3.29 requiring company secretaries to attend 15 hours of professional training per financial year?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 104.	Do you agree with the proposed transitional arrangement on compliance with Rule 3.29 in paragraph 350 of the Consultation Paper?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	<u>l</u>	

2. New Section in Code on Company Secretary

Do you agree with our proposal to include a new section of the Code on company secretary?
Yes
No
give reasons for your views.
ot necessary to set it in the Code.
Do you agree with the proposed principle as described in paragraph 362 of the Consultation Paper and set out in full in page 27 of Appendix II?
Yes
No
give reasons for your views.
rinciple is fine but need not be put in the form of Code.
Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.1 stating the company secretary should be an employee of the issuer and have knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day affairs?
Yes
No
give reasons for your views.
I not be put in the form of Code.

Question 108.		Do you agree with our proposal described in paragraph 364 of the Consultation Paper, that if an issuer employs an external service provider, it should disclose the identity of its issuer contact person?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		an easily identify the one with whom the stock exchange can contact for the assuer's affairs.
Questio	on 109.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.2 stating that the selection, appointment or dismissal of the company secretary should be the subject of a board decision?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	This is	because the company secretary serves the board as a whole.
Questio	on 110.	Do you agree with our proposed note to CP F.1.2 stating that the board decision to select, appoint or dismiss the company secretary should be made at a physical board meeting and not dealt with by written board resolution?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	It is su secreta	fficient to use board written resolution to appoint or dismiss the company ary.

Questio	on 111.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.3 stating that the company secretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?		
		Yes		
	\boxtimes	No		
	Please give reasons for your views.			
	It depe	ends upon the management structure.		
Questio	on 112.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the company secretary should maintain a record of directors training?		
		Yes		
	\boxtimes	No		
	Please give reasons for your views.			
	Same a	as the reasons given in Question 105.		
СНАР	TER 3	: PROPOSED NON-SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS		
1.	Definit	tion of "Announcement" and "Announce"		
Questio	on 113.	Do you agree with our proposal to include a definition in the Rules for the terms "announcement" and "announce" as described in paragraph 371 of the Consultation Paper?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	No cor	mment		

2. Authorised Representatives' Contact Details

Question 114.		Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 3.06(1) to add a reference to authorised representatives "mobile and other telephone numbers, email and correspondence addresses" and "any other contract details prescribed by the Exchange may prescribe from time to time"?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Such c	contact details facilitate the communication.
3.	Mergi	ng Corporate Governance Report Requirements into Appendix 14
Questio	on 115.	Do you agree with our proposal to merge Appendix 23 into Appendix 14 for ease of reference?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	No cor	mment
Questio	on 116.	Do you agree with our proposal to streamline Appendix 23 and to make plain language amendments to it?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	No con	mment