Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please make your comments by replying to questions below against proposed changes discussed in the Consultation Paper at the hyperlink:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/consul/paper/cp200909cr e.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

***1101	b more to mountain space provided for your comments, presses access additional pugget
A.	Financial information in circular or listing document
(1)	Accountants' report on the listed group for very substantial disposals (VSD)
1.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the current accountants' report requirements for VSD?
	⊠ Yes
	No
	Please provide reasons for your views.
	For the reasons set out in the Consultation Paper.
2.	If your answer to question 1 is "Yes", do you agree with our proposal to require a VSD circular to disclose financial information described in paragraph 15 of the Consultation Paper?
	⊠ Yes

Please provide reasons for your views.

No

Yes. However, the proposal has not mentioned whether a stub period is required if the latest financial year or period ended more than 6 months before the circular is issued. We suggest this be clarified.

We would like to point out that the revised HKAS 1 "Presentation of Financial Statements" (effective 1 January 2009) requires the disclosure of a "Statement of Comprehensive Income" which includes the disclosure of profit or loss. There is also an option to present a separate "Income Statement". However, in HKEx's proposal, only an "income statement" is required. This may create confusion in case where the Issuer only prepares a single Statement of Comprehensive Income. We suggest the terminology used in the Listing Rules be consistent with the accounting standards, and vice versa, in order to avoid confusion.

		r answers to questions 1 and 2 are "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our sal?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	If you	answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.
(2)	_	rting period of accountants' report in major acquisition or very antial acquisition circular
4.	reporti	au agree with our proposal to remove the requirement in Rule 4.06 that the ng period of an accountants' report in a major transaction or very substantial ition circular must cover the financial year immediately before the circular date?
	\boxtimes	Yes
	5772 883	No
	Please	provide reasons for your views.
		gree with the reasons set out in the Consultation Paper. This is a pragmatic ach to transaction occurs shortly after the year end.
5.	If you	r answer to question 4 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule ments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	If you	answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.

(3)	Indebtedness statement in a notifiable transaction circular
6.	Do you consider that the requirement for disclosing an indebtedness statement in a notifiable transaction circular should be retained?
	⊠ Yes
	No
	Please provide reasons for your views.
	Yes. An indebtedness statement contains important information about a company's financial flexibility, which is particularly important in assessing a company's financial position when it is undertaking a notifiable transaction.
(4)	Working capital statement in a notifiable transaction circular
7.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 14.66(10) to clarify that the working capital statement in a notifiable transaction circular must take into account the effect of the proposed transaction?
	⊠ Yes
	No No
	Please provide reasons for your views.
	For the reasons set out in the Consultation Paper.
8.	If your answer to question 7 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal? Yes

(5)	Reproducing published financial information in circular or listing document
9.	Do you agree with our proposal to allow issuers to make references in their circulars or listing documents to published documents set out in paragraph 31(3) of Appendix 1B, instead of reproducing the same information?
	⊠ Yes
	No
	Please provide reasons for your views.
	We agree with the reasons set out in the Consultation Paper. This is a practical and environmentally-friendly approach.
10.	If your answer to question 9 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?
	⊠ Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.
(6)	Combined financial information of the enlarged group under paragraph 31(3)(b) of Appendix 1B to the Rules
11.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for disclosure of a combined statement from paragraph 31(3)(b) of Appendix 1B to the Rules?
	⊠ Yes
	No No
	Please provide reasons for your views.
	For the reasons set out in the Consultation Paper.

12.	If your answer to question 11 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?
	⊠ Yes
	■ No
	If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.
B. (1)	Other disclosure requirements for circular or listing document Directors' statement on the accuracy and completeness of information in notifiable or connected transaction circular and listing document
13.	Do you agree with our proposal to modify the directors' responsibility statement to include a confirmation that the information in the document is accurate and complete in all material respects and not misleading or deceptive? Yes
	No
	Please provide reasons for your views.
	It is appropriate to align the responsibility statement with the disclosure principle in Rule 2.13.
14.	If your answer to question 13 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?
	⊠ Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.

(2) Information in board minutes for connected transactions

15.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the filing requirement for the board minutes approving connected transactions and instead, require issuers to disclose the information contained therein (i.e. whether any directors have a material interest in the transaction and have abstained from voting) in their connected transaction circulars (or if no circular is required under the Rules, their announcements)?
	⊠ Yes
	No No
	Please provide reasons for your views.
	For the reasons set out in the Consultation Paper.
16.	If your answer to question 15 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?
	⊠ Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.

(3)	Circular content requirements for a notifiable transaction in an acquisition and a disposal	volving
17.	Do you agree with our proposal that the circular content requirements for acquisition and the disposal under a transaction should be determine respective transaction classification?	
	⊠ Yes	
	No	
	Please provide reasons for your views.	
	For the reasons set out in the Consultation Paper.	
18.	If your answer to question 17 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our pr	
	⊠ Yes	
	No No	
	If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.	

(4)	Disclosure in listing documents of listed overseas or PRC issuer regarding provisions in constitutional document and regulatory provisions in the relevant jurisdiction
19.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the disclosure and document inspection requirements regarding provisions in constitutional documents and regulatory provisions for listing documents for subsequent issue of securities by PRC issuers and overseas issuers (other than in connection with an introduction or a deemed new listing under the Rules)?
	⊠ Yes
	No
	Please provide reasons for your views.
	For the reasons set out in the Consultation Paper.
20.	If your answer to question 19 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?
	⊠ Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.

21.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove the disclosure requirements under paragraphs 45, 46, 48 and 49 of Appendix 1B to the Rules for listing documents for subsequent issue of securities by PRC issuers?		
	\boxtimes	Yes	
	100 mg	No. The following disclosure requirement(s) should be retained (please check the appropriate box(es)):	
		Paragraph 45 of Appendix 1B	
		Paragraph 46 of Appendix 1B	
		Paragraph 48 of Appendix 1B	
		Paragraph 49 of Appendix 1B	
	Please	provide reasons for your views.	
	For th	e reasons set out in the Consultation Paper.	
22.		r answer to question 21 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule lments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	If you	r answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.	
		equirement to add reference to the previously published documents could be led in the Rule amendments.	
	L		

Additional disclosure requirements for listing documents of PRC

(5)

issuers

Tim	ing for despatch of notifiable or connected transaction circulars
notifi instea	ou agree with our proposal to remove the 21-day requirement for publication of a able or connected transaction circular (other than information circular) and ad, require disclosure of the expected timing for despatch of circular and the ns for any delay?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Pleas	e provide reasons for your views.
For the	he reasons set out in the Consultation Paper.
-	ou agree with our proposal to amend the timing requirement for despatch of nation circular from 21 calendar days to 15 business days?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Pleas	e provide reasons for your views.
This	is more practical.
•	ar answers to questions 23 and 24 are "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft amendment(s) in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our osals?
\boxtimes	Yes
2007 2007 2007	No
If you	ar answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.

C.

Timing for despatch of circulars

26.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend the timing for despatch of supplementary circulars from 14 calendar days to 10 business days?		
	Yes		
	No		
	Please provide reasons for your views.		
	10 business days can be longer than 14 days if public holidays fall inito the notice period. Usually, 7 clear days' notice is required for an adjourned meeting. Furthermore, a lot of preparatory work and resources are put in organising a general meeting. In order to strike a balance between a reasonable notice period and the proceeding of a transaction, we suggest changing 10 business days to 7 business days (which is already longer than 7 clear days for an adjourned meeting).		
27.	If your answer to question 26 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?		
	Yes		
	No		
	If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.		
28.	Are there any other comments you would like to make?		
	Yes		
	⊠ No		
	If your answer is "Yes", please elaborate your views.		

Timing for despatch of supplementary circulars

(2)