#### Part B Consultation Questions

1.

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: <a href="http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201304.pdf">http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201304.pdf</a>

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

# **Chapter III: Plain Language Amendments to Connected Transaction Rules**

Do you support the proposal to re-write Chapter 14A?

$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No
If you	or answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
-	ou consider that the draft new Chapter 14A in Appendix I of the Consultation Paper ately reflects the current Chapter 14A?
	Yes
	•
[] If you	Yes
	Yes No

3.	Do you have any other comments on the draft Rule amendments in Appendix I of the Consultation Paper?			
		Yes		
	$\boxtimes$	No		
	If you	answer is "Yes", please elaborate your views.		
	L			
	pter 1sacti	IV: Scope of Connected Persons and Connected ons		
Part	1 – Sc	ope of connected persons		
A.	Defin	ition of connected person		
A(1)	Conn	ected persons at the issuer level		
4.	Do you agree that there is no need to extend the definition of connected person to the key management personnel of an issuer's controlling shareholder/holding company?			
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	If your	answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.		

## A(2) Connected persons at the subsidiary level

5.

	you sup	pport:
(a)	transac approv directo	oposal described in paragraph 90(a) of the Consultation Paper to require stions with persons connected only at the subsidiary level be subject to the all of the issuer's board members (including independent non-executive rs) who do not have a material interest in the transaction, instead of the all of shareholders, and disclosed to the shareholders?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	If your	answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
(b)	transac other t	oposal described in paragraph 90(b) of the Consultation Paper to exempt all tions between the issuer group and connected persons at the subsidiary level, han transactions between a subsidiary (or any subsidiary below it) and the connected with that subsidiary?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	16 - 10 11	answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

В.	The deeming provision		
6.	Do you agree with the proposal to introduce principle-based tests described in paragraph 95 of the Consultation Paper for deeming a person as connected?		
	⊠ Yes		
	No .		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.		
C.	Exceptions to the definition of connected person		
C(1) Insignificant subsidiary exemption (if persons connected at the subsidiary level are not excluded from the definition of connected person)			
7.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 100 of the Consultation Paper to exempt all persons connected only because of its relationship with the issuer's insignificant subsidiaries?		
	⊠ Yes		
	□ No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your reviews.		

## C(2) Exemption for trustee interests

8.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 105 of the Consultation Paper to exclude from the definition of associate any trustee of an employee share scheme or occupational pension scheme if the connected persons' interests in the scheme are less than 10%?
	∑ Yes
	■ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
C(3)	Exemption for connected person holding an interest in an associate through the issuer
9.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 110 of the Consultation Paper to clarify that the exemption in Note 1 to Rule 14A.11(4) (paragraph 9 of the Guide) would apply if the connected person and his associate's interests in the entity (other than those held through the issuer) are less than 10%?
	⊠ Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

## Part 2 – Scope of connected transactions

D.	Financing arrangements with a commonly held entity
10.	Do you agree that we should retain the connected transaction requirements for financing arrangements with commonly held entities?
	⊠ Yes
	■ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
E.	Buying or selling interests in a target company
11.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(a) of the Consultation Paper to restrict Paragraph (i) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 27 to 29 of the Guide) to transactions involving controllers at the issuer level?
	⊠ Yes
	■ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

to ex	ou agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(b) of the Consultation Pape clude disposals of interests in target companies from Paragraph (i) of Rule 13(1)(b) (paragraphs 27 to 29 of the Guide)?
$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No
If you	ar answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	move Paragraphs (ii) to (iv) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 31 and 32 of the
to rer	move Paragraphs (ii) to (iv) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 31 and 32 of the
to rer Guide	move Paragraphs (ii) to (iv) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 31 and 32 of the e)?
to rer	move Paragraphs (ii) to (iv) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 31 and 32 of the e)?  Yes
to rer	Yes No

# **Chapter V: Connected Transaction Requirements**

Com	ipliance framework for continuing connected transactions ("CCTs")
unde	ou consider that information provided to shareholders regarding CCTs conducted reframework agreements contains sufficient specificity, in particular as to the ods or procedures to determine pricing for investors to make informed decisions?
$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No
•	our answer is "No", please also state the information that you consider should be osed in announcements and circulars.
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
Do y CCT suffice	ou consider that the current Rules governing CCTs and market practice in relation to s that are conducted under framework agreements are appropriate? Do they provide
Do y CCT suffice	ou consider that the current Rules governing CCTs and market practice in relation to s that are conducted under framework agreements are appropriate? Do they provide cient safeguards to ensure that the transactions will be on normal commercial terms
Do y CCT suffic and v	ou consider that the current Rules governing CCTs and market practice in relation to s that are conducted under framework agreements are appropriate? Do they provide cient safeguards to ensure that the transactions will be on normal commercial terms will not be prejudicial to the interests of the issuers and its minority shareholders?

## F(1) Written agreements

16.	a sl the	you agree with the proposal to codify the waiver practice to allow an issuer to obtain nareholders' mandate (or a mandate from the board if the transactions is exempt from shareholder approval requirement) in lieu of a framework agreement with the meeted person?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Ify	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
17.	If y	our answer to Question 16 is 'Yes':
	(a)	Do you agree to limit the mandate period to not more than 3 years?
		No No
		If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	(b)	Do you agree with the waiver conditions described in paragraph 151 of the Consultation Paper?
		⊠ Yes
		No No
		If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

<b>F(2)</b>	Annual cap			
18.	Do you support the proposal to allow the cap for a CCT of a revenue nature be expressed a percentage of the issuer's annual revenue or other financial items in its published audited accounts?			
	⊠ Yes			
	No No			
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			
F(3)	Auditors' confirmation letter			
19.	Do you support the proposal described in paragraph 161 of the Consultation Paper to modify the Rules relating to auditors' confirmation on CCTs in line with PN 740?			
	⊠ Yes			
	No No			
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			

G.	Re	quirements for connected transactions involving option arrangements
<b>G</b> (1)	Tra	ansfer or non-exercise of option
20.		you agree with the proposed alternative classification Rules for any transfer or non-reise of an option?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	If y	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	L	
G(2)	Ter	rmination of option
21.	For	any termination of an option involving a connected person:
	(a)	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 170 of the Consultation Paper to classify the termination as if the option is exercised unless the issuer has no discretion over the termination?
		No No
		If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

	(b) Do you agree that the proposed alternative classification Rules described in paragraph 166 of the Consultation Paper should also apply to the termination?	in
	∑ Yes	
	No	
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	_
		٢
Н.	Minor changes to clarify the requirements relating to independer advice on connected transactions	ıŧ
22.	Do you agree with the proposed Rule change to clarify that the independent boar committee also needs to advise whether the connected transaction is on norm commercial terms and in the issuer's ordinary and usual course of business?	
	⊠ Yes	
	□ No	
	No No	

#### **Chapter VI: Exemptions for Connected Transactions**

De minimis exemptions

I.

#### 23. Do you agree that we should retain the monetary limit of HK\$1 million for fully exempt connected transactions? Yes X No If your answer is "No", do you think that the limit should be increased to HK\$2 million, HK\$3 million, HK\$4 million, HK\$5 million, or some other amount (please specify with reasons)? HK\$2 million HK\$3 million HK\$4 million HK\$5 million Other amount (please specify): Please give reasons for your views. The percentage of issuers that may benefit from the proposed increase in the limit is still of a reasonable figure. Do you agree that we should retain the monetary limit of HK\$10 million for connected 24. transactions exempt from the shareholder approval requirements? Yes X No. The appropriate limit should be (please specify): HK\$50 million Please give reasons for your views. The percentage of issuers that may benefit from the proposed increase in the limit is still of a reasonable figure.

J.	Exemption for provision of consumer goods or services
25.	Do you support the proposal described in paragraph 181 of the Consultation Paper to remove the 1% cap on transaction value for the exemption for provision or receipt of consumer goods or services?
	⊠ Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
K.	Exemption for provision of director's indemnity
<b>K.</b> 26.	Exemption for provision of director's indemnity  Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 183 of the Consultation Paper to exempt an issuer granting indemnity to a director against liabilities that may be incurred in the course of the director performing his duties, if it does not contravene any law of the issuer's place of incorporation?
	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 183 of the Consultation Paper to exempt an issuer granting indemnity to a director against liabilities that may be incurred in the course of the director performing his duties, if it does not contravene any law of the
	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 183 of the Consultation Paper to exempt an issuer granting indemnity to a director against liabilities that may be incurred in the course of the director performing his duties, if it does not contravene any law of the issuer's place of incorporation?

27.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 186 of the Consultation Paper to exempt an issuer purchasing and maintaining insurance for a director against liabilities to third parties that may be incurred in the course of performing his duties, if it does not contravene any law of the issuer's place of incorporation?
	⊠ Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
28.	Do you have any other comments or suggestions relating to the connected transaction Rules?
	Yes
	No
	If your answer is "Yes", please elaborate your views.
	- End -