

Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: <http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201304.pdf>

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

Chapter III: Plain Language Amendments to Connected Transaction Rules

1. Do you support the proposal to re-write Chapter 14A?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

2. Do you consider that the draft new Chapter 14A in Appendix I of the Consultation Paper accurately reflects the current Chapter 14A?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

3. Do you have any other comments on the draft Rule amendments in Appendix I of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

No

If your answer is “Yes”, please elaborate your views.

Chapter IV: Scope of Connected Persons and Connected Transactions

Part 1 – Scope of connected persons

A. Definition of connected person

A(1) Connected persons at the issuer level

4. Do you agree that there is no need to extend the definition of connected person to the key management personnel of an issuer’s controlling shareholder/holding company?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

A(2) Connected persons at the subsidiary level

5. Do you support:

- (a) the proposal described in paragraph 90(a) of the Consultation Paper to require transactions with persons connected only at the subsidiary level be subject to the approval of the issuer’s board members (including independent non-executive directors) who do not have a material interest in the transaction, instead of the approval of shareholders, and disclosed to the shareholders?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

- (b) the proposal described in paragraph 90(b) of the Consultation Paper to exempt all transactions between the issuer group and connected persons at the subsidiary level, other than transactions between a subsidiary (or any subsidiary below it) and the person connected with that subsidiary?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

B. The deeming provision

6. Do you agree with the proposal to introduce principle-based tests described in paragraph 95 of the Consultation Paper for deeming a person as connected?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

C. Exceptions to the definition of connected person

- C(1) Insignificant subsidiary exemption (if persons connected at the subsidiary level are not excluded from the definition of connected person)**

7. Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 100 of the Consultation Paper to exempt all persons connected only because of its relationship with the issuer's insignificant subsidiaries?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your reviews.

C(2) Exemption for trustee interests

8. Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 105 of the Consultation Paper to exclude from the definition of associate any trustee of an employee share scheme or occupational pension scheme if the connected persons' interests in the scheme are less than 10%?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

C(3) Exemption for connected person holding an interest in an associate through the issuer

9. Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 110 of the Consultation Paper to clarify that the exemption in Note 1 to Rule 14A.11(4) (paragraph 9 of the Guide) would apply if the connected person and his associate's interests in the entity (other than those held through the issuer) are less than 10%?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

Part 2 – Scope of connected transactions

D. Financing arrangements with a commonly held entity

10. Do you agree that we should retain the connected transaction requirements for financing arrangements with commonly held entities?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

E. Buying or selling interests in a target company

11. Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(a) of the Consultation Paper to restrict Paragraph (i) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 27 to 29 of the Guide) to transactions involving controllers at the issuer level?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

12. Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(b) of the Consultation Paper to exclude disposals of interests in target companies from Paragraph (i) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 27 to 29 of the Guide)?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

13. Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(c) of the Consultation Paper to remove Paragraphs (ii) to (iv) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 31 and 32 of the Guide)?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

Chapter V: Connected Transaction Requirements

F. Compliance framework for continuing connected transactions (“CCTs”)

14. Do you consider that information provided to shareholders regarding CCTs conducted under framework agreements contains sufficient specificity, in particular as to the methods or procedures to determine pricing for investors to make informed decisions?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please also state the information that you consider should be disclosed in announcements and circulars.

Please give reasons for your views.

We consider that sufficient protection is given to investors by the combination of existing levels of disclosure, the fact that the transactions have to be on normal commercial terms (defined in the relevant rule as “terms which a party could obtain if the transaction were on an arms length basis or terms no less favourable to the group than terms available to or from independent third parties”) and the fact that this has to be confirmed annually by the INEDs. This is particularly the case where the provision of complex technical services makes it impracticable to give detailed pricing information. This applies for instance to aircraft maintenance services, the pricing of which is determined by reference to numerous complex technical factors, which vary by type of aircraft, component and technical standard involved and by type of service. Attempting to describe these factors in detail in disclosures to investors would result in particulars of excessive length and technicality which would not be of significant value to investors. In these circumstances, general references to the factors plus the annual normal commercial terms confirmations are sufficient and strike the right balance.

15. Do you consider that the current Rules governing CCTs and market practice in relation to CCTs that are conducted under framework agreements are appropriate? Do they provide sufficient safeguards to ensure that the transactions will be on normal commercial terms and will not be prejudicial to the interests of the issuers and its minority shareholders?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Sufficient safeguards to ensure that continuing connected transactions will be on normal commercial terms are already provided by the annual review by the issuer’s independent non-executive directors as required under current Rule 14A.37 (proposed Rule 14A.56) and by the fact that it is undertaken in the context of the required auditor’s confirmation.

F(1) Written agreements

16. Do you agree with the proposal to codify the waiver practice to allow an issuer to obtain a shareholders' mandate (or a mandate from the board if the transactions is exempt from the shareholder approval requirement) in lieu of a framework agreement with the connected person?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

We take it, for the avoidance of doubt, that the use of shareholders' mandates will not exclude the use of framework agreements.

17. If your answer to Question 16 is 'Yes':

- (a) Do you agree to limit the mandate period to not more than 3 years?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

We see no reason why, in circumstances where this would be appropriate in the case of framework agreements (and the relevant confirmations are accordingly given), the mandate period should not exceed three years. For example, in capital intensive industries, the relevant period should cover the depreciation period of capital equipment.

- (b) Do you agree with the waiver conditions described in paragraph 151 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

F(2) Annual cap

18. Do you support the proposal to allow the cap for a CCT of a revenue nature be expressed a percentage of the issuer's annual revenue or other financial items in its published audited accounts?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

F(3) Auditors' confirmation letter

19. Do you support the proposal described in paragraph 161 of the Consultation Paper to modify the Rules relating to auditors' confirmation on CCTs in line with PN 740?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

G. Requirements for connected transactions involving option arrangements

G(1) Transfer or non-exercise of option

20. Do you agree with the proposed alternative classification Rules for any transfer or non-exercise of an option?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

G(2) Termination of option

21. For any termination of an option involving a connected person:

(a) Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 170 of the Consultation Paper to classify the termination as if the option is exercised unless the issuer has no discretion over the termination?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

(b) Do you agree that the proposed alternative classification Rules described in paragraph 166 of the Consultation Paper should also apply to the termination?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

H. Minor changes to clarify the requirements relating to independent advice on connected transactions

22. Do you agree with the proposed Rule change to clarify that the independent board committee also needs to advise whether the connected transaction is on normal commercial terms and in the issuer’s ordinary and usual course of business?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

Chapter VI: Exemptions for Connected Transactions

I. De minimis exemptions

23. Do you agree that we should retain the monetary limit of HK\$1 million for fully exempt connected transactions?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, do you think that the limit should be increased to HK\$2 million, HK\$3 million, HK\$4 million, HK\$5 million, or some other amount (*please specify with reasons*)?

HK\$2 million

HK\$3 million

HK\$4 million

HK\$5 million

Other amount (*please specify*):

Please give reasons for your views.

The current monetary limit was set a long time ago in March 2004 and should be raised to take account of inflation and general improvement in corporate governance of Hong Kong listed issuers.

24. Do you agree that we should retain the monetary limit of HK\$10 million for connected transactions exempt from the shareholder approval requirements?

Yes

No. The appropriate limit should be (*please specify*): HK\$50 million

Please give reasons for your views.

Please see our response to Question 23.

J. Exemption for provision of consumer goods or services

25. Do you support the proposal described in paragraph 181 of the Consultation Paper to remove the 1% cap on transaction value for the exemption for provision or receipt of consumer goods or services?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

K. Exemption for provision of director’s indemnity

26. Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 183 of the Consultation Paper to exempt an issuer granting indemnity to a director against liabilities that may be incurred in the course of the director performing his duties, if it does not contravene any law of the issuer’s place of incorporation?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

27. Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 186 of the Consultation Paper to exempt an issuer purchasing and maintaining insurance for a director against liabilities to third parties that may be incurred in the course of performing his duties, if it does not contravene any law of the issuer’s place of incorporation?

Yes

No

If your answer is “No”, please give reasons for your views.

28. Do you have any other comments or suggestions relating to the connected transaction Rules?

Yes

No

If your answer is “Yes”, please elaborate your views.

- End -