Consultation Questions Part B

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the **HKEx** website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/documents/cp2010124.pdf.

Where there	is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.
CHAPTER	1: INTRODUCTION
Plain Writi	ng Amendments
Question 1.	Do you have any comments on the plainer writing amendments? Do you consider any part(s) of the plainer writing amendments will have unintended consequences?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
CHAPTER	2: PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS
	IRECTORS
1. Dire	ctors' Duties and Time Commitments
Question 2.	Do you agree with our proposed change to Rule 3.08 to clarify the responsibilities the Exchange expects of directors?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 3.	Do you agree with our proposed addition of the Note to Rule 3.08 referring to the guidance issued by the Companies Registry and HKIOD?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ver, we do not agree that such guidance is exhaustive. The directors could reference from other sources as well.
Questio	on 4.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(e)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should regularly review the time required from a director to perform his responsibilities to the issuer, and whether he is meeting that requirement?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Hower for each	ors should spend adequate time in the discharge of their responsibilities. ver, it would be impracticable for a committee to determine the time required ch director to perform his responsibilities. Directors come from different round and possess different qualifications and expertise as a result of which the at of time required of each director in discharging his responsibilities would be ent.
Questio	on 5.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(f)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should review NEDs' annual confirmation that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to our answer to Question 4.

Questio	on 6.	Do you agree to include a disclosure requirement in the Corporate Governance Report (paragraph $L(d)(ii)$ of Appendix 14) that NEDs have made annual confirmation to the nomination committee that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to our answer to Question 4
Questio	on 7.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3(re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that a director should limit his other professional commitments and acknowledge to the issuer that he will have sufficient time to meet his obligations?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	do sup	we do not support limiting a director's other professional commitments, we port having the director acknowledge to the issuer that he would have ent time to meet his obligations.
Questio	on 8.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3 (re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that an NED should confirm annually to the nomination committee that he has spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 9.		Do you agree to upgrading RBP D.1.4 to a CP (re-numbered CP D.1.4) and amending it to state that an NED's letter of appointment should set out the expected time commitment?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 10.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP A.5.6 to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.6) and to amending it to encourage timeliness of disclosure by a director to the issuer on any change to his significant commitments?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 11.	Do you consider that there should be a limit on the number of INED positions an individual may hold?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		irector is the best person to determine how many directorships he can ately handle.
Questio	on 12.	If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", what should be the number? Please give reasons for your views.
	N/A	

Questi	on 13.	a CP?
		Rule
		CP
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N/A	
2.	Direct	tors' Training and Independent Non-executive Directors
Questi	on 14.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous professional development) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.5)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 15.	Do you agree that the minimum number of hours of directors training should be eight?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Would	d prefer five hours to start with especially if this becomes a CP.
.	1.6	

Question 16. What training methods do you consider to be acceptable for the requirements stated in the proposed CP (re-numbered RBP A.6.5)? Please give reasons for your views.

The training method should be left flexible, including all those set out in paragraph 66 of the consultation paper. Additional methods could include receiving/analysing/understanding legal advice or participating in a transaction involving new or special contents, rules, processes, procedures or instruments that enhance the skill set of the director.

Question 17.		Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.3.2 (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) to a Rule (re-numbered Rule 3.10A)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 18.	Do you agree that this Rule (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) be effective after a transitional period as described in paragraph 87 of the Consultation Paper?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 19.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.4.3 (shareholder to vote on a separate resolution for the further employment of an INED who has served more than nine years) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.4.3)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ngth of service of an INED does not cause a director to lose his/her endence. Disclosure on the length of service could be made.

Questi	on 20.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.4.8 (issuer should include explanation of its reasons for election and independence of an INED in a circular) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.5.5)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	superf	ement.
3.	Board	l Committees
A.	Remui	neration Committee
Questi	on 21.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to establish a remuneration committee with a majority of INED members from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.25)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 22.	Do you agree with our proposal that the remuneration committee must be chaired by an INED?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ecutive director would have in-depth knowledge of the performance of the The two INEDs will provide the necessary checks and balances.

Question 23. Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to have written terms of reference for the remuneration committee from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.26)?

	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 24.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule (Rule 3.27) requiring an issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 25.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers that fail to meet Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27 should have three months to rectify this?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	refer to our answer to Question 24.
Questi	on 26.	Do you agree that we should add "independent" to the professional advice made available to a remuneration committee (CP B.1.2, re-numbered CP B.1.1)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	No stro	ong views but professional advice by definition should be independent.

Question 27.	B.1.3 (re-numbered CP B.1.2) as described in paragraph 117 of the Consultation Paper?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Mode	l B provides an alternative for the issuer.
Question 28.	(i) Do you agree that where the board resolves to approve any remuneration with which the remuneration committee disagrees, the board should disclose the reasons for its resolution in its corporate governance report)? (ii) If your answer is "yes", do you agree that RBP B.1.8 should be revised and upgraded to a CP (re-numbered CP B.1.6).
(i)	Yes No
(ii)	Yes No
Please	give reasons for your views.
possib	osure of such reasons might not be in the best interest of the issuer and could oly do the issuer harm in terms of competing for employees in the market and ng packages and employment terms confidentiality.
Question 29.	Do you agree that the term "performance-based" should be deleted from CP B.1.2(c) (re-numbered CP B.1.2(b)) and revised as described in paragraph 118 of the Consultation Paper?
\bowtie	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
A mor	re general approach provides more flexibility.

B.	Nomin	nation Committee
Question 30.		Do you agree that RBP A.4.4 (establishment and composition of a nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.1) should be upgraded to a CP?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 31.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.4) should state that the nomination committee's chairman should be an INED?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 32.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.5 (nomination committee's terms of reference, renumbered CP A.5.2) should be upgraded to a CP?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 33.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should be performed at least once a year?
	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
Question 34.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should implement the issuer's corporate strategy?
	Yes
\boxtimes	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
Question 35.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.6 (availability of nomination committee's terms of reference) should be upgraded to a CP?
	Yes
\boxtimes	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.

Question 36.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.6, re-numbered CP A.5.3) should state that issuers should include their nomination committee's terms of reference on the HKEx website?
	Yes
\bowtie	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 37.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.7 (sufficient resources for the nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should be upgraded to a CP?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 38.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.7, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should clarify that a nomination committee should be able to seek independent professional advice at the issuer's expense?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.

C.	Corporate Governance Committee		
Question 39.		Do you agree with the proposed terms of reference listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons and alternative suggestions.	
Question 40.		Do you consider that the committee(s) performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should submit to the board a written report on its work annually?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
	We ha	ive no strong views on this issue.	
Question 41.		Do you consider that this report (as described in paragraph 140 of the Consultation Paper) should be published as part of the issuer's corporate governance report?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
	We ha	ive no strong views on this issue.	
	-		

Questic	on 42.	Do you agree with introducing RBP D.3.3 stating that an issuer should establish a corporate governance committee?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questic	on 43.	Do you agree the duties of an existing committee or committees can be expanded to include those of a corporate governance committee?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		omposition and skills set of existing committees might not be appropriate for rposes of taking on corporate governance responsibilities.
Questic	on 44.	Do you agree with the addition of CP D.3.2 stating that the committee performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should comprise a majority of INEDs?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	No str	rong views, but at least there should be one executive director.

Question 45.		Do you agree with the proposal to add a note to CP D.3.2 stating that the committee should include one member who is an executive director or non-executive director with sufficient knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day operations?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
D.	Audit	committee		
Questi	on 46.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.3.7 (audit committee's terms of reference should include arrangements for employees to raise concerns about improprieties in financial reporting) to a CP?		
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
Questi	on 47.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP C.3.3(e)(i) to state that the audit committee should meet the external auditor at least twice a year?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		

Question 48.		Do you agree that a new RBP should be introduced to encourage audit committees to establish a whistleblowing policy?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
4.	Remu	neration of Directors, CEO and Senior Management
Questi	on 49.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers should disclose senior management remuneration by band (Appendix 16, new paragraph 25A)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 50.	If your answer to Question 49 is yes, do you agree with our proposal that senior management remuneration disclosure should include sales commission?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 51.		Do you agree with our proposal to amend Appendix 16 to require an issuer to disclose the CEO's remuneration in its annual report and by name?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 52.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP B.1.6 to a CP (a significant proportion of executive directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rewards to corporate and individual performance, re-numbered CP B.1.5)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	The decomm	etermination should be left to the individual issuer and their remuneration ittee.
5.	Board	Evaluation
Questi	on 53.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new RBP B.1.8 that issuers should conduct a regular evaluation of its own and individual directors' performance?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

6.	Board Meetings
----	-----------------------

A.	Considering a matter where there is a conflict of interest by a physical board n rather than a written board resolution			
Questi	on 54.	Do you agree that, except for plain language amendments, the wording of CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) should be retained (issuers to hold a board meeting to discuss resolutions on a material matter where a substantial directors or a director has a conflict of interest)?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	Please give reasons for your views.		
Questi	on 55.	Do you agree with our proposals to add a note to CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) stating that attendance at board meetings can be achieved by telephonic or video conferencing?		
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Please give reasons for your views.			
	This p	rovides flexibility for directors and enhances directors' participation at board ngs.		
B.	Direct	ors' Attendance at Board Meetings		
Questi	on 56.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the notes to paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14 (on attendance at board meetings) as described in paragraph 195 of the Consultation Paper?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	Please give reasons for your views.		

Question 57.		Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new requirement (paragraph I(d) to Appendix 14) that attendance by an alternate should not be counted as attendance by the director himself?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		the Companies Ordinance, alternate directorship is legally recognised. In on, it is accepted worldwide generally.
Questio	on 58.	Do you agree with our proposal that an issuer disclose, for each named director, the number of board or committee meetings he attended and separately the number of board or committee meetings attended by his alternate?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Remov an Inte	ring Five Percent Threshold for Voting on a Resolution in which a Director has rest
Questio	on 59.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 13.44 to remove the exemption described in paragraph 199 (transactions where a director has an interest)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		s unnecessarily restrictive for directors who hold insignificant holdings in unies contracting with the issuer.

7. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Question 60.		Do you agree with our proposal to remove the words "at the board level" from Code Principle A.2 to clarify the division between management of the board and day-to-day management of an issuer's business?				
	\boxtimes	Yes				
		No				
	Please	Please give reasons for your views.				
Questic	on 61.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.2.3 to add "accurate" and "clear" to describe the information that the chairman should ensure directors receive?				
		Yes				
		No				
	Please	give reasons for your views.				
Questic	on 62.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.4 to a CP to give greater emphasis to the chairman's duty to provide leadership for the board, to ensure that the board works effectively and discharges its responsibilities, etc.?				
	\boxtimes	Yes				
		No				
	Please	give reasons for your views.				

Question 63.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.5 to a CP and amend it to state: "The chairman should take primary responsibility for ensuring that good corporate governance practices and procedures are established"?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	should	corporate governance is the responsibility of the entire board. The chairman d lead such impetus within the issuer but should not shoulder primary assibility therefor.
Questio	on 64.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.6 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's responsibility to encourage directors with different views to voice their concerns, allow sufficient time for discussion of issues and build consensus?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 65.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.7 to a CP and amend it to state that the chairman should hold separate meetings with only INEDs and only NEDs at least once a year?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		atterests and responsibilities of NEDs and INEDs should be aligned. For issuers a small board, separate meetings might not be practical or useful.

Questio	on 66.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.8 to a CP to highlight the chairman's role to ensure effective communication between the board and shareholders?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 67.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.9 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's role to enable NED contributions and constructive relations between EDs and NEDs?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
8.	Notify	ing directorship change and disclosure of directors' information
Questio	on 68.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to require issuers to disclose the retirement or removal of a director or supervisor?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 69.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to apply to the appointment, resignation, re-designation, retirement or removal of a CEO (and not only to a director or supervisor)?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 70.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2)(o) to cover all civil judgments of fraud, breach of duty or other misconduct involving dishonesty?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 71.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51B(3)(c) to clarify that the sanctions referred to in that Rule are those made against the issuer (and not those of other issuers)?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
We ha	ave no strong views on this issue.

Questi	on 72.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.3.3 to a CP to ensure that directors' information is published on an issuer's website?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 73.	Do you agree with our proposed amendment to the CP (RBP A.3.3 upgraded) that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
9.	Provid	ding Management Accounts or Management Updates to the Board
Questi	on 74.	Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provide board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	perfor INED	sagree that monthly management updates are required for NEDs and INEDs to me their duties. Quarterly updates should be sufficient for the NEDs and s, combined with their right to request information at any time if they wish, to arge their obligations. The timing of the quarterly updates could be aligned with

We disagree that monthly management updates are required for NEDs and INEDs to perform their duties. Quarterly updates should be sufficient for the NEDs and INEDs, combined with their right to request information at any time if they wish, to discharge their obligations. The timing of the quarterly updates could be aligned with the four requisite board meetings to be held annually under the Code. This would allow NEDs and INEDs to request for additional information if they observed matters requiring their attention in a quarterly update. The Code should not be revised to require NEDs and INEDs to be involved in the day-to-day management of the Issuer. Monthly updates would move these Directors into that realm.

10.		Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the 's Subsidiaries
Questio	on 75.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix) removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 76.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
11.	Disclos Value	sing Long Term Basis on which an Issuer Generates or Preserves Business
Questio	on 77.	Do you agree that we should introduce the proposed CP (CP C.1.4) as described in paragraph 250 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

We cannot agree with paragraph 250 in its entirety. We agree with the first sentence that proposes to include the explanation. However we do not agree that there should be a "separate statement containing a discussion and analysis of the group's performance". Perhaps better to cross reference to Appendix 16 paragraph 32 for clarity that there is no intention to give rise to a new separate statement.

12. Directors' Insurance

Questio	on 78.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.1.9 (issuers should arrange appropriate insurance for directors) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.1.8)?			
	\boxtimes	Yes			
		No			
	Please	Please give reasons for your views.			
Questio	on 79.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the words "adequate and general" to RBP A.1.9 (upgraded and re-numbered CP A.1.8)?			
	\boxtimes	Yes			
		No			
	Please give reasons for your views.				
PART	II: SH	AREHOLDERS			
1.	Sharel	nolders' General Meetings			
A.	Notice	of Meeting and Bundling of Resolutions			
Questio	on 80.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.1.1 to state that issuers should avoid "bundling" of resolutions and where they are "bundled" explain the reasons and material implications in the notice of meeting?			
	\boxtimes	Yes			
		No			

	riease	Flease give leasons for your views.			
В.	Voting	g by Poll			
Quest	ion 81.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.39(4) to allow a chairman at a general meeting to exempt procedural and administrative matters described in paragraph 274 of the Consultation Paper from voting by poll?			
		Yes			
		No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
Quest	ion 82.	Do you agree with the examples of procedural and administrative resolutions in paragraph 275 of the Consultation paper? Do you have any other examples to add?			
		Yes			
		No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
Quest	ion 83.	Do you agree that our proposed amendments to Rule 13.39(5) clarify disclosure in poll results?			
		Yes			
		No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
	The a	mendments provide clarity.			

Question 84		Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.2.1 to remove the words "at the commencement of the meeting" so that an issuer's chairman can explain the procedures for conducting a poll later during a general meeting?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Shareh	olders' Approval to Appoint and Remove an Auditor
Questio	on 85.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new Rule 13.88 to require shareholder approval to appoint the issuer's auditor?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	amend Counc vacand unduly	proposal needs to be consistent with the Companies ordinance and proposed liments contained in the Companies Bill currently laid before Legislative il. In addition it needs to be properly worded to cater for filled causal cies, however they arise, and the filling of a casual vacancy should not be held up by the need to call a shareholder meeting. Until the proposal for vacancies is addressed, a Yes answer to this question cannot be given.
Questio	on 86.	Do you agree with our proposal to add, in new Rule 13.88, a requirement for shareholder approval to remove the issuer's auditor before the end of his term of office?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

This is a flawed proposal for practical reasons. It would be cumbersome and very expensive to call shareholder meetings for this issue which could arise as a matter on its own requiring large expense to shareholders for one matter to be put to vote. Shareholders are unlikely to be in a position to exercise sound judgement without a business background and may react to the lowest common denominator being the lowest cost bidder for audit services, without proper consideration of quality protection of shareholder interests.

The current requirement for an audit committee to monitor the appointment, removal and relationship with the auditor, including having private sessions with them provides adequate governance for this function.

A better proposal would be: (1) to have shareholders ratify or not ratify at the next AGM a change, with the Board required to make a recommendation, explain the reason for the change and to have tabled by the former auditor their comments on their ceasing to act; and (2) to have a requirement for a physical meeting of the Board with a majority of INEDs present to consider and make a change and the right of the former auditor to attend in person or lay before the meeting written representation of any comments they wish to make; (3) to require the audit committee to approve any proposed change of the auditor and make a recommendation to the Board after a physical meeting with both the auditor and the management and private sessions on this issue.

Question 87.		Do you agree that the new Rule 13.88 should require a circular for the removal of the auditor to shareholders containing any written representation from the auditor and allow the auditor to make written and/or verbal representation at the general meeting to remove him?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	anythi and a partne the Co	refer to our answer to Question 86. It would be expensive and may not containing to bring to the attention of the shareholders, for example on merger of firms change pursuant to that, or a firm ceasing to continue in business as a riship dissolves for internal issues. As proposed above, this Rule could be that ompany is required to include with the notice of the AGM any circular that the rauditor wishes to include, subject to auditor not making untrue or prejudicial ents.
D.	Directo	ors' Attendance at Meetings
Questio	on 88.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance at meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 89.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make a positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policies) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 90.	provision in Appendix 23 (re-numbered paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14) stating that issuer must disclose details of attendance at general meetings of each director by name?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 91.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state the issuer's chairman should arrange for the chairman of "any other committees" to attend the annual general meeting?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Gener	nairman can invite but cannot be bound to procure attendance of directors at al Meetings. Further, there should be flexibility for attendance by the nan or committee members.
E.	Audito	or's Attendance at Annual General Meetings
Questio	on 92.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state that the chairman should arrange for the auditor to attend the issuer's annual general meeting to answer questions about the conduct of the audit, the preparation and content of the auditors' report, the accounting policies and auditor independence?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	issuer'	we agree that the chairman could "arrange" for the auditor to attend an s Annual General Meeting (possibly by way of contract), the chairman should held liable should the auditor fail to show up.

2.	Shareho	lders' Rights
Quest	tion 93.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of "shareholders' rights" under paragraph 3 (b) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph O of Appendix 14)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Comm	unication with Shareholders
A.	Establi	shing a Communication Policy
Quest	tion 94.	Do you agree with our proposed new CP E.1.4 stating that issuers should establish a shareholder communication policy?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
B.	Publish	ning Constitutional Documents on Website
Quest	tion 95.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.90 requiring issuers to publish an updated and consolidated version of their M & A or constitutional documents on their own website and the HKEx website?
		Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

C. Publishing Procedures for Election of Directors		hing Procedures for Election of Directors
Quest	ion 96.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.51D requiring an issuer to publish the procedures for shareholders to propose a person for election as a director on its website?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
D. 1	Disclosi	ng Significant Changes to Constitutional Documents
Question 97.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of any significant change in the issuer's articles of association under paragraph 3(c)(i) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph P(a) of Appendix 14)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
PART	TIII: C	OMPANY SECRETARY
1.	Comp	any Secretary's Qualifications, Experience and Training
Question 98.		Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Rule 3.28 on requirements for company secretaries' qualifications and experience?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	n 99.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider as acceptable the list of qualifications for company secretaries set out in paragraph 345 of the Consultation Paper?
Ī	\boxtimes	Yes
I		No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	n 100.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions?
[\boxtimes	Yes
I		No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	n 101.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong?
[Yes
[\boxtimes	No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
L		

Questio	on 102.	Do you agree with our proposal to repeal Rule 19A.16 so that Mainland issuers' company secretaries would need to meet the same requirements as for other countries?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 103.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a Rule 3.29 requiring company secretaries to attend 15 hours of professional training per financial year?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 104.	Do you agree with the proposed transitional arrangement on compliance with Rule 3.29 in paragraph 350 of the Consultation Paper?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		te the transitional nature on compliance is more lenient than that for the tory CPD programme of HKICS.

2. New Section in Code on Company Secretary

Do you agree with our proposal to include a new section of the Code on company secretary?
Yes
No
give reasons for your views.
Do you agree with the proposed principle as described in paragraph 362 of the Consultation Paper and set out in full in page 27 of Appendix II?
Yes
No
give reasons for your views.
Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.1 stating the company secretary should be an employee of the issuer and have knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day affairs?
Yes
No
give reasons for your views.

Question		Consultation Paper, that if an issuer employs an external service provider, it should disclose the identity of its issuer contact person?
		Yes
		No
P	lease	give reasons for your views.
Question	109.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.2 stating that the selection, appointment or dismissal of the company secretary should be the subject of a board decision?
		Yes
		No
P	lease	give reasons for your views.
	-	osition of the Company Secretary is important to an issuer as he/she is an of the issuer.
Question	110.	Do you agree with our proposed note to CP F.1.2 stating that the board decision to select, appoint or dismiss the company secretary should be made at a physical board meeting and not dealt with by written board resolution?
		Yes
		No
P	lease	give reasons for your views.
We have no strong views on this		ve no strong views on this issue.

Questio	on 111.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.3 stating that the company secretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	There	should be flexibility for each issuer to determine its own reporting line.
Questio	on 112.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the company secretary should maintain a record of directors training?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
СНАР	TER 3	: PROPOSED NON-SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS
1.	Defini	tion of "Announcement" and "Announce"
Questio	on 113.	Do you agree with our proposal to include a definition in the Rules for the terms "announcement" and "announce" as described in paragraph 371 of the Consultation Paper?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	We ha	ve no strong views on this issue.

2. Authorised Representatives' Contact Details

Questic	on 114.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 3.06(1) to add a reference to authorised representatives "mobile and other telephone numbers, email and correspondence addresses" and "any other contract details prescribed by the Exchange may prescribe from time to time"?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Mergii	ng Corporate Governance Report Requirements into Appendix 14
Questic	on 115.	Do you agree with our proposal to merge Appendix 23 into Appendix 14 for ease of reference?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	We ha	ve no strong views on this issue.
Questic	on 116.	Do you agree with our proposal to streamline Appendix 23 and to make plain language amendments to it?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	We ha	ve no strong views on this issue.