Consultation Questions Part B

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the **HKEx** website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/documents/cp2010124.pdf.

Where there	is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.
CHAPTER	1: INTRODUCTION
Plain Writi	ng Amendments
Question 1.	Do you have any comments on the plainer writing amendments? Do you consider any part(s) of the plainer writing amendments will have unintended consequences?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
CHAPTER	2: PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS
	IRECTORS
1. Dire	ctors' Duties and Time Commitments
Question 2.	Do you agree with our proposed change to Rule 3.08 to clarify the responsibilities the Exchange expects of directors?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.

Question 3.		Do you agree with our proposed addition of the Note to Rule 3.08 referring to the guidance issued by the Companies Registry and HKIOD?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ver, reference should not be limited to the above guidance. Directors may also eference from other guidance.
Questio	on 4.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(e)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should regularly review the time required from a director to perform his responsibilities to the issuer, and whether he is meeting that requirement?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	to revi whethe duty as experi- time th	practice difficult for the nomination committee to set the basis on which it is ew the time required of a director, and the basis on which it is to assess er a director is meeting that requirement. Directors are adults with a sense of and responsibility, they possess different qualifications, expertise and ence – they are themselves the best people to know and determine how much ney require to perform their responsibilities to the issuer. The amount of time ed of each director in discharging his responsibilities would be different.
Questio	on 5.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(f)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should review NEDs' annual confirmation that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	see answer to Question 4.

Questio	on 6.	Do you agree to include a disclosure requirement in the Corporate Governance Report (paragraph $L(d)(ii)$ of Appendix 14) that NEDs have made annual confirmation to the nomination committee that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	see answer to Question 4.
Questio	on 7.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3(re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that a director should limit his other professional commitments and acknowledge to the issuer that he will have sufficient time to meet his obligations?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	meet h	asonable to ask directors to acknowledge that he will have sufficient time to its obligations. However, we do not agree limiting a director's other sional commitments.
Questio	on 8.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3 (re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that an NED should confirm annually to the nomination committee that he has spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	see answer to Question 4.

Question 9.		Do you agree to upgrading RBP D.1.4 to a CP (re-numbered CP D.1.4) and amending it to state that an NED's letter of appointment should set out the expected time commitment?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	see answer to Question 4.
Questio	on 10.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP A.5.6 to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.6) and to amending it to encourage timeliness of disclosure by a director to the issuer on any change to his significant commitments?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 11.	Do you consider that there should be a limit on the number of INED positions an individual may hold?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	expert	refer to answer to Question 4. Directors have different qualifications, ise and experience – they are themselves the best people to know how many positions they are capable of holding.
Questio	on 12.	If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", what should be the number? Please give reasons for your views.
	N/A	

Questi	on 13.	a CP?
		Rule
		CP
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
	N/A	
2.	Direct	tors' Training and Independent Non-executive Directors
Questi	on 14.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous professional development) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.5)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 15.	Do you agree that the minimum number of hours of directors training should be eight?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
	If RB	P A.5.5 is upgraded to a CP, we would prefer five hours to start with.
Questi	on 16.	What training methods do you consider to be acceptable for the requirements stated in the proposed CP (re-numbered RBP A.6.5)? Please give reasons for your views.
	If RB	P A5.5 is upgraded to a CP, then the training method should be flexible,

discussed.

including all those set out in paragraph 66 of the consultation paper. Other methods could include participation in company meetings where analysis or legal advice on relevant laws or regulations (in general or in respect of a particular transaction) is

Questio	n 17.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.3.2 (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) to a Rule (re-numbered Rule 3.10A)?
[\boxtimes	Yes
[No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question	n 18.	Do you agree that this Rule (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) be effective after a transitional period as described in paragraph 87 of the Consultation Paper?
[\sum	Yes
[No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question	n 19.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.4.3 (shareholder to vote on a separate resolution for the further employment of an INED who has served more than nine years) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.4.3)?
[Yes
]	\sum	No
]	Please	give reasons for your views.
		of the length of service of an INED that matters, it is his quality and tence acting as an INED.

Questi	on 20.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.4.8 (issuer should include explanation of its reasons for election and independence of an INED in circular) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.5.5)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		explanation will likely be provided purely for the purpose of complying with lities and requirements, it is unlikely to be useful to shareholders.
3.	Board	Committees
A.	Remur	neration Committee
Questi	on 21.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to establish a remuneration committee with a majority of INED members from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.25)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 22.	Do you agree with our proposal that the remuneration committee must be chaired by an INED?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 23.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to have

B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.26)?

written terms of reference for the remuneration committee from the Code (CP

	\times	Yes
		No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question	n 24.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule (Rule 3.27) requiring an issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27?
	\boxtimes	Yes
[No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.
	We ag	ree for Rules 3.26 and 3.27 only. For Rule 3.25, please see answer to Question
Question	n 25.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers that fail to meet Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27 should have three months to rectify this?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	see answer to Question 24.
Question	n 26.	Do you agree that we should add "independent" to the professional advice made available to a remuneration committee (CP B.1.2, re-numbered CP B.1.1)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 27.	Do you agree that, in order to accommodate Model B, we should revise CP B.1.3 (re-numbered CP B.1.2) as described in paragraph 117 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 28.	(i) Do you agree that where the board resolves to approve any remuneration with which the remuneration committee disagrees, the board should disclose the reasons for its resolution in its corporate governance report)? (ii) If your answer is "yes", do you agree that RBP B.1.8 should be revised and upgraded to a CP (re-numbered CP B.1.6).
	(i)	Yes No
	(ii)	Yes No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 29.	Do you agree that the term "performance-based" should be deleted from CP B.1.2(c) (re-numbered CP B.1.2(b)) and revised as described in paragraph 118 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 30.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.4 (establishment and composition of a nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.1) should be upgraded to a CP?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		oard, as a whole, is responsible for and capable of handling the work proposed referred to a nomination committee.
Questio	on 31.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.4) should state that the nomination committee's chairman should be an INED?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ecutive director as chairman could provide deeper insight as to the ements of the issuer.
Questio	on 32.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.5 (nomination committee's terms of reference, renumbered CP A.5.2) should be upgraded to a CP?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	But pl	ease see answer to Question 30.

B.

Nomination Committee

Questi	on 33.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should be performed at least once a year?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 34.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should implement the issuer's corporate strategy?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N/A	
Questi	on 35.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.6 (availability of nomination committee's terms of reference) should be upgraded to a CP?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	But pl	ease see answer to Question 30.

Questi	on 36.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.6, re-numbered CP A.5.3) should state that issuers should include their nomination committee's terms of reference on the HKEx website?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	But pl	ease see answer to Question 30.
Questi	on 37.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.7 (sufficient resources for the nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should be upgraded to a CP?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	But pl	ease see answer to Question 30.
Questi	on 38.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.7, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should clarify that a nomination committee should be able to seek independent professional advice at the issuer's expense?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	But pl	ease see answer to Question 30.

C.	Corporate Governance Committee	
Questio	on 39.	Do you agree with the proposed terms of reference listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons and alternative suggestions.
Question 40.		Do you consider that the committee(s) performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should submit to the board a written report on its work annually?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	No str	ong views.
Questio	on 41.	Do you consider that this report (as described in paragraph 140 of the Consultation Paper) should be published as part of the issuer's corporate governance report?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	No str	ong views.

Question 42.		Do you agree with introducing RBP D.3.3 stating that an issuer should establish a corporate governance committee?
	\boxtimes	Yes
[No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question	n 43.	Do you agree the duties of an existing committee or committees can be expanded to include those of a corporate governance committee?
[Yes
	\boxtimes	No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.
		ills set of existing committees may not be appropriate for taking on corporate nance responsibilities.
Question	n 44.	Do you agree with the addition of CP D.3.2 stating that the committee performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should comprise a majority of INEDs?
[Yes
[No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.
]	No stro	ong views.

Question 45.		Do you agree with the proposal to add a note to CP D.3.2 stating that the committee should include one member who is an executive director or non-executive director with sufficient knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day operations?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
D.	Audit	committee	
Questi	on 46.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.3.7 (audit committee's terms of reference should include arrangements for employees to raise concerns about improprieties in financial reporting) to a CP?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
Questi	on 47.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP C.3.3(e)(i) to state that the audit committee should meet the external auditor at least twice a year?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	

Question 48.		Do you agree that a new RBP should be introduced to encourage audit committees to establish a whistleblowing policy?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
4.	Remu	neration of Directors, CEO and Senior Management
Questio	on 49.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers should disclose senior management remuneration by band (Appendix 16, new paragraph 25A)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 50.	If your answer to Question 49 is yes, do you agree with our proposal that senior management remuneration disclosure should include sales commission?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N/A	

Questi	on 51.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Appendix 16 to require an issuer to disclose the CEO's remuneration in its annual report and by name?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 52.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP B.1.6 to a CP (a significant proportion of executive directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rewards to corporate and individual performance, re-numbered CP B.1.5)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		should maintain its authority to determine, or to authorise its remuneration ittee to determine, the structure of its executive directors' remuneration.
5.	Board	Evaluation
Questi	on 53.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new RBP B.1.8 that issuers should conduct a regular evaluation of its own and individual directors' performance?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Unabl	e to give any views without details regarding such evaluations.

6. Board Meetings

A.	Considering a matter where there is a conflict of interest by a physical board meeting rather than a written board resolution	
Question 54.		Do you agree that, except for plain language amendments, the wording of CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) should be retained (issuers to hold a board meeting to discuss resolutions on a material matter where a substantial directors or a director has a conflict of interest)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Conve	fors will consider written resolutions in the same way under whatever situation. Ening of a physical meeting takes time and written resolutions allow all cors to consider a matter efficiently where there is time constraint in preparing tranging for directors to meet.
Question 55.		Do you agree with our proposals to add a note to CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) stating that attendance at board meetings can be achieved by telephonic or video conferencing?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
В.	Direct	ors' Attendance at Board Meetings
Questi	on 56.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the notes to paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14 (on attendance at board meetings) as described in paragraph 195 of the Consultation Paper?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 57.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new requirement (paragraph I(d) to Appendix 14) that attendance by an alternate should not be counted as attendance by the director himself?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 58.	Do you agree with our proposal that an issuer disclose, for each named director, the number of board or committee meetings he attended and separately the number of board or committee meetings attended by his alternate?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Remov an Inte	ving Five Percent Threshold for Voting on a Resolution in which a Director has rest
Questi	on 59.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 13.44 to remove the exemption described in paragraph 199 (transactions where a director has an interest)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		s unnecessarily restrictive for directors who hold insignificant shareholdings in unies contracting with the issuer.

7. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Question 60.		Do you agree with our proposal to remove the words "at the board level" from Code Principle A.2 to clarify the division between management of the board and day-to-day management of an issuer's business?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
Questio	on 61.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.2.3 to add "accurate" and "clear" to describe the information that the chairman should ensure directors receive?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
Questic	on 62.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.4 to a CP to give greater emphasis to the chairman's duty to provide leadership for the board, to ensure that the board works effectively and discharges its responsibilities, etc.?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	

Question 63.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.5 to a CP and amend it to state: "The chairman should take primary responsibility for ensuring that good corporate governance practices and procedures are established"?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	_	rate governance is the responsibility of the entire board. The chairman leads mpetus but should not shoulder primary responsibility for it.
Question 64.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.6 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's responsibility to encourage directors with different views to voice their concerns, allow sufficient time for discussion of issues and build consensus?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 65.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.7 to a CP and amend it to state that the chairman should hold separate meetings with only INEDs and only NEDs at least once a year?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 66.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.8 to a CP to highlight the chairman's role to ensure effective communication between the board and shareholders?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 67		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.9 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's role to enable NED contributions and constructive relations between EDs and NEDs?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
8.	Notify	ing directorship change and disclosure of directors' information
Questio	on 68.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to require issuers to disclose the retirement or removal of a director or supervisor?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 69.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to apply to the appointment, resignation, re-designation, retirement or removal of a CEO (and not only to a director or supervisor)?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 70.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2)(o) to cover all civil judgments of fraud, breach of duty or other misconduct involving dishonesty?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 71.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51B(3)(c) to clarify that the sanctions referred to in that Rule are those made against the issuer (and not those of other issuers)?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
No str	rong views.

Questi	on 72.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.3.3 to a CP to ensure that directors' information is published on an issuer's website?		
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
Questi	on 73.	Do you agree with our proposed amendment to the CP (RBP A.3.3 upgraded) that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website?		
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
9.	Provid	ding Management Accounts or Management Updates to the Board		
Questi	on 74.	Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provide board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the Consultation Paper?		
		Yes		
	\boxtimes	No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
	basis. for inf	It should be noted that non-executive directors already have the right to request formation they require at any time from executive directors or senior gement. The Code should not be revised to involve non-executive directors in by to day management of the issuer – monthly updates will move them into that .		

10.		Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the 's Subsidiaries
Questi	on 75.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(a)(viii) and (ix) removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 76.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
11.	Disclo Value	sing Long Term Basis on which an Issuer Generates or Preserves Business
Questi	on 77.	Do you agree that we should introduce the proposed CP (CP C.1.4) as described in paragraph 250 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		s agreed if the separate statement is the statement referred to in paragraph 32 of adix 16.

12.	Directors' Insurance	
Questio	on 78.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.1.9 (issuers should arrange appropriate insurance for directors) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.1.8)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 79.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the words "adequate and general" to RBP A.1.9 (upgraded and re-numbered CP A.1.8)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
PART	II: SH	AREHOLDERS
1.	Sharel	holders' General Meetings
A.	Notice	of Meeting and Bundling of Resolutions
Questio	on 80.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.1.1 to state that issuers should avoid "bundling" of resolutions and where they are "bundled" explain the reasons and material implications in the notice of meeting?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

B.	Voting by Poll	
Questi	on 81.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.39(4) to allow a chairman at a general meeting to exempt procedural and administrative matters described in paragraph 274 of the Consultation Paper from voting by poll?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 82.	Do you agree with the examples of procedural and administrative resolutions in paragraph 275 of the Consultation paper? Do you have any other examples to add?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Paragr	aph 275 (a)(iii) should include the hoisting of black rainstorm warning.
Questi	on 83.	Do you agree that our proposed amendments to Rule 13.39(5) clarify disclosure in poll results?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

		the commencement of the meeting" so that an issuer's chairman can explain the procedures for conducting a poll later during a general meeting?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Shareh	nolders' Approval to Appoint and Remove an Auditor
Questio	on 85.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new Rule 13.88 to require shareholder approval to appoint the issuer's auditor?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	quickl shareh	roposal does not take into account the necessity to fill a casual vacancy y. The audit committee reviews the appointment of external auditors and solders are already given the power to approve or disapprove the rentment of auditors at the annual general meeting.
Questio	on 86.	Do you agree with our proposal to add, in new Rule 13.88, a requirement for shareholder approval to remove the issuer's auditor before the end of his term of office?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	safegu shareh genera	roposal is unnecessarily costly for an issue which shareholders already have lards – the audit committee reviews the removal of external auditors and holders are already given the power to approve or disapprove at the annual all meeting the re-appointment of auditors appointed by directors to replace the rs they remove.

Question 84. Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.2.1 to remove the words "at

Question 87.		Do you agree that the new Rule 13.88 should require a circular for the removal of the auditor to shareholders containing any written representation from the auditor and allow the auditor to make written and/or verbal representation at the general meeting to remove him?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	see answer to Question 86.
D.	Direct	ors' Attendance at Meetings
Questi	on 88.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance at meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 89.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make a positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policies) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questi	on 90.	provision in Appendix 23 (re-numbered paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14) stating that issuer must disclose details of attendance at general meetings of each director by name?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 91.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state the issuer's chairman should arrange for the chairman of "any other committees" to attend the annual general meeting?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		nairman can invite committee chairman and members to attend the annual all meeting, but he cannot be bound to procure their attendance.
E.	Audito	or's Attendance at Annual General Meetings
Questi	on 92.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state that the chairman should arrange for the auditor to attend the issuer's annual general meeting to answer questions about the conduct of the audit, the preparation and content of the auditors' report, the accounting policies and auditor independence?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

2.	Shareho	Shareholders' Rights	
Ques	tion 93.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of "shareholders' rights" under paragraph 3 (b) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph O of Appendix 14)?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
3.	Comm	nunication with Shareholders	
A.	Establi	shing a Communication Policy	
Ques	tion 94.	Do you agree with our proposed new CP E.1.4 stating that issuers should establish a shareholder communication policy?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
B.	Publish	ning Constitutional Documents on Website	
Ques	tion 95.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.90 requiring issuers to publish an updated and consolidated version of their M & A or constitutional documents on their own website and the HKEx website?	
		Yes	

No

Please give reasons for your views.

C.	C. Publishing Procedures for Election of Directors	
Question 96		Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.51D requiring an issuer to publish the procedures for shareholders to propose a person for election as a director on its website?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
D. 1	Disclosi	ng Significant Changes to Constitutional Documents
Question 97.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of any significant change in the issuer's articles of association under paragraph 3(c)(i) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph P(a) of Appendix 14)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
PART	TIII: C	OMPANY SECRETARY
1.	Comp	any Secretary's Qualifications, Experience and Training
Quest	ion 98.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Rule 3.28 on requirements for company secretaries' qualifications and experience?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

No Please give reasons for your views. Agree to (b) and (c), not (d). Question 100. Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Question 101. Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. The same reason why an external service provider should not act as company secretary of a listed company.	Questio	on 99.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider as acceptable the list of qualifications for company secretaries set out in paragraph 345 of the Consultation Paper?
Please give reasons for your views. Agree to (b) and (c), not (d). Question 100. Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Question 101. Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. The same reason why an external service provider should not act as company		\boxtimes	Yes
Agree to (b) and (c), not (d). Question 100. Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Question 101. Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. The same reason why an external service provider should not act as company			No
Question 100. Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Question 101. Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. The same reason why an external service provider should not act as company		Please	give reasons for your views.
paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. Question 101. Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. The same reason why an external service provider should not act as company		Agree	to (b) and (c), not (d).
□ No Please give reasons for your views. Question 101. Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong? □ Yes □ No Please give reasons for your views. The same reason why an external service provider should not act as company	Questio	on 100.	paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has
Please give reasons for your views. Question 101. Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. The same reason why an external service provider should not act as company		\boxtimes	Yes
Question 101. Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. The same reason why an external service provider should not act as company			No
secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. The same reason why an external service provider should not act as company		Please	give reasons for your views.
secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. The same reason why an external service provider should not act as company			
No Please give reasons for your views. The same reason why an external service provider should not act as company	Questio	on 101.	
Please give reasons for your views. The same reason why an external service provider should not act as company			Yes
The same reason why an external service provider should not act as company		\boxtimes	No
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 102.		Do you agree with our proposal to repeal Rule 19A.16 so that Mainland issuers' company secretaries would need to meet the same requirements as for other countries?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 103.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a Rule 3.29 requiring company secretaries to attend 15 hours of professional training per financial year?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 104.	Do you agree with the proposed transitional arrangement on compliance with Rule 3.29 in paragraph 350 of the Consultation Paper?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

2. New Section in Code on Company Secretary

Question 105.	Do you agree with our proposal to include a new section of the Code on company secretary?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 106.	Do you agree with the proposed principle as described in paragraph 362 of the Consultation Paper and set out in full in page 27 of Appendix II?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 107.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.1 stating the company secretary should be an employee of the issuer and have knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day affairs?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 108.	Consultation Paper, that if an issuer employs an external service provider, it should disclose the identity of its issuer contact person?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Yes.	
Questio	on 109.	Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.2 stating that the selection, appointment or dismissal of the company secretary should be the subject of a board decision?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 110.	Do you agree with our proposed note to CP F.1.2 stating that the board decision to select, appoint or dismiss the company secretary should be made at a physical board meeting and not dealt with by written board resolution?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	No str	ong views.

Questio	on 111.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.3 stating that the company secretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please give reasons for your views.		
Questio	on 112.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the company secretary should maintain a record of directors training?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
СНАР	TER 3	: PROPOSED NON-SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS	
1.	Defini	tion of "Announcement" and "Announce"	
Questio	on 113.	Do you agree with our proposal to include a definition in the Rules for the terms "announcement" and "announce" as described in paragraph 371 of the Consultation Paper?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	

2. **Authorised Representatives' Contact Details** Question 114. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 3.06(1) to add a reference to authorised representatives "mobile and other telephone numbers, email and correspondence addresses" and "any other contract details prescribed by the Exchange may prescribe from time to time"? \boxtimes Yes No Please give reasons for your views. **3.** Merging Corporate Governance Report Requirements into Appendix 14 Question 115. Do you agree with our proposal to merge Appendix 23 into Appendix 14 for ease of reference? Yes No Please give reasons for your views. No strong views. Questi

ion 116.	Do you agree with our proposal to streamline Appendix 23 and to make plain language amendments to it?
	Yes
	No
Please	give reasons for your views.
No str	rong views.