Part B Consultation Questions

							Please reply to the
	ons belo	w on the pro	posed char	nges discusse	d in the Con	sultation	Paper downloadable
from		the		SFC		website	
		c.hk/sfcCon	sultation/El				pertyValuation&typ
	locno=1		or	HKI		webs	
http://v	www.hk	ex.com.hk/e	ng/newscon	<u>ısul/mktconsı</u>	ıl/documents	/cp20101	<u>2.pdf</u> .
Where	there is	insufficient	space provi	ided for your	comments, p	lease atta	ch additional pages.
Part 1		Proposals Applicant		nd Propert	y Valuatio	n Requ	irements For
	Propos	als for all A	pplicants				
1.		agree with ph 61 of the			guidance for	material	property interests in
		Yes					
		No					
	If your	answer is "N	lo", please	give reasons	and alternativ	ve views.	
	Defec	ts, like i	water lead	Eage, show property s	ld be repo	vted . P provided	hotes of the
2.	Do you	agree that th	ne proposed	definition of	property act	tivities is	appropriate?
		Yes					
		No					
	If your	answer is "N	lo", please	give reasons	and alternativ	ve views.	
3.		agree with sultation Pa		ed definition	of a propert	y interest	t in paragraph 67 of
		Yes					
		No					
	If your a	answer is "N	lo", please	give reasons	and alternativ	ve views.	

	agree with the proposed guidance on what should be treated as a single interest in paragraph 69 of the Consultation Paper?
M 7	C'es
Z N	No
If your a	nswer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
there any	on to the information mentioned in paragraph 74 of the Consultation Paper, is y other information that should be disclosed in a valuation report that is no at present by the Listing Rules? Also, is there any information that is no equired to be disclosed in a valuation report?
N Y	res
M V	No
If your a	nswer is "Yes", please state.
Photo o Compa	I subject his king and Theinterior of subject property, rable and adjustments should be provided.
	agree with the proposal to maintain the effective date at which the property and under Rule 5.07 at not more than 3 months before the date of the listing at?
Y	es
N	Jo
If your a	nswer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
It po	201, ble, 2 moths: is lefter.
' '	,

4.

5.

6.

-	an throng that the weepmasture law about the retain regularements for highert
valuat	ou think that the prospectus law should retain requirements for property ions in line with the proposals in this paper? Alternatively is it sufficient for the ectus law to rely on the general disclosure obligation under the Companie ance?
	Yes
	No
يا	
Please	give reasons.
-	
Propo	esals for Property Activities
Do vo	and the second s
if the	u agree not to require property valuations and disclosing valuation information carrying amount of a property interest of an applicant's property activities is a percentage of its total assets?
if the	carrying amount of a property interest of an applicant's property activities i
if the	carrying amount of a property interest of an applicant's property activities is a percentage of its total assets?
if the below	carrying amount of a property interest of an applicant's property activities is a percentage of its total assets? Yes
if the below If you	carrying amount of a property interest of an applicant's property activities is a percentage of its total assets? Yes No
If you To Do you	carrying amount of a property interest of an applicant's property activities is a percentage of its total assets? Yes No r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
If you To Do you	carrying amount of a property interest of an applicant's property activities is a percentage of its total assets? Yes No r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views. protect the interest of the investory.
If you To Do you	carrying amount of a property interest of an applicant's property activities is a percentage of its total assets? Yes No r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views. protect the interest of the investory. ou agree not to require valuation of a property interest with carrying amoun 1% of total assets?
If you To Do you below	carrying amount of a property interest of an applicant's property activities is a percentage of its total assets? Yes No r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views. protect the interest of the investors. ou agree not to require valuation of a property interest with carrying amoun 1% of total assets? Yes

10.	Do you agree that the total carrying amount of property interests that do not require valuation cannot exceed 10% of the applicant's total assets?
	Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	to protect the interest of investors.
11.	Do you agree that a listing document should include full text of valuation reports for all property interests that are required to be valued under property activities except where summary disclosure is allowed?
	Yes
	■ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.
12.	Do you agree to allow summary disclosure if the market value of a property interest as appraised by the valuer is less than 5% of the property interests that are required to be valued under property activities?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	to protect she interest of investors. Full toat should be provided.

13.	Do you agree with the form for summary disclosure of property interests in Appendix II of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	To protect the intenst of investors.
14.	Do you agree that an applicant should be required to include an overview in the listing document describing all property interests not covered by a valuation report?
	Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	Details should be proider.
15.	Do you agree that the proposed class exemption notice should apply to prospectus for unlisted companies as well as applicants?
	Yes
	No
	If you answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.
	to protect the interest of investors.
16.	Do you agree that the proposed class exemption notice in Appendix III of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for property activities?
	Yes
	No No
	If you answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.
	to protect dhe interest of investors.

17.	Do you agree that the proposed Listing Rule amendments in Appendices IV.A and IV.B of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for property activities?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	to protect the interest of juvestors.
	Proposals for Non-Property Activities
18.	Do you agree that a full text of valuation report is required if the carrying amount of a property interest is or is above 15% of an applicant's total assets?
	Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
19.	Do you agree that the 15% threshold should be calculated using:
	(a) the carrying amount of a property interest; and
	(b) total assets
	reflected in the accountants' report of the applicant?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	No appealed should be provided for the interest of investors.

20.	Do you agree with the proposed disclosure requirement for property interests in paragraph 98 of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
21.	Do you agree that an applicant should be required to include an overview in the listing document describing all property interests not covered by a valuation report?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
22.	Do you agree that property interests ancillary to mining activities will not be required to be valued if the prospectus includes a valuation by an independent professionally qualified valuer of the associated mineral or petroleum assets or resources?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	scientists should be consulted on the tradity potential

23.	Do you agree that the proposed class exemption notice should apply to prospectus for unlisted companies as well as applicants?
	Yes
	No
	If you answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.
	To protect the interest of investors.
24.	Do you agree that that proposed class exemption notice in Appendix III of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for non-property activities?
	Yes
	☑ No
	If you answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.
	To protect the interest of investors.
25.	Do you agree that the proposed Listing Rule amendments in Appendices IV.A and IV.B of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for non-property activities?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	To protect the interest of innestors.

Part II: Proposals To Amend Property Valuation Requirements For Issuers

-	ou agree with the proposed disclosure guidance for material property interests in graph 61 of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	No
If you	ur answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	ou agree that it is unnecessary to introduce different valuation requirements for sition or disposal of non-property activities and property activities for issuers?
	Yes
	No
If you	ur answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
being	ou agree with the proposal to remove valuation requirements if the company acquired or disposed of is listed on the Exchange, except for a connected action (see paragraph 123 of the Consultation Paper)?
	Yes
	No
If you	ar answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
70	portect the interest of investors.

29.	Do you agree that an overview of property interests not covered by a valuation report be disclosed in the circular?
	Yes Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
30.	Do you agree not to require property valuations and disclosing valuation information for acquisition or disposal of an unlisted company if the carrying amount of a property interest is below a percentage of the issuer's total assets?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	to protect the interest of impostors.
31.	Do you agree not to require valuation of property interest with carrying amount below 1% of the issuer's total assets?
	Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	To protect Reinterest of investors.

	ou agree that the total carrying amount of property interests that do not require ation cannot exceed 10% of the issuer's total assets?
	Yes
d	No
If yo	ur answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
T	porteet she interest of meters.
7.7	ou agree with the proposed definition of property interest in paragraph 67 of th ultation Paper?
	Yes
	No
If you	ur answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.
-	ou agree with the proposed guidance on what should be treated as a single erty interest in paragraph 69 of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	No
	110

35.	Do you agree that a circular should include full text of valuation reports for all property interests that are required to be valued except where summary disclosure is allowed?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.
36.	Do you agree to allow summary disclosure if the market value of a property interest as appraised by the valuer is less than 5% of the property interests that are required to be valued?
	Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	To postert the interest of investors.
37.	Do you agree with the form for summary disclosure of property interests in Appendix II of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	More details should be provided for the interest of investors.

38.	Do you agree that an overview of property interests not covered by a valuation report be disclosed in the circular?
	Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
39.	Do you agree that for an acquisition or disposal of an unlisted company, valuations will not be required for property interests ancillary to mining activities if the circular includes a valuation by an independent professionally qualified valuer of the associated mineral or petroleum assets or resources?
	Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	scientists should be consulted on the trading potential of mineral or petroleum assets or recourses.
40.	Do you agree with the proposal relating to a very substantial acquisition in paragraph 121 of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.

41.	Do you agree with the proposal to retain the existing valuation requirements for connected transactions?		
		Yes	
		No	
	If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.	
42.		ou agree that valuation will continue to be required if the connected transaction wes an acquisition or disposal of a company listed on the Exchange?	
	M	Yes	
		No	
	If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.	
43.	-	ou agree with the proposals relating to connected transactions in paragraph 125 Consultation Paper?	
		Yes	
		No	
	If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.	

44.	In addition to the information mentioned in paragraph 74 of the Consultation Paper, is there any other information that should be disclosed in a valuation report that is not required at present by the Listing Rules? Also, it there any information that is no longer required to be disclosed in a valuation report?
	Yes
	No
	If your answer is "Yes", please state.
	Photos of the subject history and interior of properties should be provided. The imperables and adjustments should also be supported.
45.	Do you agree with the proposal to maintain the effective date at which the property was valued under Rule 5.07? (Please note that the same question has been raised for applicants in question 6).
	Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
,	
46.	Do you agree that the proposed Listing Rule amendments in Appendices IV.A and IV.B of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for issuers?
	Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.