Part B Consultation Questions

		te your preference ow on the propo	•	-					
from		the	3	SFC		website	-		at:
https://	/www.s	fc.hk/sfcConsul	tation/EN/s	<u>fcConsultFile</u>	eServlet?na	me=Prop	ertyVa	luation&	<u>ktyp</u>
e=1&d	locno=1	<u>-</u>	or	HKEx		websi	ite		at:
http://v	www.hk	ex.com.hk/eng/	newsconsu	l/mktconsul/c	locuments/o	<u>2p201012</u>	2.pdf.	•	
Where	there is	s insufficient spa	ace provide	d for your co	mments, pl	ease attac	ch addi	tional pa	ıges.
Part]	I:	Proposals T Applicants	o Amend	Property '	Valuation	ı Requi	iremei	ıts For	.
	Propo	sals for all App	licants						
1.		agree with the aph 61 of the Co			idance for 1	naterial _J	propert	y interes	sts in
	\square	Yes							
		No							
	If your	answer is "No"	, please giv	e reasons and	d alternative	e views.		•	
2.	Do you	agree that the p	proposed de	efinition of p	operty activ	vities is ε	appropr	iate?	
	Image: Control of the	Yes							
		No							
	If your	answer is "No"	, please giv	e reasons and	i alternative	e views.		•	
3.		agree with the asultation Paper		definition of	a property	interest	in para	agraph 6	 57 of
		Yes							
		No							
	If your	answer is "No"	, please giv	e reasons and	l alternative	e views.			

prope	ou agree with the proposed guidance on what should be treated as a try interest in paragraph 69 of the Consultation Paper?
Ø	Yes
	No
If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
there requir	lition to the information mentioned in paragraph 74 of the Consultation Paragraph of the Consult
Ø	Yes
	No
If you	r answer is "Yes", please state.
Do yo was v docur	ou agree with the proposal to maintain the effective date at which the prealued under Rule 5.07 at not more than 3 months before the date of the nent?
	Yes
**************************************	No

7.	Do you think that the prospectus law should retain requirements for property valuations in line with the proposals in this paper? Alternatively is it sufficient for the prospectus law to rely on the general disclosure obligation under the Companies Ordinance?
	Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons.
	General disclosure obligation is not sufficiently clear and will lead to uncertainty on the part of applicants. Valuations are
	Proposals for Property Activities important and useful disclosures in any case.
8.	Do you agree not to require property valuations and disclosing valuation information if the carrying amount of a property interest of an applicant's property activities is below a percentage of its total assets?
	Yes
	No.
	. If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	In our view, "total assets" should be replaced by "net assets".
9.	Do you agree not to require valuation of a property interest with carrying amount below 1% of total assets?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	"Total assets" should be replaced by "Net assets"

10.	Do you agree that the total carrying amount of property interests that do not require valuation cannot exceed 10% of the applicant's total assets?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	As above, "Net assets" is a better hasis for use as size criteria.
	use as size criteria.
	Do you agree that a listing document should include full text of valuation reports for all property interests that are required to be valued under property activities except where summary disclosure is allowed?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.
	It is too combersome (disclosure). In any event, all such valuations are performed based on recognised standards.
12.	Do you agree to allow summary disclosure if the market value of a property interest as appraised by the valuer is less than 5% of the property interests that are required to be valued under property activities?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.

11 01	the Consultation Paper?
Image: second control of the control	Yes
П	No
If yo	ar answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	ou agree that an applicant should be required to include an overview in the listing ment describing all property interests not covered by a valuation report?
U	Yes
	No
If you	ir answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	ed companies as well as applicants? Yes
unlist	ed companies as well as applicants? Yes No
unlist	ed companies as well as applicants? Yes
unlist	ed companies as well as applicants? Yes No
unlist If you Do y	Yes No answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.
unlist If you Do y	Yes No n answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views. ou agree that the proposed class exemption notice in Appendix III of the altation Paper will implement the proposals for property activities? Yes
unlist If you Do y	Yes No answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views. ou agree that the proposed class exemption notice in Appendix III of the altation Paper will implement the proposals for property activities?

17.	Do you agree that the proposed Listing Rule amendments in Appendices IV.A and IV.B of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for property activities?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	Proposals for Non-Property Activities
18.	Do you agree that a full text of valuation report is required if the carrying amount of a property interest is or is above 15% of an applicant's total assets?
	Yes
	☑ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	See answer to 11.
19.	Do you agree that the 15% threshold should be calculated using:
	(a) the carrying amount of a property interest; and
	(b) total assets
	reflected in the accountants' report of the applicant?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	"Total Ascets" should be "Net assets"

F	raph 98 of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
<u> </u>	No
If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	ou agree that an applicant should be required to include an overview in the listing ment describing all property interests not covered by a valuation report?
	Yes
	No
If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
to be	au agree that property interests ancillary to mining activities will not be required valued if the prospectus includes a valuation by an independent professionally ied valuer of the associated mineral or petroleum assets or resources?
	Yes
Ц	No
If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	Do yo docum If your Do yo to be you alifi

23.	Do you agree that the proposed class exemption notice should apply to prospectus for unlisted companies as well as applicants?				
	Yes				
٠	No				
	If you answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.				
24.	Do you agree that that proposed class exemption notice in Appendix III of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for non-property activities?				
	Yes Yes				
	No No				
	If you answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.				
5.	Do you agree that the proposed Listing Rule amendments in Appendices IV.A and IV.B of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for non-property activities?				
	Yes				
	□ No				
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.				

Part II: Proposals To Amend Property Valuation Requirements For Issuers

	ou agree with the proposed disclosure guidance for material property interests in raph 61 of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	No
If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
-	ou agree that it is unnecessary to introduce different valuation requirements for sition or disposal of non-property activities and property activities for issuers?
\square	Yes
	No
If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
being	ou agree with the proposal to remove valuation requirements if the company acquired or disposed of is listed on the Exchange, except for a connected ction (see paragraph 123 of the Consultation Paper)? Yes
	No
If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.

29.	Do you agree that an overview of property interests not covered by a valuation report be disclosed in the circular?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
30.	Do you agree not to require property valuations and disclosing valuation information for acquisition or disposal of an unlisted company if the carrying amount of a property interest is below a percentage of the issuer's total assets?
	Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	"Net Assek" rather than "Total Assets" should be the criteria
31.	Do you agree not to require valuation of property interest with carrying amount below 1% of the issuer's total assets?
	Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	Ser 30 above

32.		Do you agree that the total carrying amount of property interests that do not require valuation cannot exceed 10% of the issuer's total assets?					
		Yes					
		No					
	If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.					
	See	30 above					
33.	-	ou agree with the proposed definition of property interest in paragraph 67 of the altation Paper?					
		Yes					
		No					
	If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.					
34.		ou agree with the proposed guidance on what should be treated as a single					
	proper	rty interest in paragraph 69 of the Consultation Paper?					
		Yes					
		No					
	If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.					

35.	Do you agree that a circular should include full text of valuation reports for all property interests that are required to be valued except where summary disclosure is allowed?					
	Yes No					
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.					
	See 11 above					
36.	Do you agree to allow summary disclosure if the market value of a property interest as appraised by the valuer is less than 5% of the property interests that are required to be valued?					
	Yes					
	No No					
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.					
37.	Do you agree with the form for summary disclosure of property interests in Appendix II of the Consultation Paper?					
	Yes					
	No No					
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.					

Do you agree that an overview of property interests not covered by a valuation report be disclosed in the circular?		
Yes Yes		
□ No		
If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		
Do you agree that for an acquisition or disposal of an unlisted company, valuations will not be required for property interests ancillary to mining activities if the circular includes a valuation by an independent professionally qualified valuer of the associated mineral or petroleum assets or resources?		
Yes Yes		
□ No		
If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		
Do you agree with the proposal relating to a very substantial acquisition in paragraph 121 of the Consultation Paper?		
Yes		
No		
If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		

41.	Do you agree with the proposal to retain the existing valuation requirements for connected transactions?		
	Yes		
	No No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		
42.	Do you agree that valuation will continue to be required if the connected transaction involves an acquisition or disposal of a company listed on the Exchange?		
	Yes		
	No ·		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		
43.	Do you agree with the proposals relating to connected transactions in paragraph 125 of the Consultation Paper?		
	Yes		
	No No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		

requi	dition to the information mentioned in paragraph 74 of the Consultation Paper, is any other information that should be disclosed in a valuation report that is not red at present by the Listing Rules? Also, it there any information that is no required to be disclosed in a valuation report?
X	Yes
	No
If you	ar answer is "Yes", please state.
:	
was v	ou agree with the proposal to maintain the effective date at which the property alued under Rule 5.07? (Please note that the same question has been raised for eants in question 6).
\Box	Yes
	No
If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	and a second that the proposed Listing Dule emendments in Amendiag IV A and
-	ou agree that the proposed Listing Rule amendments in Appendices IV.A and of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for issuers?
-	
-	of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for issuers?

