Part	B Consultation Questions
questic from https:/ e=1&c	indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the ons below on the proposed changes discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable the SFC website at www.sfc.hk/sfcConsultation/EN/sfcConsultFileServlet?name=PropertyValuation&typdocno=1 or HKEx website at www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/documents/cp201012.pdf .
Where	e there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages
Part	I: Proposals To Amend Property Valuation Requirements For Applicants
	Proposals for all Applicants
1.	Do you agree with the proposed disclosure guidance for material property interests in paragraph 61 of the Consultation Paper?
	√ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	We agree to it in general but want to add that environmental issues (point f) could be very broad and the scope very wide. And apart from breaching of environmental issues, what other aspects HKEx would be looking for is not so clear to us. The issues faced at the planning stage would also be different from those at the building phase. We therefore would like HKEx to provide more specific guidelines in this respect. Paragraph 62 states that the Disclosure Guidance listed are not exhaustive and those are the items that may be included. It would be helpful if HKEx can state clearly that those items are not mandatory and failure to include certain of those items would not be considered as a breach of the Listing Rules. Certainly, the applicant and its sponsor will exercise their best professional discretion to disclose
	important information to potential investors.
2.	Do you agree that the proposed definition of property activities is appropriate?
	√ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.

3.	•	Do you agree with the proposed definition of a property interest in paragraph 67 of the Consultation Paper?		
		Yes		
		No		
	If you	ar answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		
4.	•	ou agree with the proposed guidance on what should be treated as a single orty interest in paragraph 69 of the Consultation Paper?		
		Yes		
		No		
	If you	ar answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		
5.	there requi	dition to the information mentioned in paragraph 74 of the Consultation Paper, is any other information that should be disclosed in a valuation report that is not red at present by the Listing Rules? Also, is there any information that is not required to be disclosed in a valuation report?		
		Yes		
		No		
	If you	ar answer is "Yes", please state.		
6.	•	ou agree with the proposal to maintain the effective date at which the property valued under Rule 5.07 at not more than 3 months before the date of the listing ment?		
		Yes		
		No		

If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.

We think 3 months is an appropriate period in view of the volatility of the property market, this is to ensure the valuation report would be as up-to-date as practicable.

7.	Do you think that the prospectus law should retain requirements for property valuations in line with the proposals in this paper? Alternatively is it sufficient for the prospectus law to rely on the general disclosure obligation under the Companies Ordinance?		
	√ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please give reasons.		
	Proposals for Property Activities		
8.	Do you agree not to require property valuations and disclosing valuation information if the carrying amount of a property interest of an applicant's property activities is below a percentage of its total assets?		
	√ Yes		
	□ No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		
9.	Do you agree not to require valuation of a property interest with carrying amount below 1% of total assets?		
	Yes		
	No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		

Given the purpose of granting the exemption is to reduce the valuation burden for applicants, we believe that the percentage threshold of 1% is set at too low a level. This is especially so for small- to mid- sized applicants. We believe a percentage threshold of 3% is more meaningful.

10.	Do you agree that the total carrying amount of property interests that do not require valuation cannot exceed 10% of the applicant's total assets?
	Yes
	√ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	Following our reasoning in Q.9, we believe the percentage should be revised to 15%.
11.	Do you agree that a listing document should include full text of valuation reports fo all property interests that are required to be valued under property activities excep where summary disclosure is allowed?
	√ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.
12.	Do you agree to allow summary disclosure if the market value of a property interest as appraised by the valuer is less than 5% of the property interests that are required to be valued under property activities?
	Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.

13.	Do you agree with the form for summary disclosure of property interests in Appendix II of the Consultation Paper?		
	√ Yes		
	□ No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		
14.	Do you agree that an applicant should be required to include an overview in the listing document describing all property interests not covered by a valuation report?		
	√ Yes		
	□ No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		
15.	Do you agree that the proposed class exemption notice should apply to prospectus fo unlisted companies as well as applicants?		
	Yes		
	□ No		
	If you answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.		
16.	Do you agree that the proposed class exemption notice in Appendix III of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for property activities?		
	Yes		
	□ No		
	If you answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.		

	you agree that the proposed Listing Rule amendments in Appendices IV.A and B of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for property activities?
	Yes
	No
If yo	our answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
L	
Proj	posals for Non-Property Activities
	you agree that a full text of valuation report is required if the carrying amount of a perty interest is or is above 15% of an applicant's total assets?
	Yes
	No
If yo	our answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
<u> </u>	
Do x	you agree that the 15% threshold should be calculated using:
D0 y	the carrying amount of a property interest; and
(a)	
	total assets
(a) (b)	
(a) (b)	total assets
(a) (b)	total assets ceted in the accountants' report of the applicant?

20.	•	raph 98 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	If you	er answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
21.		ou agree that an applicant should be required to include an overview in the listing ment describing all property interests not covered by a valuation report?
		Yes
		No
	If you	er answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
22.	to be	ou agree that property interests ancillary to mining activities will not be required valued if the prospectus includes a valuation by an independent professionally ied valuer of the associated mineral or petroleum assets or resources?
		Yes
		No
	If you	er answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
	includ valud exem	valuation of the property interests ancillary to the mining activities has been ded in the valuation of such mining activities, we agree that no separate tion is required. If not, such property interests are required to be valued or pted (as the case maybe) in the same way as other property interests that are ubject of this consultation.

23.	Do you agree that the proposed class exemption notice should apply to prospectus for unlisted companies as well as applicants?
	Yes
	□ No
	If you answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.
24.	Do you agree that that proposed class exemption notice in Appendix III of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for non-property activities?
	√ Yes
	□ No
	If you answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.
25.	Do you agree that the proposed Listing Rule amendments in Appendices IV.A and IV.B of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for non-property activities?
	√ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.

Part II: Proposals To Amend Property Valuation Requirements For Issuers

26.	Do you agree with the proposed disclosure guidance for material property interests in paragraph 61 of the Consultation Paper?
	√ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
27.	Do you agree that it is unnecessary to introduce different valuation requirements for acquisition or disposal of non-property activities and property activities for issuers?
	√ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
28.	Do you agree with the proposal to remove valuation requirements if the compan being acquired or disposed of is listed on the Exchange, except for a connecte transaction (see paragraph 123 of the Consultation Paper)?
	√ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.

29.	Do you agree that an overview of property interests not covered by a valuation report be disclosed in the circular?	rt
	√ Yes	
	□ No	
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.	
30.	Do you agree not to require property valuations and disclosing valuation information for acquisition or disposal of an unlisted company if the carrying amount of a proper interest is below a percentage of the issuer's total assets?	
	√ Yes	
	□ No	
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.	
31.	Do you agree not to require valuation of property interest with carrying amount belo 1% of the issuer's total assets?	w
	Yes	
	√ No	
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.	
	Given the purpose of granting the exemption is to reduce the valuation burden for issuers, we believe that the percentage threshold of 1% is set too low. This is especially so for small- to mid- sized applicants. We believe a percentage threshold of 3% is more meaningful.	

32.	Do you agree that the total carrying amount of property interests that do not require valuation cannot exceed 10% of the issuer's total assets?		
	Yes		
	√ No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		
	Following our reasoning in Q.31, we believe the percentage should be revised to 15%.		
33.	Do you agree with the proposed definition of property interest in paragraph 67 of the Consultation Paper?		
	Yes		
	□ No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.		
34.	Do you agree with the proposed guidance on what should be treated as a single property interest in paragraph 69 of the Consultation Paper?		
	Yes		
	□ No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.		

35.	Do you agree that a circular should include full text of valuation reports for all property interests that are required to be valued except where summary disclosure is allowed?
	Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternate views.
36.	Do you agree to allow summary disclosure if the market value of a property interest as appraised by the valuer is less than 5% of the property interests that are required to be valued?
	√ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.
37.	Do you agree with the form for summary disclosure of property interests in Appendix II of the Consultation Paper?
	√ Yes
	■ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.

	Do you agree that an overview of property interests not covered by a valuation report be disclosed in the circular?		
	√ Yes		
	□ No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		
9.	Do you agree that for an acquisition or disposal of an unlisted company, valuations will not be required for property interests ancillary to mining activities if the circular includes a valuation by an independent professionally qualified valuer of the associated mineral or petroleum assets or resources?		
	☐ Yes		
	No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		
	If the valuation of the property interests ancillary to the mining activities has been included in the valuation of such mining activities, we agree that no separate valuation is required. If not, such property interests are required to be valued or exempted (as the case maybe) in the same way as other property interests that are the subject of this consultation.		
0.	Do you agree with the proposal relating to a very substantial acquisition in paragraph 121 of the Consultation Paper?		
	Yes		
	□ No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.		

41.	Do you agree with the proposal to retain the existing valuation requirements for connected transactions?			
	Yes			
	□ No			
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.			
42.	Do you agree that valuation will continue to be required if the connected transaction involves an acquisition or disposal of a company listed on the Exchange?			
	√ Yes			
	□ No			
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.			
43.	Do you agree with the proposals relating to connected transactions in paragraph 125 of the Consultation Paper?			
	Yes			
	□ No			
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.			

44.	In addition to the information mentioned in paragraph 74 of the Consultation Paper, is there any other information that should be disclosed in a valuation report that is not required at present by the Listing Rules? Also, it there any information that is no longer required to be disclosed in a valuation report?		
		Yes	
		No	
	If you	r answer is "Yes", please state.	
45.	was va	ou agree with the proposal to maintain the effective date at which the property alued under Rule 5.07? (Please note that the same question has been raised for ants in question 6).	
		Yes	
		No	
	If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.	
46.	Do you agree that the proposed Listing Rule amendments in Appendices IV.A and IV.B of the Consultation Paper will implement the proposals for issuers?		
		Yes	
		No	
	If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons and alternative views.	