Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201304.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

Chapter III: Plain Language Amendments to Connected Transaction Rules

1.	Do you support the proposal to re-write Chapter 14A?	
	☑ Yes	
	No No	
	f your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	
2.	Oo you consider that the draft new Chapter 14A in Appendix I of the Consultation Pap courately reflects the current Chapter 14A?	er
	Yes	
	No	
	f your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	
3.	Do you have any other comments on the draft Rule amendments in Appendix I of the Consultation Paper?	
	Yes	
	No No	
	f your answer is "Yes", please elaborate your views.	

Chapter IV: Scope of Connected Persons and Connected Transactions

Part 1 – Scope of connected persons

A. Definition of connected person

A(1) Connected persons at the issuer level

4.	-	ou agree that there is no need to extend the definition of connected person to the key gement personnel of an issuer's controlling shareholder/holding company?
	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes
		No
	If you	ur answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

A(2) Connected persons at the subsidiary level

- 5. Do you support:
 - (a) the proposal described in paragraph 90(a) of the Consultation Paper to require transactions with persons connected only at the subsidiary level be subject to the approval of the issuer's board members (including independent non-executive directors) who do not have a material interest in the transaction, instead of the approval of shareholders, and disclosed to the shareholders?

✓ Yes No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

While we note that certain assumptions are made in paragraph 89 about the likely nature of the 90 transactions conducted in 2011 between subsidiaries and persons connected with those subsidiaries, which may or may not be justified, we consider that as long as there is no connection between, for example, entity "X" in the diagram and the issuer, the proposal is acceptable.

V	Yes
	No

(b) the proposal described in paragraph 90(b) of the Consultation Paper to exempt all transactions between the issuer group and connected persons at the subsidiary level,

B. The deeming provision

6. Do you agree with the proposal to introduce principle-based tests described in paragraph 95 of the Consultation Paper for deeming a person as connected?

Yes

✓ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

We are of the view that the current general deeming provision, empowering the Exchange "to deem any person to be an issuer's connected person" (paragraph 14A.16 of the draft new Chapter 14A, Appendix 1 to the consultation paper) is sufficiently wide to serve the purpose.

However, if it is considered necessary and appropriate to specify certain additional persons that will be deemed by the Exchange to be connected persons, it would be better and less confusing to adopt similar phraseology to the existing examples in paragraphs 14A.17 and 14A.18.

Furthermore, we would recommend that if the persons specified in the first bullet point in paragraph 95 of the consultation paper, i.e., "shadow director or de facto controlling shareholder of the issuer", are to be given as examples of persons that will be deemed to be connected persons, they should be more clearly defined. In addition, the test that will be adopted by the Exchange for assessing whether a person is accustomed to acting according to a connected person's directions or instructions, should also be indicated, in order to provide clarity and facilitate better understanding of the connected transaction rules, which is one of the objectives of rewriting Chapter 14A.

C.	Exceptions to the definition of connected person
C(1)	Insignificant subsidiary exemption (if persons connected at the subsidiary level are not excluded from the definition of connected person)
7.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 100 of the Consultation Paper to exempt all persons connected only because of its relationship with the issuer's insignificant subsidiaries?
	☑ Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your reviews.
C(2)	Exemption for trustee interests
8.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 105 of the Consultation Paper to exclude from the definition of associate any trustee of an employee share scheme or occupational pension scheme if the connected persons' interests in the scheme are less than 10%?
	✓ Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
C(3)	Exemption for connected person holding an interest in an associate through the issuer
9.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 110 of the Consultation Paper to clarify that the exemption in Note 1 to Rule 14A.11(4) (paragraph 9 of the Guide) would apply if the connected person and his associate's interests in the entity (other than those held through the issuer) are less than 10%?
	✓ Yes
	No No

	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
Part	t 2 – Scope of connected transactions
D.	Financing arrangements with a commonly held entity
10.	Do you agree that we should retain the connected transaction requirements for financing arrangements with commonly held entities?
	☑ Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
E.	Buying or selling interests in a target company
11.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(a) of the Consultation Pape to restrict Paragraph (i) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 27 to 29 of the Guide) to transactions involving controllers at the issuer level?
	☑ Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
12.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(b) of the Consultation Pape to exclude disposals of interests in target companies from Paragraph (i) of Rul 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 27 to 29 of the Guide)?
	☑ Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	However, we recommend that, rather than having to apply the deeming provision, which may give rise to uncertainty in the market, the Exchange should consider retaining a third party transaction with a listed issuer as a connected transaction if the third party has entered, or proposes to enter, into any agreement or arrangement with a connected person with respect to his/its transaction with the issuer.

13.		ou agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(c) of the Consultation Paper move Paragraphs (ii) to (iv) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 31 and 32 of the e)?
		Yes
		No
	If you	ur answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
Cha	pter	V: Connected Transaction Requirements
F.	Con	pliance framework for continuing connected transactions ("CCTs")
14.	under	ou consider that information provided to shareholders regarding CCTs conducted framework agreements contains sufficient specificity, in particular as to the ods or procedures to determine pricing for investors to make informed decisions?
		Yes
		No
		ur answer is "No", please also state the information that you consider should be osed in announcements and circulars.
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
		consider that it is important to strike a balance between the level of transparency disclosure of detailed terms about the transactions which may be commercially

We consider that it is important to strike a balance between the level of transparency and disclosure of detailed terms about the transactions which may be commercially sensitive, disclosure of which could be detrimental to the business/operation of the issuer. We are of the view that the information currently disclosed by listed issuers in relation to the methods or procedures to determine pricing (e.g., on normal commercial terms, at market price, on a "cost plus" basis, at a certain percent discount to the average wholesale price, etc.) could benefit from some additional information on how the relevant benchmarks have been determined in individual cases. However, given that there are other conditions and safeguards provided in the Listing Rules to protect the interest of shareholders, disclosure of the detailed terms of transactions may not be necessary.

15.	CCTs suffici	u consider that the current Rules governing CCTs and market practice in relation to that are conducted under framework agreements are appropriate? Do they provide tent safeguards to ensure that the transactions will be on normal commercial terms ill not be prejudicial to the interests of the issuers and its minority shareholders?
		Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	See t	he answer to question 14 above.
F(1)	Writ	ten agreements
16.	shareh	u agree with the proposal to codify the waiver practice to allow an issuer to obtain a holders' mandate (or a mandate from the board if the transactions is exempt from hareholder approval requirement) in lieu of a framework agreement with the cted person?
		Yes
		No
	If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
17.	If you	r answer to Question 16 is 'Yes':
	(a) D	o you agree to limit the mandate period to not more than 3 years?
		Yes
	 ✓	No
	If	your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	t t	We consider that three years could be an indicator under normal circumstance. The Exchange should be open and flexible in considering an issuer's request, supported by reasonable grounds and justifications, for a longer mandate period, aking into consideration the nature of the issuer's industry and the subject of the ransaction. For instance, we suggest that capital intensive types of transactions could allow for a longer mandate period, which could, say, take account of the life of the asset for depreciation purposes.

	(b) Do you agree with the waiver conditions described in paragraph 151 of the Consultation Paper?
	☑ Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
F(2)	Annual cap
18.	Do you support the proposal to allow the cap for a CCT of a revenue nature be expressed a percentage of the issuer's annual revenue or other financial items in its published audited accounts?
	☑ Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
F(3)	Auditors' confirmation letter
19.	Do you support the proposal described in paragraph 161 of the Consultation Paper to modify the Rules relating to auditors' confirmation on CCTs in line with PN 740?
	☑ Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

G.	Ne	quirements for connected transactions involving option arrangements
G(1)	Tra	ansfer or non-exercise of option
20.		you agree with the proposed alternative classification Rules for any transfer or non-rcise of an option?
		Yes
		No
	If y	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
G(2)	Te	rmination of option
21.	For	any termination of an option involving a connected person:
	(a)	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 170 of the Consultation Paper to classify the termination as if the option is exercised unless the issuer has no discretion over the termination?
		☑ Yes
		No
		If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	(b)	Do you agree that the proposed alternative classification Rules described in paragraph 166 of the Consultation Paper should also apply to the termination?
		☑ Yes
		No
		If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

н.	Minor changes to clarify the requirements relating to independent advice on connected transactions
22.	Do you agree with the proposed Rule change to clarify that the independent board committee also needs to advise whether the connected transaction is on norma commercial terms and in the issuer's ordinary and usual course of business?
	☑ Yes
	No No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
Cha	apter VI: Exemptions for Connected Transactions
I.	De minimis exemptions
23.	Do you agree that we should retain the monetary limit of HK\$1 million for fully exemp connected transactions?
	Yes
	☑ No
	If your answer is "No", do you think that the limit should be increased to HK\$2 million HK\$3 million, HK\$4 million, HK\$5 million, or some other amount (please specify with reasons)?
	HK\$2 million HK\$3 million

Please give reasons for your views.

Other amount (please specify):

HK\$4 million HK\$5 million

We consider that it is not unreasonable to increase the monetary limit from HK\$1 million to HK\$2 million, in view of the fact that the former amount has been in place for more than 10 years.

24.	Do you agree that we should retain the monetary limit of HK\$10 million for connected transactions exempt from the shareholder approval requirements?
	☑ Yes
	No. The appropriate limit should be (please specify):
	Please give reasons for your views.
J.	Exemption for provision of consumer goods or services
25.	Do you support the proposal described in paragraph 181 of the Consultation Paper to remove the 1% cap on transaction value for the exemption for provision or receipt of consumer goods or services?
	☑ Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
K.	Exemption for provision of director's indemnity
26.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 183 of the Consultation Paper to exempt an issuer granting indemnity to a director against liabilities that may be incurred in the course of the director performing his duties, if it does not contravene any law of the issuer's place of incorporation?
	☑ Yes
	No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

exer third	you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 186 of the Consultation Paper to an issuer purchasing and maintaining insurance for a director against liabilities to parties that may be incurred in the course of performing his duties, if it does not ravene any law of the issuer's place of incorporation?
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
	No
If yo	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
Do Rule	you have any other comments or suggestions relating to the connected transactions?
	es?
Rule	Yes
Rule	Yes No