Consultation Questions Part B

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the **HKEx** website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/documents/cp2010124.pdf.

Where there	is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.
CHAPTER	1: INTRODUCTION
Plain Writi	ng Amendments
Question 1.	Do you have any comments on the plainer writing amendments? Do you consider any part(s) of the plainer writing amendments will have unintended consequences?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
CHAPTER	2: PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS
	IRECTORS
1. Dire	ctors' Duties and Time Commitments
Question 2.	Do you agree with our proposed change to Rule 3.08 to clarify the responsibilities the Exchange expects of directors?
	Yes
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.

Question 3.		Do you agree with our proposed addition of the Note to Rule 3.08 referring to the guidance issued by the Companies Registry and HKIOD?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	howev respon	agree to clarifying the responsibility the Exchange expects of directors, were we feel that Rule 3.08 has already clearly outlined the duties and asibilities of directors therefore it is unnecessary to make further references to sets of principle and guidance.
Questio	on 4.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(e)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should regularly review the time required from a director to perform his responsibilities to the issuer, and whether he is meeting that requirement?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	discha work. hard to suffici	spend should not be a decisive factor in evaluating how effective a director rges his/her responsibilities. We should focus on the quality and outcome of Different people work and mange their work and time differently therefore it is come to a view of how much time should be required and considered to be ent. Time sufficiency also depends on the level of business activities of the any during the year.
Questio	on 5.	Do you agree to include a new duty (CP A.5.2(f)) in the nomination committee's written terms of reference that it should review NEDs' annual confirmation that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to our answer to Question 4.

Questio	on 6.	Do you agree to include a disclosure requirement in the Corporate Governance Report (paragraph L(d)(ii) of Appendix 14) that NEDs have made annual confirmation to the nomination committee that they have spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to our answer to Question 4.
Questio	on 7.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3(re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that a director should limit his other professional commitments and acknowledge to the issuer that he will have sufficient time to meet his obligations?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	limit o	ent people have different capacity for work and it is not necessary to impose a in the amount of work that one requires to take on. The company will exercise ition in deciding whether a director will have the capacity to fulfil his/her asibilities.
Questio	on 8.	Do you agree to expanding CP A.5.3 (re-numbered CP A.6.3) to state that an NED should confirm annually to the nomination committee that he has spent sufficient time on the issuer's business?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to our answer to Question 4.

Question 9.		Do you agree to upgrading RBP D.1.4 to a CP (re-numbered CP D.1.4) and amending it to state that an NED's letter of appointment should set out the expected time commitment?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	hard to on the year, to take on	not think it is appropriate to set a specific expected time commitment. It is a quantify in advance just by estimates. The actual time required would depend amount of business activities and transactions a company may have during the he amount is subject to change, and how much responsibility a director would in the board. For example, how many committees he/she sits on; the boad of each particular committee or whether if he/she is the chairperson or a ter.
Questio	on 10.	Do you agree to upgrading RBP A.5.6 to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.6) and to amending it to encourage timeliness of disclosure by a director to the issuer on any change to his significant commitments?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 11.	Do you consider that there should be a limit on the number of INED positions an individual may hold?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	So the busine	director would have sufficient time to meet his/her obligations to the issuer's ss.

Question 12. If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", what should be the number? Please give reasons for your views.

	There	is not a specific number but a limit should be set.
Questio	on 13.	If your answer to Question 11 is "yes", do you think that it should be a Rule or a CP?
	\boxtimes	Rule
		CP
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	It will	be easier to enforce.
2.	Direct	ors' Training and Independent Non-executive Directors
Questio	on 14.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.5.5 (requirement for continuous professional development) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.5)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	directo	o not think it is necessary to upgrade training requirements to a CP. Most ors are individuals experienced with business, legal and accounting issues and are practicing professionals. This requirement can remain as a RBP.
Questio	on 15.	Do you agree that the minimum number of hours of directors training should be eight?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	We do	o not see the need of a mandatory requirement of training.
Questio	on 16.	What training methods do you consider to be acceptable for the requirements stated in the proposed CP (re-numbered RBP A.6.5)? Please give reasons for

your views.

	We do	o not see the need of a mandatory requirement of training.
Questio	on 17.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.3.2 (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) to a Rule (re-numbered Rule 3.10A)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	compl	entioned in the consultation paper, 79% of issuers in Hong Kong are in liance with this, so we do not object to making this a Rule therefore we do not age any difficulty in complying.
Questio	on 18.	Do you agree that this Rule (at least one-third of an issuer's board should be INEDs) be effective after a transitional period as described in paragraph 87 of the Consultation Paper?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	e refer to our answer to Question 17.
Questio	on 19.	Do you agree that we should upgrade RBP A.4.3 (shareholder to vote on a separate resolution for the further employment of an INED who has served more than nine years) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.4.3)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questi	on 20.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.4.8 (issuer should include explanation of its reasons for election and independence of an INED in a circular) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.5.5)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	shareh	gree that information about the directors to be re-elected is disclosed properly to holders at the time of re-election, therefore, explanation of reasons for election dependence of an INED is necessary. We agree that this requirement be made
3.	Board	Committees
A.	Remui	neration Committee
Questi	on 21.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to establish a remuneration committee with a majority of INED members from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.25)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 22.	Do you agree with our proposal that the remuneration committee must be chaired by an INED?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	agree	ecision on who will be the chairman can be left to the committee members to amongst themselves through open nomination and elections within the littee. Whoever chairs the meeting, it is the majority view that will prevail in d.

Question 23		Do you agree with our proposal to move the requirement for issuers to have written terms of reference for the remuneration committee from the Code (CP B.1.1) to the Rules (Rule 3.26)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		sagree to move the requirement to the Rules because we believe flexibility d be maintained.
Questi	on 24.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule (Rule 3.27) requiring an issuer to make an announcement if it fails to meet the requirements of proposed Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 25.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers that fail to meet Rules 3.25, 3.26 and 3.27 should have three months to rectify this?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
Questi	on 26.	Do you agree that we should add "independent" to the professional advice made available to a remuneration committee (CP B.1.2, re-numbered CP B.1.1)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

	Do you agree that, in order to accommodate Model B, we should revise CP B.1.3 (re-numbered CP B.1.2) as described in paragraph 117 of the Consultation Paper?
\times	Yes
	No
Please g	give reasons for your views.
	(i) Do you agree that where the board resolves to approve any remuneration with which the remuneration committee disagrees, the board should disclose the reasons for its resolution in its corporate governance report)? (ii) If your answer is "yes", do you agree that RBP B.1.8 should be revised and upgraded to a CP (re-numbered CP B.1.6).
i)	Yes No
ii)	Yes No
Please a	give reasons for your views.
_	eement will arise and is part of the negotiation and discussion, the important s an agreement can eventually be reached.
	Do you agree that the term "performance-based" should be deleted from CP B.1.2(c) (re-numbered CP B.1.2(b)) and revised as described in paragraph 118 of the Consultation Paper?
\times	Yes
	No
Please g	give reasons for your views.
	Please (i) Disagrahing is 129.

B.	Nomin	nation Committee
Questi	on 30.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.4 (establishment and composition of a nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.1) should be upgraded to a CP?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 31.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.4) should state that the nomination committee's chairman should be an INED?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	and el	ommittee members can agree amongst themselves through open nomination ection procedures within the committee. Whoever chairs the meeting, is the ity view and that will prevail in the end.
Questi	on 32.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.5 (nomination committee's terms of reference, renumbered CP A.5.2) should be upgraded to a CP?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 33.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should be performed at least once a year?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 34.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.5(a)) should state that the nomination committee's review of the structure, size and composition of the board should implement the issuer's corporate strategy?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 35.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.6 (availability of nomination committee's terms of reference) should be upgraded to a CP?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questio	on 36.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.6, re-numbered CP A.5.3) should state that issuers should include their nomination committee's terms of reference on the HKEx website?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	we do	is no similar requirement for the other two committees, and so for consistency, not think the terms of reference of the nomination committee need to be on the HKEx website.
Questio	on 37.	Do you agree that RBP A.4.7 (sufficient resources for the nomination committee, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should be upgraded to a CP?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 38.	Do you agree that the proposed CP (currently RBP A.4.7, re-numbered CP A.5.4) should clarify that a nomination committee should be able to seek independent professional advice at the issuer's expense?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

C.	Corporate Governance Committee	
Questi	on 39.	Do you agree with the proposed terms of reference listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons and alternative suggestions.
Questi	on 40.	Do you consider that the committee(s) performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should submit to the board a written report on its work annually?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	govern Practic of the review	ojective of the committee performing these duties is to ensure the corporate nance level of the company and monitor compliance of the Code on CG ces. These would be included in the corporate governance report section financial statements anyway and the corporate governance report is to be red and approved by the Board. There is no need to duplicate this report with litional report.
Questi	on 41.	Do you consider that this report (as described in paragraph 140 of the Consultation Paper) should be published as part of the issuer's corporate governance report?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	Please	refer to our answer to Question 40.
	L	

Questi	on 42.	Do you agree with introducing RBP D.3.3 stating that an issuer should establish a corporate governance committee?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	comm	not think it is necessary to establish a separate corporate governance ittee to carry out the proposed duties which we think can be carried out by an ag committee.
Questio	on 43.	Do you agree the duties of an existing committee or committees can be expanded to include those of a corporate governance committee?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	existin additio	roposed duties of the corporate governance committee can be handled by ag committees such as the audit committee in order to avoid incurring onal compliance costs and increasing the burden of existing directors by having sit on one additional committee.
Questio	on 44.	Do you agree with the addition of CP D.3.2 stating that the committee performing the proposed duties listed in paragraph 141 of the Consultation Paper should comprise a majority of INEDs?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	non-ex	rate governance is the responsibility of the all board members, executive and executive alike, and it involves many internal compliance issues and lures, so the participation of executive directors is key. We do not see the need ing and INED-dominant committee to oversee the work.

Question 45.		Do you agree with the proposal to add a note to CP D.3.2 stating that the committee should include one member who is an executive director or non-executive director with sufficient knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day operations?	
		Yes	
	\boxtimes	No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
	Please	refer to our answer to Question 44.	
D.	Audit o	committee	
Questi	on 46.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.3.7 (audit committee's terms of reference should include arrangements for employees to raise concerns about improprieties in financial reporting) to a CP?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
Questi	on 47.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP C.3.3(e)(i) to state that the audit committee should meet the external auditor at least twice a year?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	

Questi	on 48.	Do you agree that a new RBP should be introduced to encourage audit committees to establish a whistleblowing policy?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
4.	Remu	neration of Directors, CEO and Senior Management
Questi	on 49.	Do you agree with our proposal that issuers should disclose senior management remuneration by band (Appendix 16, new paragraph 25A)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	real be	sagree that disclosure of this level of details is necessary and would bring any enefits to shareholders. The Rules already stipulates the disclosure of or emoluments by name and the aggregate amount paid to the five highest ndividuals. The latter in many cases are the senior management, hence there need to impose further requirements.
Questi	on 50.	If your answer to Question 49 is yes, do you agree with our proposal that senior management remuneration disclosure should include sales commission?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N.A.	

Question 51.		Do you agree with our proposal to amend Appendix 16 to require an issuer to disclose the CEO's remuneration in its annual report and by name?			
	\boxtimes	Yes			
		No			
	Please	Please give reasons for your views.			
Questio	on 52.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP B.1.6 to a CP (a significant proportion of executive directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rewards to corporate and individual performance, re-numbered CP B.1.5)?			
		Yes			
	\boxtimes	No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
issue is how the whole remuneration package is structured. In Hong Koranywhere else, the salary package is made up of two parts: basic salary a but here basic salary is still a major part. Basic salary which reflects employed capability, complexity of responsibilities, scope of leadership, years of e and the job market situation, among other factors, is what many employed come to rely on. The Exchange proposal that says "a significant proporti eexecutive directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rew corporate and individual performance" with emphasis on "significant prodeviates from the norm of the Hong Kong corporate culture and employed expectation. Secondly, the nature of every job is different and some jobs suitable for a performance based package, than others. The company need the flexibility of designing the remuneration packages based on actual citand job requirements. We therefore disagree to making this a CP. Further		ative directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rewards to rate and individual performance" with emphasis on "significant proportion" es from the norm of the Hong Kong corporate culture and employees' ration. Secondly, the nature of every job is different and some jobs are more le for a performance based package, than others. The company needs to have xibility of designing the remuneration packages based on actual circumstances b requirements. We therefore disagree to making this a CP. Furthermore, too emphasis on the performance element might promote short-termism and may			
5.	Board	Evaluation			
Questio	on 53.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new RBP B.1.8 that issuers should conduct a regular evaluation of its own and individual directors' performance?			
		Yes			
	\boxtimes	No			

Please give reasons for your views.

To engage an external consulting firm to do this would mean extra financial burden. The performance of the board is best reflected in the business of the company and all directors are accountable to shareholders already.

6.	Board Meetings
----	-----------------------

A.		dering a matter where there is a conflict of interest by a physical board meeting than a written board resolution
Question 54.		Do you agree that, except for plain language amendments, the wording of CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) should be retained (issuers to hold a board meeting to discuss resolutions on a material matter where a substantial directors or a director has a conflict of interest)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 55.	Do you agree with our proposals to add a note to CP A.1.8 (re-numbered CP A.1.7) stating that attendance at board meetings can be achieved by telephonic or video conferencing?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
B.	Direct	ors' Attendance at Board Meetings
Questi	on 56.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the notes to paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14 (on attendance at board meetings) as described in paragraph 195 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

		to Appendix 14) that attendance by an alternate should not be counted as attendance by the director himself?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		r, attendance by an alternate is counted as attendance by the director erself and carries the same legal power, and hence it should be reflected as
Questi	on 58.	Do you agree with our proposal that an issuer disclose, for each named director, the number of board or committee meetings he attended and separately the number of board or committee meetings attended by his alternate?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Removan Inte	ving Five Percent Threshold for Voting on a Resolution in which a Director has erest
Questi	on 59.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 13.44 to remove the exemption described in paragraph 199 (transactions where a director has an interest)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	person exemp transa	ommon for company directors to hold shares in other listed companies as nal investment. The number of shares held may be immaterial. If the otion is removed, such directors will not be able to form quorum and vote on ctions between a listed issuer and another listed company even if he/she only 1 share in the latter company.

Question 57. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new requirement (paragraph I(d)

7. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Question 60.		Do you agree with our proposal to remove the words "at the board level" from Code Principle A.2 to clarify the division between management of the board and day-to-day management of an issuer's business?	
	\leq	Yes	
		No	
P	lease g	give reasons for your views.	
Question		Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.2.3 to add "accurate" and "clear" to describe the information that the chairman should ensure directors receive?	
	\leq	Yes	
		No	
P	lease g	give reasons for your views.	
Question		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.4 to a CP to give greater emphasis to the chairman's duty to provide leadership for the board, to ensure that the board works effectively and discharges its responsibilities, etc.?	
	\leq	Yes	
		No	
P	lease g	give reasons for your views.	

Question 63.	state: "The chairman should take primary responsibility for ensuring that good corporate governance practices and procedures are established"?		
	Yes		
	No		
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.		
N.A.			
Question 64.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.6 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's responsibility to encourage directors with different views to voice their concerns, allow sufficient time for discussion of issues and build consensus?		
\bowtie	Yes		
	No		
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.		
Question 65.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.7 to a CP and amend it to state that the chairman should hold separate meetings with only INEDs and only NEDs at least once a year?		
	Yes		
	No		
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.		
INEI when	view is that it would be too redundant to have two separate meetings with Os and NEDs, respectively, since the spirit is to provide a discussion forum e executive directors are not present. So if the Exchange is proposing a CP for ag two separate meetings, we would say no.		

Question 66.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.8 to a CP to highlight the chairman's role to ensure effective communication between the board and shareholders?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 67.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.2.9 to a CP to emphasise the chairman's role to enable NED contributions and constructive relations between EDs and NEDs?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
8.	Notify	ing directorship change and disclosure of directors' information
Questio	on 68.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to require issuers to disclose the retirement or removal of a director or supervisor?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	on 68.	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to require issuers to disclose the retirement or removal of a director or supervisor? Yes No

Question 69	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2) to apply to the appointment, resignation, re-designation, retirement or removal of a CEO (and not only to a director or supervisor)?	
	Yes	
	No	
Plea	se give reasons for your views.	
Question 70	Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51(2)(o) to cover all civil judgments of fraud, breach of duty or other misconduct involving dishonesty?	
	Yes	
	No	
Plea	se give reasons for your views.	
Question 71	. Do you agree that we should amend Rule 13.51B(3)(c) to clarify that the sanctions referred to in that Rule are those made against the issuer (and not those of other issuers)?	
	Yes	
	No	
Plea	se give reasons for your views.	

Questi	on 72.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.3.3 to a CP to ensure that directors' information is published on an issuer's website?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 73.	Do you agree with our proposed amendment to the CP (RBP A.3.3 upgraded) that directors' information should also be published on the HKEx website?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
		d of publishing it on the HKEx website, we see more advantages of publishing he company's website.
9.	Provi	ding Management Accounts or Management Updates to the Board
Questi	on 74.	Do you agree that we should add CP C.1.2 stating issuers should provide board members with monthly updates as described in paragraph 240 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	A con	npany board meets four times a year and at these meetings, management

A company board meets four times a year and at these meetings, management information would be provided to board members to update them of the latest company situation and the financials. We feel that this kind of quarterly updates is sufficient to keep directors informed of the state of the business and trend of development and would allow them to make proper response and follow up. If anything is worth the immediate attention of the board members or is price sensitive, the company would of course bring it to the attention of the board members and make proper disclosure as necessary under its general obligation. To provide a monthly update would be to overwhelm directors with information that may not be of great value. We therefore do not think a CP of monthly management updates for board members is necessary and that quarterly updates would suffice.

Next Day Disclosure for a Director Exercising an Option in the Issuer or the Issuer's Subsidiaries

Questi	on /5.	removing the need for issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure Return following the exercise of options for shares in the issuer by a director of a subsidiary?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	disclo	ree to the proposal to remove the need for an issuer to publish a next day sure return immediately following the exercise of options by a director of a iary for administrative efficiency.
Questi	on 76.	Do you agree with the proposed amendment to Rule 13.25A(2)(b)(i) and (ii) to require issuers to publish a Next Day Disclosure only if options for shares in the issuer exercised by a director of its subsidiary or subsidiaries results in a change of 5% or more (individually or when aggregated with other events) of the issuer's share capital since its last Monthly Return?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
10.	Disclo Value	sing Long Term Basis on which an Issuer Generates or Preserves Business
Questio	on 77.	Do you agree that we should introduce the proposed CP (CP C.1.4) as described in paragraph 250 of the Consultation Paper?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

11.	Direct	ors' Insurance
Questi	on 78.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.1.9 (issuers should arrange appropriate insurance for directors) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.1.8)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 79.	Do you agree with our proposal to add the words "adequate and general" to RBP A.1.9 (upgraded and re-numbered CP A.1.8)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	We fe	el that the word "appropriate" is good enough for the purpose.
PART	'II: SH	AREHOLDERS
1.	Share	holders' General Meetings
A.	Notice	of Meeting and Bundling of Resolutions
Questi	on 80.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.1.1 to state that issuers should avoid "bundling" of resolutions and where they are "bundled" explain the reasons and material implications in the notice of meeting?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No

Please give reasons for your views.

D.	voung	by Poli
Question 81.		Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.39(4) to allow a chairman at a general meeting to exempt procedural and administrative matters described in paragraph 274 of the Consultation Paper from voting by poll?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 82.	Do you agree with the examples of procedural and administrative resolutions in paragraph 275 of the Consultation paper? Do you have any other examples to add?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 83.	Do you agree that our proposed amendments to Rule 13.39(5) clarify disclosure in poll results?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 84.		Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP E.2.1 to remove the words "at the commencement of the meeting" so that an issuer's chairman can explain the procedures for conducting a poll later during a general meeting?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
C.	Sharel	nolders' Approval to Appoint and Remove an Auditor
Questi	on 85.	Do you agree with our proposal to add new Rule 13.88 to require shareholder approval to appoint the issuer's auditor?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N.A.	
Question 86.		Do you agree with our proposal to add, in new Rule 13.88, a requirement for shareholder approval to remove the issuer's auditor before the end of his term of office?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Questi	on 87.	Do you agree that the new Rule 13.88 should require a circular for the removal of the auditor to shareholders containing any written representation from the auditor and allow the auditor to make written and/or verbal representation at the general meeting to remove him?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
D.	Direct	ors' Attendance at Meetings
Questi	on 88.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.7 (NEDs' attendance at meetings) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.7)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questi	on 89.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP A.5.8 (NEDs should make a positive contribution to the development of the issuer's strategy and policies) to a CP (re-numbered CP A.6.8)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 90.		Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new mandatory disclosure provision in Appendix 23 (re-numbered paragraph I(c) of Appendix 14) stating that issuer must disclose details of attendance at general meetings of each director by name?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 91.		Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state the issuer's chairman should arrange for the chairman of "any other committees" to attend the annual general meeting?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
E.	Audito	or's Attendance at Annual General Meetings
Questi	on 92.	Do you agree with our proposal that CP E.1.2 state that the chairman should arrange for the auditor to attend the issuer's annual general meeting to answer questions about the conduct of the audit, the preparation and content of the auditors' report, the accounting policies and auditor independence?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	audit a	ors should be present at AGM and answer questions about the conduct of the and other related matters. They can provide professional and accurate answers questions arise during AGM.

2.	Shareho	olders' Rights		
Quest	tion 93.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of "shareholders' rights" under paragraph 3 (b) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph O of Appendix 14)?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	give reasons for your views.		
3.	Comn	nunication with Shareholders		
A.	Establ	ishing a Communication Policy		
Quest	tion 94.	Do you agree with our proposed new CP E.1.4 stating that issuers should establish a shareholder communication policy?		
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Please	Please give reasons for your views.		
B.	Publis	hing Constitutional Documents on Website		
Quest	tion 95.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.90 requiring issuers to publish an updated and consolidated version of their M & A or constitutional documents on their own website and the HKEx website?		

Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

C.	Publishing Procedures for Election of Directors	
Question 96.		Do you agree with our proposal to add a new Rule 13.51D requiring an issuer to publish the procedures for shareholders to propose a person for election as a director on its website?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
D. 1	Disclosi	ng Significant Changes to Constitutional Documents
Question 97.		Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the recommended disclosure of any significant change in the issuer's articles of association under paragraph 3(c)(i) of Appendix 23 to mandatory disclosure (re-numbered paragraph P(a) of Appendix 14)?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
PART	TIII: C	OMPANY SECRETARY
1.	Comp	any Secretary's Qualifications, Experience and Training
Quest	ion 98.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Rule 3.28 on requirements for company secretaries' qualifications and experience?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question	n 99.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider as acceptable the list of qualifications for company secretaries set out in paragraph 345 of the Consultation Paper?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question	n 100.	Do you agree that the Exchange should consider the list of items set out in paragraph 346 of the Consultation Paper when deciding whether a person has the relevant experience to perform company secretary functions?
	\boxtimes	Yes
[No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question	n 101.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for company secretaries to be ordinarily resident in Hong Kong?
		Yes
	\searrow	No
I	Please	give reasons for your views.

Question 102.		Do you agree with our proposal to repeal Rule 19A.16 so that Mainland issuers' company secretaries would need to meet the same requirements as for other countries?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	N.A.	
Questic	on 103.	Do you agree with our proposal to add a Rule 3.29 requiring company secretaries to attend 15 hours of professional training per financial year?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questic	on 104.	Do you agree with the proposed transitional arrangement on compliance with Rule 3.29 in paragraph 350 of the Consultation Paper?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.

2. New Section in Code on Company Secretary

Question		Do you agree with our proposal to include a new section of the Code on company secretary?
\boxtimes		Yes
		No
Pl	ease g	give reasons for your views.
Question		Do you agree with the proposed principle as described in paragraph 362 of the Consultation Paper and set out in full in page 27 of Appendix II?
\geq		Yes
		No
Pl	ease g	give reasons for your views.
Question		Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.1 stating the company secretary should be an employee of the issuer and have knowledge of the issuer's day-to-day affairs?
\geq		Yes
		No
Pl	ease g	give reasons for your views.
L		

Questio	on 108.	Do you agree with our proposal described in paragraph 364 of the Consultation Paper, that if an issuer employs an external service provider, it should disclose the identity of its issuer contact person?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 109.		Do you agree with our proposed CP F.1.2 stating that the selection, appointment or dismissal of the company secretary should be the subject of a board decision?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Question 11		Do you agree with our proposed note to CP F.1.2 stating that the board decision to select, appoint or dismiss the company secretary should be made at a physical board meeting and not dealt with by written board resolution?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	appoin	el that this matter can be dealt with by written board resolution. If the the the theoretical that this matter can be by written board resolution, so should that of my secretaries.

Questio	on 111.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.3 stating that the company secretary should report to the Chairman or CEO?				
	\boxtimes	Yes				
		No				
	Please	give reasons for your views.				
Questio	on 112.	Do you agree with our proposal to add CP F.1.5 stating that the company secretary should maintain a record of directors training?				
	\boxtimes	Yes				
		No				
	Please	give reasons for your views.				
CHAPTER 3: PROPOSED NON-SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS						
1.	Defini	tion of "Announcement" and "Announce"				
Questio	on 113.	Do you agree with our proposal to include a definition in the Rules for the terms "announcement" and "announce" as described in paragraph 371 of the Consultation Paper?				
	\boxtimes	Yes				
		No				
	Please	give reasons for your views.				

4.	Aumo	rised Representatives Contact Details
Questio	on 114.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 3.06(1) to add a reference to authorised representatives "mobile and other telephone numbers, email and correspondence addresses" and "any other contract details prescribed by the Exchange may prescribe from time to time"?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
3.	Mergi	ng Corporate Governance Report Requirements into Appendix 14
Questio	on 115.	Do you agree with our proposal to merge Appendix 23 into Appendix 14 for ease of reference?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
Questio	on 116.	Do you agree with our proposal to streamline Appendix 23 and to make plain language amendments to it?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.