Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from website the **HKEx** http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/documents/cp2010124.pdf. Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages. **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION Plain Writing Amendments** Ouestion 1. Do you have any comments on the plainer writing amendments? Do you consider any part(s) of the plainer writing amendments will have unintended consequences? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. The existing version is clear enough and not difficult to understand and thus amendments may not be necessary **CHAPTER 2: PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS** PART I: DIRECTORS **Directors' Duties and Time Commitments** 1. Do you agree with our proposed change to Rule 3.08 to clarify the responsibilities the Exchange expects of directors? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. (1) The existing R 3.08 is clear and the common law poisition is also cleat.

Questio	on 3.	Do you agree with our proposed addition of the Note to Rule 3.08 referring to the guidance issued by the Companies Registry and HKIOD?	
		Yes	
	V	No	
		give reasons for your views.	
	1. The	quidelines issued by Companies Registry are non-statutory guidance only.	
	2.11	guidelines issued by Companies Regisley are non-statutory guidance only. KIOD is not a statutory body and the guides prepared by it should not fixed an are learnspared.	nut
Questi		Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(e)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should regularly review the time required from a director to perform his responsibilities to the issuer, and whether he is meeting that requirement?	wt,
		Yes)
		No	
		give reasons for your views.	
	1. Pag.	The new duty is hurdensome as it is difficult to predict the necessary to needed and the time needed for differ t directors vary. If foult to evaluate whether a director spend enough time. I foult to have an objective and scientific means to detailed availed the two Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(f)) in the nomination.	me
Questi	3. J on 5.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(f)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should review NEDs' annual confirmation that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?	ť
		Yes	
		No)
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
	1. Ea	nd tim that the confirmation is "spert sufficient" time in his	
		Capacity as a NED maly	

Question 6.		Do you agree to include a disclosure requirement in the Corporate Governance Report (paragraph L(d)(ii) of Appendix 14) that NEDs have made annual confirmation to the nomination committee that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
	<u>\</u>	Yes
		No
F	Please	give reasons for your views.
	On c	and From that such confirmation is "spent sufficient time" in capacity as a NED only
Question	n 7.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3(re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that a director should limit his other professional commitments and acknowledge to the issuer that he will have sufficient time to meet his obligations?
		Yes
Į.	√	No
F	Please	give reasons for your views.
·	i. Eac aro	A person's capability, experience and completence on different dishould not the constraint one's of commitments or contribution
Question	n 8.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3 (re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that an NED should confirm annually to the nomination committee that he has spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
	1	Yes
		No
P	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Only his	on condition that such confirmation is "spent sufficient time" in capacity as a NEI) only

Questio	on 9.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP D.1.4 to a CP (re-numbered CP D.1.4) and amending it to state that an NED's letter of appointment should set out the expected time commitment?
	3	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	1. Ha 2 Ti 3. 1	me required for different directors may not be the same No Objective test to set the expected time
Questio	on 10.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP A.5.6 to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.6) and to amending it to encourage timeliness of disclosure by a director to the issuer on any change to his significant commitments?
		Yes
	<u> </u>	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Only	on condition that 'significant commitments' are well-defined and really accepted as 'significant commitments"
Questio	on 11.	Do you consider that there should be a limit on the number of INED positions an individual may hold?
		Yes
		No
		give reasons for your views.
1 2	One Car Car	Capable, experienced director may sitten in white directships and a diliplatly contribute to the Issuers estimate partner of an account from law firm can be a professional INFD to a multiple directorals. 3. Should allow experienced professionals IINFD, serve If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", what should be the number? Please more as
Questi	on 12.	If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", what should be the number? Please more as give reasons for your views.
		with Corporate Jovernance
		4. Issuers should be free to choose as their commitment are
		as their commitment are
		8 Avansparent to the Isners.

Questio	on 13.	If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", do you think that it should be a Rule or a CP?
	П	Rule
		CP
	Please	give reasons for your views.
2.	Direct	ors' Training and Independent Non-executive Directors
Questi	on 14.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous professional development) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.5)?
	V	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	1. Id	improves the standard of profession corporate governonce profese
Questi	on 15.	Do you agree that the minimum number of hours of directors training should be eight?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 16.	What training methods do you consider to be acceptable for the requirements stated in the proposed CP (re-numbered RBP A.6.5)? Please give reasons for your views.
	Clas	soon training as all the attenders are more attentive.

Questi	on 17.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.3.2 (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) to a Rule (re-numbered Rule 3.10A)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	1. 7/ 2. A 3. C	lese are more and more improvant dustres reston INEDs large pool of retired lawyers and accountants loser to international standards
Questi	on 18.	Do you agree that this Rule (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) be effective after a transitional period as described in paragraph 87 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	1. 7	le length of transitional period is sufficient.
Questi	ion 19.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.4.3 (shareholder to vote on a separate resolution for the further employment of an INED who has served more than nine years) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.4.3)?
	¥;	Yes
		No
		give reasons for your views.
		o direct evidence that length of service will affect independence. NED'I being familiar with the issur's business is more important.
	3. VIC	wally INTO, who serves the board longer are more outspoken as
		bey are familiar will the company's experation and acquinted
	4.5	If one is not independent, even if he serve for a short time spam, he could still be not independent.
		time spam, Le could still be not indépendent:
	F.	Annual confirmation on more previous of t
	نو	ensuring the INEDs are independent. Of love to make to the se character, integrety and cannot be
	6.	Insuring the iners are sure percent. Independence relates to one's character, theogrety and cannot be tudged by a simple number of 'years' saved.

		explanation of its reasons for election and independence of an INED in a circular) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.5.5)?
		Yes
		No
		give reasons for your views.
	Explo	mation why the person should be elected is sufficient and the issues considers him independent is sunnecessary as it is exident by the annual confirmation. Committees
	H	the issuer considers him independent is sunnecessary as it is evident by
3.	Board	the annual confirmation. Committees
A.	Remur	neration Committee
Questio	on 21.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to establish a remuneration committee with a majority of INED members from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.25)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Most	Isuers have complied with it.
Questi	on 22.	Do you agree with our proposal that the remuneration committee must be chaired by an INED?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
1. A	pote	executive director is no familiarile with the Conjuny's remmunation by, system may some better then an INED with of MED member is a sufficient safeguard Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to have
2. Questio	H mgj on 23:	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to have written terms of reference for the remuneration committee from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.26)?

Question 20. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.4.8 (issuer should include

	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
Mo	st Issuers complige this.
Question 24	4. Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule (Rule 3.27) requiring an issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27?
	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
M	ost issues comply this.
Question 25	5. Do you agree with our proposal that issuers that fail to meet Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27 should have three months to rectify this?
	Yes
	/ No
St	X months are professed.
Question 26	5. Do you agree that we should add "independent" to the professional advice made available to a remuneration committee (CP B.1.2, re-numbered CP B.1.1)?
	Yes
V	No
Plea	ase give reasons for your views.
1.	Profesional advice is sufficient
2:	The Should not overburden Remunaction Committee on assessing
	Professional advice is Profesiont The Should not overburden Remunaction Committee on assessing the independence's of these professional advice.

Questi	on 27.	Do you agree that, in order to accommodate Model B, we should revise CP B.1.3 (re-numbered CP B.1.2) as described in paragraph 117 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 28.	(i) Do you agree that where the board resolves to approve any remuneration with which the remuneration committee disagrees, the board should disclose the reasons for its resolution in its corporate governance report)? (ii) If your answer is "yes", do you agree that RBP B.1.8 should be revised and upgraded to a CP (re-numbered CP B.1.6).
	(i)	Yes No
	(ii)	Yes No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	70	Increase transparancy
Questi	on 29.	Do you agree that the term "performance-based" should be deleted from CP B.1.2(c) (re-numbered CP B.1.2(b)) and revised as described in paragraph 118 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

В.	Nomin	ation Committee
Questi	on 30.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.4 (establishment and composition of a nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.1) should be upgraded to a CP?
		Yes
		No
		give reasons for your views.
	Ever Com	Aborgh many issuers in MK do not take a numination of the matter descrition of the
Questi	b	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.4) should state that the nomination committee's chairman should be an INED?
	under Line	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	. The eva The on 32.	Chairman of an Tisher of or a CEO is in a better position to the bush the Size, structure and composition than an INED, Define majority of the committee is sufficient safeguard Do you agree that RBP A.4.5 (nomination committee's terms of reference, re-
	and a	numbered CP A.5.2) should be upgraded to a CP?
		Yes
	V	No
		give reasons for your views.
	1. Mo	st of Mk issues do not have noninotion committee and should give we time for listed assues to familiarise the practice before turning them to as 'Code'.
	/	them to as 'Code'.

Question 33.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should be performed at least once a year?
	Yes
	No
	give reasons for your views.
One.	Le a year is ok so long as it removeres a RBP instead
Question 34.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should implement the issuer's corporate strategy?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Con	lement the Issuer's corporate strategy as a CP for normone true miltee is too burdensume and it is is difficult to assess.
Question 35.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.6 (availability of nomination committee's terms of reference) should be upgraded to a CP?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
On o	ordition that the set up of a nonivation committee be a

Question 3	6. Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.6, re-numbered CP A.5.3) should state that issuers should include their nomination committee's terms of reference on the HKEx website?
	Yes
	No
Ple	ase give reasons for your views.
	by if it become a CP Hick I disogree.
Question 3	7. Do you agree that RBP A.4.7 (sufficient resources for the nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should be upgraded to a CP?
V	Yes
	No
Ple	ase give reasons for your views.
	July if it become a CP which I disagree.
Question 3	8. Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.7, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should clarify that a nomination committee should be able to seek independent professional advice at the issuer's expense?
V	Yes
	No
Ple	ase give reasons for your views.

C.	Corpo.	Tate Governmee Committee
Questi	on 39.	Do you agree with the proposed terms of reference listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons and alternative suggestions.
	i. Di	sagree with the requirement of setting up a corporate governonce immittee the as it is not required in UK, Australia, Singapore or PRC.
Questi	on 40.	Do you consider that the committee(s) performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should submit to the board a written report on its work annually?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	1. Di	sogree with the settip up of such committee and the work is
	to	o burdensme for the directors (including the INTDs
Questi		Do you consider that this report (as described in paragraph 140 of the Consultation Paper) should be published as part of the issuer's corporate governance report?
		Yes
	\square	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	1. D	sopree the sett p up of this committee in the first place and hould at most expand RBP A. 2.5 to elaborate rentain additional functions I work,
	0	additional functions/work.

Question 42.	Do you agree with introducing RBP D.3.3 stating that an issuer should establish a corporate governance committee?
	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
1. M	lot something universally anested by international financial markets.
Question 43.	Do you agree the duties of an existing committee or committees can be expanded to include those of a corporate governance committee?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
1. 1	Vork of other committees are heavy and corporate governance bractice is a very board issue and involve too many works, so should not increase there workloads on the other committees
Question 44.	Do you agree with the addition of CP D.3.2 stating that the committee performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should comprise a majority of INEDs?
w Arr	Yes
	No
	e give reasons for your views.
Dis	agree with the sitting up of such committee as stated

Question 45.		Do you agree with the proposal to add a note to CP D.3.2 stating that the committee should include one member who is an executive director or non-executive director with sufficient knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day operations?
	1	Yes
	 	No
		give reasons for your views.
	Only	if there is strong support to have such committee; however, Obsogree with ouch Application establishment.
D.	Audit	committee
Questio	on 46.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.3.7 (audit committee's terms of reference should include arrangements for employees to raise concerns about improprieties in financial reporting) to a CP?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Yo r	reduce the risk of fraud.
Questi	on 47.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP C.3.3(e)(i) to state that the audit committee should meet the external auditor at least twice a year?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Yo.	Improve communication of and a committee and external

Question 48.	Do you agree that a new RBP should be introduced to encourage audit committees to establish a whistleblowing policy?
	Yes
1 (1.1 2-22)	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
40	reduce the risk of fraud
4. Rem	uneration of Directors, CEO and Senior Management
Question 49.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers should disclose senior management remuneration by band (Appendix 16, new paragraph 25A)?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
Ti	Improve transfarancy.
Question 50.	If your answer to Question 49 is yes, do you agree with our proposal that senior management remuneration disclosure should include sales commission?
9.7 17.0	Yes
	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
1.0	ould be too sensitive and the unnecessary trouble for the Usiner to manage its sales team

Question 51.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Appendix 16 to require an issuer to disclose the CEO's remuneration in its annual report and by name?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
70	Increase transparancy
Question 52.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP B.1.6 to a CP (a significant proportion of executive directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rewards to corporate and individual performance, re-numbered CP B.1.5)?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Each and str	Lisquer should have the its own poticy and system in firme uchinip executive directors' remuneration.
	l Evaluation
Question 53.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new RBP B.1.8 that issuers should conduct a regular evaluation of its own and individual directors' performance?
	Yes
\square	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Zss. bor	ners have been doing this when evaluate chrector's salary, rus, increment ate and there is no need to sadd this

6.	Board	Meetings
A.		lering a matter where there is a conflict of interest by a physical board meeting than a written board resolution
Questi	ion 54.	Do you agree that, except for plain language amendments, the wording of CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) should be retained (issuers to hold a board meeting to discuss resolutions on a material matter where a substantial directors or a director has a conflict of interest)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Quest	ion 55.	Do you agree with our proposals to add a note to CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) stating that attendance at board meetings can be achieved by telephonic or video conferencing?
		Yes
	5. 76. 19.	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	To	make it clear, yes, but if you odd this nuke perplanation are, similar amendments be made thoughout the fist pholes
В.		ors' Attendance at Board Meetings
Quest	ion 56.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the notes to paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14 (on attendance at board meetings) as described in paragraph 195 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 57.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new requirement (paragraph I(d) to Appendix 14) that attendance by an alternate should not be counted as attendance by the director himself?
	Yes
	No
	e give reasons for your views.
Uni	less the articles of association disallow the appointment of liternate
Question 58.	Do you agree with our proposal that an issuer disclose, for each named director, the number of board or committee meetings he attended and separately the number of board or committee meetings attended by his alternate?
·	Yes
	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
No	need to complicate the matter.
C. Remo	oving Five Percent Threshold for Voting on a Resolution in which a Director has terest
Question 59.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 13.44 to remove the exemption described in paragraph 199 (transactions where a director has an interest)?
	Yes
	No
	e give reasons for your views.
Un	less and until meterial interest' is well-defined, such

Questic	on 60.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the words "at the board level" from Code Principle A.2 to clarify the division between management of the board and day-to-day management of an issuer's business?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 61.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.2.3 to add "accurate" and "clear" to describe the information that the chairman should ensure directors receive?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 62.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.4 to a CP to give greater emphasis to the chairman's duty to provide leadership for the board, to ensure that the board works effectively and discharges its responsibilities, etc.?
		Yes
	5.4	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

7.

Question 63.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.5 to a CP and amend it to state: "The chairman should take primary responsibility for ensuring that good corporate governance practices and procedures are established"?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 64.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.6 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's responsibility to encourage directors with different views to voice their concerns, allow sufficient time for discussion of issues and build consensus?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 65.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.7 to a CP and amend it to state that the chairman should hold separate meetings with only INEDs and only NEDs at least once a year?
	Yes
<u>. </u>	No
Please	give reasons for your views.

Question		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.8 to a CP to highlight the chairman's role to ensure effective communication between the board and shareholders?
Ā	2	Yes
		No
P	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question	n 67.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.9 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's role to enable NED contributions and constructive relations between EDs and NEDs?
<u> </u>	√	Yes
		No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.
8.	Notify	ing directorship change and disclosure of directors' information
Questio	n 68.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to require issuers to disclose the retirement or removal of a director or supervisor?
J	v/	Yes
		No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
	_	

Question 69.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to apply to the appointment, resignation, re-designation, retirement or removal of a CEO (and not only to a director or supervisor)?	
	Yes	
	No	
Please	e give reasons for your views.	
1. If	the CFD is not even a director, there is no need	
2. If	the CFD is not even a director, there is no need eFO is required, how about cFO, COO, CTO?	
	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2)(o) to cover all civil judgments of fraud, breach of duty or other misconduct involving dishonesty?	
	Yes	
	No	
	e give reasons for your views.	
i. Cn	vil judgement of fraud, breach of duty are too board and one fines vague, depende on the circumstances of that particular civilar dispersions	T Buter
	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51B(3)(c) to clarify that the sanctions referred to in that Rule are those made against the issuer (and not those of other issuers)?	, J.
	Yes	
	No	
Please	e give reasons for your views.	
•		

Question 72.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.3.3 to a CP to ensure that directors' information is published on an issuer's website?
20 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
\mathcal{D}	rector's information has been sufficiently disclosed in the
n	rector's information has been sufficiently disclosed in the recessary announcement and company's interim/annual report
	Do you agree with our proposed amendment to the CP (RBP A.3.3 upgraded) that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website?
	Yes
	, No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
S	reason stated in Q72
9. Prov	viding Management Accounts or Management Updates to the Board
Question 74	Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provide board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
1. 7	his is even more burdensome than regaring tesner to issue
2.	provide a balanced and understandable discussment
	of Issuer need to engage additional manjuner and cost
	I to do it monthly Is too frequent.

10.		Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the r's Subsidiaries
Quest	ion 75.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix) removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?
	V	Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
Quest	ion 76.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
11.	Disclo Value	osing Long Term Basis on which an Issuer Generates or Preserves Business
Quest	ion 77.	Do you agree that we should introduce the proposed CP (CP C.1.4) as described in paragraph 250 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
		give reasons for your views.
	Shan	obsides and anvertous can review the forestal results, the MDRA
	seer	tions of annual reports to make their own assessment and the issuers
	ı	eboldes and anvertous can review the fractive results, the MDRA from of annual reports to make their own assessment and the issuers over the years which mut be required 29 to expressly describe their som business model and strategy
	Sh	orld not be required 29 to expressly assurbe their sound busines
	r	usdel and strategy

12.	Directors' Insurance				
Questi	on 78.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.1.9 (issuers should arrange appropriate insurance for directors) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.1.8)?			
		Yes			
	977. 1372	No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
	1. D	rectors's espendithes and duties have been substantially increased			
Questi	े . र ion 79.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the words "adequate and general" to RBP A.1.9 (upgraded and re-numbered CP A.1.8)?			
		Yes			
		No			
	Please give reasons for your views.				
PART	II: SH	AREHOLDERS			
1.	Share	holders' General Meetings			
A.	Notice	e of Meeting and Bundling of Resolutions			
Quest	ion 80.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.1.1 to state that issuers should avoid "bundling" of resolutions and where they are "bundled" explain the reasons and material implications in the notice of meeting?			
		Yes			
		No			
	Please	Please give reasons for your views.			

B. Voing		g by Poli
Question 81.		Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.39(4) to allow a chairman at a general meeting to exempt procedural and administrative matters described in paragraph 274 of the Consultation Paper from voting by poll?
		Yes
	120 m	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 82.	Do you agree with the examples of procedural and administrative resolutions in paragraph 275 of the Consultation paper? Do you have any other examples to add?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 83.	Do you agree that our proposed amendments to Rule 13.39(5) clarify disclosure in poll results?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 84.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.2.1 to remove the words "at the commencement of the meeting" so that an issuer's chairman can explain the procedures for conducting a poll later during a general meeting?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
C.	Sharel	nolders' Approval to Appoint and Remove an Auditor	
Questi	on 85.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new Rule 13.88 to require shareholder approval to appoint the issuer's auditor?	(
		Yes	
		No	
		e give reasons for your views.	
	1. M	ony Issues in 11k remove and two because of dispute on fees .g. and two increase fees significantly after serving an issuer one or two years, and require showholder; approal would delay the smatter	10
Questi	on 86.	Do you agree with our proposal to add, in new Rule 13.88, a requirement for shareholder approval to remove the issuer's auditor before the end of his term of office?	
		Yes	(
		No	
	Please	e give reasons for your views.	
	S	ee ass	

Question	37. Do you agree that the new Rule 13.88 should require a circular for the removal of the auditor to shareholders containing any written representation from the auditor and allow the auditor to make written and/or verbal representation at the general meeting to remove him?
[-	Yes
Δ	No
P	ease give reasons for your views.
	sce above ASS
D. D	rectors' Attendance at Meetings
Question	88. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance at meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)?
	Yes
3	No
P	ease give reasons for your views.
	Herd board meeters and committee meeting are appropriate but at the dance in general meetings may not be absolutely necessary unless he is also the Chairman of the certain committees and involved with Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.S.8 (NEDs should make a
Question	Ts also the Chairman of the Certain Committee and involved whe Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make a positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policies) I are to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)?
	Yes
v	No
P	ase give reasons for your views.
	Ossvers's strategy and policy should rest up the chairman/ Cto see and the EDs who day-to-day manage the
	CTO was and the EDs who day-to-day manage the
	Conjuny

Questi	on 90.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new mandatory disclosure provision in Appendix 23 (re-numbered paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14) stating that issuer must disclose details of attendance at general meetings of each director by name?
	### T	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Dn.	ector's attendance on boardments and committee meetings
	Ore	ector's attendance on boardmeetps and committee meetings or more emportant that general meetps
Questi		Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state the issuer's chairman should arrange for the chairman of "any other committees" to attend the annual general meeting?
		Yes
	· · ·	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
E.	Audite	or's Attendance at Annual General Meetings
Question 92		Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state that the chairman should arrange for the auditor to attend the issuer's annual general meeting to answer questions about the conduct of the audit, the preparation and content of the auditors' report, the accounting policies and auditor independence?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
	,	

Questi	on 93.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of "shareholders' rights" under paragraph 3 (b) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph O of Appendix 14)?			
	abla	Yes			
		No			
	Please give reasons for your views.				
	:				
3.	Comn	nunication with Shareholders			
A.	Establ	ishing a Communication Policy			
Questi	on 94.	Do you agree with our proposed new CP E.1.4 stating that issuers should establish a shareholder communication policy?			
		Yes			
		No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
B.	Publisl	hing Constitutional Documents on Website			
Questi	on 95.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.90 requiring issuers to publish an updated and consolidated version of their M & A or constitutional documents on their own website and the HKEx website?			
		Yes			
		No			
	Please	Please give reasons for your views.			

2.

Shareholders' Rights

	r uonsi	ning Procedures for Election of Directors			
Question 96.		Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.51D requiring an issuer to publish the procedures for shareholders to propose a person for election as a director on its website?			
	## 19.22	Yes			
		No			
	Please give reasons for your views.				
D.	Disclosi	ng Significant Changes to Constitutional Documents			
Question 97.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of any significant change in the issuer's articles of association under paragraph 3(c)(i) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph P(a) of Appendix 14)?			
		Yes			
	V	No			
	Please	e give reasons for your views.			
PAR	T III: O	COMPANY SECRETARY			
	Comp	pany Secretary's Qualifications, Experience and Training			
1.	-				
	stion 98.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Rule 3.28 on requirements for company secretaries' qualifications and experience?			
	_				
	_	for company secretaries' qualifications and experience?			

Question 99.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider as acceptable the list of qualifications for company secretaries set out in paragraph 345 of the Consultation Paper?
区	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 100.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 101.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
More v.	dly find any non-ordinarly resident in HK can fulfill she equivement in Q99 and Q100

Questio	on 102.	Do you agree with our proposal to repeal Rule 19A.16 so that Mainland issuers' company secretaries would need to meet the same requirements as for other countries?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 103.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a Rule 3.29 requiring company secretaries to attend 15 hours of professional training per financial year?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	ion 104	Do you agree with the proposed transitional arrangement on compliance with Rule 3.29 in paragraph 350 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	35 - N 32 - N	No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.

2.	New S	Section in Code on Company Secretary
Questi	on 105	Do you agree with our proposal to include a new section of the Code on company secretary?
	V	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 106.	Do you agree with the proposed principle as described in paragraph 362 of the Consultation Paper and set out in full in page 27 of Appendix II?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 107.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.1 stating the company secretary should be an employee of the issuer and have knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day affairs?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Prim	ay criteria for a good corpany secretary is his knowledge on his to Rule
	L\	ay criteria for a good corpany secretary is his knowledge on his to Rale Ord, Company secretarial practice, whether he is a fall time Mployee of the issuer is less important

Question 108.	Consultation Paper, that if an issuer employs an external service provider, it should disclose the identity of its issuer contact person?
	Yes
**************************************	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 109.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.2 stating that the selection, appointment or dismissal of the company secretary should be the subject of a board decision?
	Yes
\$	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 110	Do you agree with our proposed note to CP F.1.2 stating that the board decision to select, appoint or dismiss the company secretary should be made at a physical board meeting and not dealt with by written board resolution?
	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.

Questi	юп 111	secretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
Quest	ion 112	. Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the company secretary should maintain a record of directors training?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
		8: PROPOSED NON-SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS
1.	Defin	ition of "Announcement" and "Announce"
Questi	ion 113	. Do you agree with our proposal to include a definition in the Rules for the terms "announcement" and "announce" as described in paragraph 371 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.

2.	Autho	rised Representatives' Contact Details
Question 114.		Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 3.06(1) to add a reference to authorised representatives "mobile and other telephone numbers, email and correspondence addresses" and "any other contract details prescribed by the Exchange may prescribe from time to time"?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	The	existing extent reference is sufficient.
3.	Mergi	ng Corporate Governance Report Requirements into Appendix 14
Question 115.		Do you agree with our proposal to merge Appendix 23 into Appendix 14 for ease of reference?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
·	Suff	riatly clear to me -
Questi	on 116.	Do you agree with our proposal to streamline Appendix 23 and to make plain language amendments to it?

- End -

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.