## Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please make your comments by replying to questions below against proposed changes discussed in the Consultation Paper at the hyperlink: <a href="http://www.hkex.com.hk/consul/paper/cp200909m">http://www.hkex.com.hk/consul/paper/cp200909m</a> e.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

** 1101	e there is insufficient space provided for your comments, prease attach additional pages.
	ase of cross-referencing, please note the question numbers in this questionnaire correspond question numbers as they appear in the Consultation Paper.
	ultation Questions on Additional Eligibility Requirements for New Applicant Mineral and oration Companies
3.1	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal that new applicant Mineral and Exploration Companies must demonstrate that they have adequate rights to participate actively in the exploration or exploration and extraction of resources, either by having controlling interests in a majority (by value) of the assets in which they have invested or through other rights, which give them significant influence in decisions over the extraction of those resources?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
	We propose that the definition of Mineral and Exploration Company should include a company whose principal activities involve survey of inventory (similar to exploration) and the extraction and exploitation of natural forests. Trees grown in a natural forest are definitely natural resources similar to mineral resources like metals and coal naturally existed on the Earth's crust. Companies engaged in the development and exploitation of natural forests are subject to business, economic, legal, environmental and social issues which are similar to other Mineral and Exploration Companies. There are a number of companies listed on the Stock Exchange which involve in the development and exploitation of natural forests. As such, we propose that the proposed Listing Rules for Mineral and Exploration Companies should be extended to cover forestry companies engaged in survey and exploitation of natural forests.
3.2	Do you agree with our proposal that new applicant Mineral and Exploration Companies that have not yet obtained rights to extract relevant reserves must disclose details of how they plan to proceed to extraction and must state risks relevant to obtaining relevant rights?
	⊠ Yes
	$\square$ No

Please provide s	pecific reasons for you	ır views.	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

3.3	Do you agree that new applicant Mineral and Exploration Companies must demonstrate that they have sufficient working capital for 125% of their budgeted working capital needs for the next twelve months? Do you consider that the requirement for a working capital statement should be extended beyond a period of twelve months?
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
	The purpose of listing for a new applicant Mineral and Exploration Company is to raise funds to develop its business. If listing is successful, the Mineral and Exploration Company will have money to develop and run its business. If not, this would not be a concern to the market and the potential investors.
	If some sort of requirement relating to sufficiency of working capital is required, we propose that 100% of budgeted working capital needs for the next twelve months without buffer is enough. Also, working capital should include general administration and exploration costs and should exclude development and exploitation costs because the there are new applicant Mineral and Exploration Companies which may only be in the exploration stage. For the avoidance of doubt, working capital requirement should exclude any capital expenditure.
3.4	Do you agree that estimates of cash operating costs must include those of: (a) workforce employment; (b) consumables; (c) power, water and other services; (d) on and off-site administration; (e) environmental protection and monitoring; (f) transport of workforce; (g) product marketing and transport; (h) non-income taxes, royalties and other governmental charges; and (i) contingency allowances?
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
	Please refer to our comments on question 3.3.
3.5	Do you agree that producing new applicant Mineral and Exploration Companies must disclose their operating cash cost per appropriate unit for the mineral(s) and/or oil and gas produced?
	☐ Yes

No No

Please provide specific reasons for your views.

It is because not all Mineral and Exploration Companies have commenced operations in the extraction and production as there are many other Mineral and Exploration Companies which are still in the exploration stage.

3.6	Do you agree that a new applicant Mineral and Exploration Company must demonstrate that its board and senior management, taken together, have adequate experience relevant to the mining and/or exploration activity that the applicant is pursuing, unless it can mee the financial track record requirements under Listing Rule 8.05? Do you agree that individuals relied on must have a minimum of five years relevant experience?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
Cons	ultation Questions on Disclosure (General) Obligations
4.1	Do you agree with our proposal that technical reports and valuations required by the Listing Rules must be prepared by independent Competent Persons?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
	In order for a technical report to be useful to the shareholders and potential investors, the technical person who prepares a technical report must be independent in order to produce a unbiased report and must possess the qualification, expertise and experience relevant to the mineral business on which the technical report is prepared.
4.2	Do you agree with our proposal that a Competent Person must be a member of a Recognised Professional Organisation?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
	Please refer to our comment on question 4.1.

4.3	Do you agree that the Exchange should only accept Competent Persons' Reports (CPRs) prepared by Competent Persons who are registered in jurisdictions where the statutory securities regulator has adequate arrangements with the Securities and Futures Commission for mutual assistance and exchange of information for enforcing and securing compliance with relevant laws of each jurisdiction?			
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	Please	e provide specific reasons for your views.		
.4		ou agree that the CPR must have an effective date less than six months prior to the of the publication of the prospectus or circular required under the Listing Rules?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	Pleas	e provide specific reasons for your views.		
		This is in line with international standard.		
.5	Do yo	ou agree that CPRs must include an up to date no material change statement?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	Pleas	e provide specific reasons for your views.		

4.6	Do you agree that all Mineral and Exploration Companies must disclose in the where one is required, risk factors and provide a risk analysis in the format outlin Appendix I to the Consultation Paper?	
	Yes	
	⊠ No	
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.	
	It is because most other jurisdictions do not prescribe specific risks.	
4.7	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal that disclosure on risks must be provide part of a Competent Person's Report?	」 ed as
	Yes	
	⊠ No	
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.	
	Please see our comments on question 4.6.	
4.8	Do you agree that data on reserves and resources must be presented in tables in a mareadily understandable to a non-technical person?	l inner
	∑ Yes	
	□ No	
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.	

## Consultation Questions on Disclosure (Technical Reporting) Standards

5.1	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal to accept the three main JORC-type codes for the presentation of information on resources and reserves, namely the JORC Code, NI 43-101 and the SAMREC Code?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
	As most of the mineral and exploration assets listed in Hong Kong are in China, the Exchange should recognise the Chinese standards as soon as possible.
5.2	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal to request reconciliation to one of the above codes where information is presented in accordance with Russian or Chinese standards, until such time as they achieve widespread recognition or efforts at convergence between these standards and JORC-type codes are sufficiently advanced?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
	Please refer to my comments on question 5.1.
5.3	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal to require that estimates of mineral reserves be supported at a minimum by a pre-feasibility study as defined in the SAMREC Code and NI 43-101?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.

5.4	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal that information on mineral resources and mineral reserves must not be combined?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
	As we understand it, mineral reserves are economically mineable part of mineral resources and therefore mineral resources and mineral reserves must not be combined.
5.5	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal that mineral resources must only be included in economic analyses if they are appropriately discounted for the probabilities of their conversion to reserves and the basis on which they are considered to be economically extractable is stated?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
5.6	Do you agree with our proposal that Mineral and Exploration Companies must explain the methodology used to determine commodity prices used in pre-feasibility and feasibility-level studies and valuations of reserves and resources, and state the basis on which such prices represent reasonable views of future prices?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.

5.7	Do you agree with our proposal that Mineral and Exploration Companies must present sensitivity analyses on price in their valuations of reserves and profit forecasts?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
	Please, however, refer to our comments on question 5.10.
5.8	Do you consider that the requirement to state the methods used to determine prices and state the basis on which they are reasonable should extend to forecast prices of oil and gas?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
	Please, however, refer to our comments on question 5.10.
5.9	Do you agree with our proposal to adopt the PRMS as the accepted reporting code for CPRs related to oil and gas resources?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.

5.10	Do you agree with the proposal that Proved and Proved plus Probable Reserves be presented as Net Present Values ("NPVs") on a post-tax 'unrisked' basis at varying discount rates, including a reflection of the weighted average cost of capital or minimum acceptable rate of return applicable to the entity at the time of evaluation?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
	Provided that Reserves presented on NPVs basis will not be regarded as a profit forecast under Clause 11.17 of the Listing Rules. Although most new applicant Mineral and Exploration Companies will prepare forecasts which are subject to review and report by reporting accountants and financial advisors, most mineral and exploration assets acquired by existing listed companies do not prepare profit forecasts. If Reserves presented on NPVs basis is treated as a profit forecast, this will unnecessarily increase the costs and the timing for the relevant acquisition transactions as a profit foreast requires the review and report of a reporting accountant and a financial advisor.
5.11	Do you agree with the proposal that Proved Reserves and Proved plus Probable Reserves must be analysed separately and the principal assumptions must be stated in all cases?
	∑ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
5.12	Do you agree with the proposal that companies must present estimates of NPVs of reserves using a forecast price as a base case but must also provide a sensitivity analysis including a constant price, to be represented by the unweighted arithmetic average of the closing price on the first day of each month in that 12 month period? Please note the possible variation in this proposed rule applicable for companies that may be subject to the SEC's Oil and Gas Disclosure Standards in paragraph 5.59 of the Consultation Paper.
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views

Please refer to our comments on question 5.10.

5.13	-	ou agree with the Exchange's proposal that disclosures about estimated volumes of d gas resources should be allowed, provided relevant risk factors are clearly stated?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Please	provide specific reasons for your views.
5.14	_	u agree with our proposal that Mineral and Exploration Companies should not be ted to attach economic values to Contingent or Prospective Resources?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Please	provide specific reasons for your views.
		It is because of the uncertainty associated with resources.
5.15	-	u agree with the Exchange's proposed definition of 'Competent Person' for oil and porting?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Please	provide specific reasons for your views.
		Technical reports must be prepared by persons who possess the technical tise and experience to do so. We are of the opinion that the definition of petent Person" as proposed by the Exchange is appropriate and not excessive.

5.16	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal that CPRs must be prepared by independent Competent Persons and deal with the list of items in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
5.17	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal to accept the VALMIN, CIMVAL and SAMVAL valuation codes for the valuation of natural resources properties?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
	In addition to the proposed three codes, we propose that the Exchange should also accept valuation based on market approach. The market approach provides indication of value by comparing the subject asset to publicly available tradeable assets that have been traded in the market. This is an acceptable approach for valuation of assets.
5.18	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposed definition of 'Competent Person' for valuation purposes?
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.

We do not understand the reasons that a Competent Person for valuations requires double the years of experience for a Competent Person who compiles data on reserves and resources. To perform valuations, a Competent Person must also have at least five years of relevant and recent experience in the assessment and/or valuation of mineral or petroleum assets and securities. We are of the opinion such experience requirement is excessive and will limit the number of competent persons who can be qualified to perform valuations of mineral or petroleum. We propose that five years relevant valuation experience should be enough. On the other hand, we agree with other qualification requirements including independence, professionally qualification and a member of an RPO as proposed by the Exchange.

5.19	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal that company management and the relevant independent expert must determine whether a valuation report is required?			
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	Pleas	Please provide specific reasons for your views.		
	ration	n Questions on Continuing Obligations (for companies treated as Mineral and Companies and existing listed issuers engaging in mineral and/or exploration		
6.1	CPR	ou agree with our proposal that Mineral and Exploration Companies must produce s on transactions for the acquisition or disposal of resources and/or reserves, which re shareholder approval (i.e. transactions which are classed as 'major' or above)?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.			
6.2	resou requi short	you agree with our proposal that listed issuers which enter into acquisitions for access and/or reserves classed as major or above must also comply with the rement to produce CPRs? Do you consider that such companies should be granted a grace period for relevant transactions that have already been entered into and unced on implementation of the new rules?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	Pleas	e provide specific reasons for your views.		
	ı			

6.3	Do you agree with our proposal that, we may dispense with the requirement for CPRs or relevant transactions if detailed information on reserves and resources, in accordance with our approved mineral and/or oil and gas codes, is already in the public domain?			
	∑ Yes			
	□ No			
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.			
6.4	Do you agree listed issuers that have previously published details of reserves and resources must update such statements once a year in their annual reports?			
	⊠ Yes			
	No			
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.			
6.5	Do you agree with our proposal that Mineral and Exploration Companies must pletails of exploration, mining production and development activities and detexpenditure incurred on these three activities in their interim (half-yearly) and eports?	ails of		
	⊠ Yes			
	□ No			
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.			

6.6	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal to prohibit blanket disclaimers in technical reports?		
	⊠ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.		
6.7	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal to disallow material indemnities in favour of the Competent Person or entity that prepared the report?		
	⊠ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.		
Cons	ultation Question on Social and Environmental Standards		
7.1	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal to encourage Mineral and Exploration Companies to consider and provide disclosure on the social and environmental matters described in paragraph 7.1 of the Consultation Paper, where material to their business operations?		
	⊠ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.		

## Consultation Questions on Eligibility of exploration companies

8.1	Do you agree that Chapter 18 should be amended to allow Mineral and Exploration Companies that have mineral or oil and gas resources to apply for listing?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
8.2	Do you agree that it is not appropriate to list early stage exploration companies in the interests of investor protection, i.e. those that have not yet determined the existence or resources?
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.
	It is because all of the jurisdictions reviewed by the industry experts allow exploration stage companies to list.
8.3	Do you agree that new applicant Mineral and Exploration Companies that have not ye commenced production must disclose their plans to proceed to production with indicative dates and costs?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.

8.4	Do you consider that new applicant Mineral and Exploration Companies which have not yet commenced production should be subject to any additional eligibility requirements, such as a requirement to have a minimum market capitalisation?		
	☐ Yes		
	⊠ No		
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.		
	It appears that no other jurisdictions reviewed by the Exchange have imposed such additional eligibility requirements.		
8.5	Do you agree with the Exchange's proposed definition for 'Mineral and Exploration Companies'?		
	⊠ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please provide specific reasons for your views.		
	Except that we propose the proposed definition should include forestry companies engaged in the development and exploitation of natural forests as proposed in our comments on question 3.1.		

- End -