#### **Part B** Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: <a href="http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201304.pdf">http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201304.pdf</a>

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

# **Chapter III: Plain Language Amendments to Connected Transaction Rules**

1.	Do y	ou support the proposal to re-write Chapter 14A?
		Yes
		No
	If yo	ur answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
2.		ou consider that the draft new Chapter 14A in Appendix I of the Consultation Paper
	accur	rately reflects the current Chapter 14A?
		Yes
		No
	If yo	ur answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

3.	Do you have any other comments on the draft Rule amendments in Appendix I of the Consultation Paper?			
	☐ Yes			
	√ No			
	If your answer is "Yes", please elaborate your views.			
	pter IV: Scope of Connected Persons and Connected nsactions			
Part	1 – Scope of connected persons			
A.	Definition of connected person			
A(1)	Connected persons at the issuer level			
4.	Do you agree that there is no need to extend the definition of connected person to the key management personnel of an issuer's controlling shareholder/holding company?			
	√ Yes			
	□ No			
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			

## A(2) Connected persons at the subsidiary level

5.

Do	you support:
(a)	the proposal described in paragraph 90(a) of the Consultation Paper to require transactions with persons connected only at the subsidiary level be subject to the approval of the issuer's board members (including independent non-executive directors) who do not have a material interest in the transaction, instead of the approval of shareholders, and disclosed to the shareholders?
	Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
(b)	the proposal described in paragraph 90(b) of the Consultation Paper to exempt all transactions between the issuer group and connected persons at the subsidiary level other than transactions between a subsidiary (or any subsidiary below it) and the person connected with that subsidiary?
	Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

n			
ĸ	I ha	dooming	nrameian
В.	1116	uccilling	DI OVISIOH
			provision

6.	Do you agree with the proposal to introduce principle-based tests described in paragraph 95 of the Consultation Paper for deeming a person as connected?
	√ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	We suggest that more guidance could usefully be given on the terms: "a shadow director or de facto controlling shareholder of the issuer".
<b>C.</b>	Exceptions to the definition of connected person
C(1)	Insignificant subsidiary exemption (if persons connected at the subsidiary level are not excluded from the definition of connected person)
7.	person)
,.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 100 of the Consultation Paper to exempt all persons connected only because of its relationship with the issuer's insignificant subsidiaries?
,,	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 100 of the Consultation Paper to exempt all persons connected only because of its relationship with the issuer's
<i>,</i> .	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 100 of the Consultation Paper to exempt all persons connected only because of its relationship with the issuer's insignificant subsidiaries?
,.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 100 of the Consultation Paper to exempt all persons connected only because of its relationship with the issuer's insignificant subsidiaries?  Yes
,,	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 100 of the Consultation Paper to exempt all persons connected only because of its relationship with the issuer's insignificant subsidiaries?  Yes  No

## C(2) Exemption for trustee interests

8.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 105 of the Consultation Paper to exclude from the definition of associate any trustee of an employee share scheme or occupational pension scheme if the connected persons' interests in the scheme are less than 10%?
	√ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
C(3)	Exemption for connected person holding an interest in an associate through the issuer
9.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 110 of the Consultation Paper to clarify that the exemption in Note 1 to Rule 14A.11(4) (paragraph 9 of the Guide) would apply if the connected person and his associate's interests in the entity (other than those held through the issuer) are less than 10%?
	√ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

## $\label{eq:part2-Scope} \textbf{Part 2-Scope of connected transactions}$

#### D. Financing arrangements with a commonly held entity

•	u agree that we should retain the connected transaction requirements for financing ements with commonly held entities?
	Yes
	No
If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
Buyi	ng or selling interests in a target company
Do yo to rest	u agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(a) of the Consultation Paper
Do yo to rest	u agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(a) of the Consultation Paper trict Paragraph (i) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 27 to 29 of the Guide) to
Do yo to rest	u agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(a) of the Consultation Paper trict Paragraph (i) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 27 to 29 of the Guide) to ctions involving controllers at the issuer level?
Do yo to rest transact	u agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(a) of the Consultation Paper trict Paragraph (i) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 27 to 29 of the Guide) to ctions involving controllers at the issuer level?  Yes
Do yo to rest transact	u agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(a) of the Consultation Paper trict Paragraph (i) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 27 to 29 of the Guide) to ctions involving controllers at the issuer level?  Yes  No

12.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(b) of the Consultation Paper to exclude disposals of interests in target companies from Paragraph (i) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 27 to 29 of the Guide)?
	V Yes
	■ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
13.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(c) of the Consultation Paper to remove Paragraphs (ii) to (iv) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 31 and 32 of the Guide)?  Yes
	■ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

# **Chapter V: Connected Transaction Requirements**

•	Compliance framework for continuing connected transactions ("CCTs")
1.	Do you consider that information provided to shareholders regarding CCTs conducted under framework agreements contains sufficient specificity, in particular as to the methods or procedures to determine pricing for investors to make informed decisions?
	Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please also state the information that you consider should be disclosed in announcements and circulars.
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Trease give reasons for your views.
5.	Do you consider that the current Rules governing CCTs and market practice in relation to CCTs that are conducted under framework agreements are appropriate? Do they provide sufficient safeguards to ensure that the transactions will be on normal commercial terms and will not be prejudicial to the interests of the issuers and its minority shareholders?
5.	Do you consider that the current Rules governing CCTs and market practice in relation to CCTs that are conducted under framework agreements are appropriate? Do they provide sufficient safeguards to ensure that the transactions will be on normal commercial terms
Š.	Do you consider that the current Rules governing CCTs and market practice in relation to CCTs that are conducted under framework agreements are appropriate? Do they provide sufficient safeguards to ensure that the transactions will be on normal commercial terms and will not be prejudicial to the interests of the issuers and its minority shareholders?

## **F**(1) Written agreements

16.	a sha	rou agree with the proposal to codify the waiver practice to allow an issuer to obtain areholders' mandate (or a mandate from the board if the transactions is exempt from shareholder approval requirement) in lieu of a framework agreement with the ected person?
		Yes
		No
	If yo	ur answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
17.	·	ur answer to Question 16 is 'Yes':  Do you agree to limit the mandate period to not more than 3 years?  Yes
	[	No  If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
		Notwithstanding the fact that certain continuing connected transactions (e.g. rental arrangements between the Listco and connected parties) may have a term of more than 3 years, we consider that the current regime of "not more than 3 years" allows listed companies and connected parties to review the terms of the existing arrangements on a periodic/ regular basis.
		Do you agree with the waiver conditions described in paragraph 151 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
	[	No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

<b>F</b> (2)	Annual cap
18.	Do you support the proposal to allow the cap for a CCT of a revenue nature be expressed a percentage of the issuer's annual revenue or other financial items in its published audited accounts?
	Yes
	■ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
<b>F</b> (3)	Auditors' confirmation letter
19.	Do you support the proposal described in paragraph 161 of the Consultation Paper to modify the Rules relating to auditors' confirmation on CCTs in line with PN 740?
	Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

<b>G</b> (1)	Transfer or non-exercise of option
20.	Do you agree with the proposed alternative classification Rules for any transfer or non-exercise of an option?
	Yes
	■ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
<b>G</b> (2)	Termination of option
21.	For any termination of an option involving a connected person:
	(a) Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 170 of the Consultation Paper to classify the termination as if the option is exercised unless the issuer has no discretion over the termination?
	Yes
	□ No

Requirements for connected transactions involving option arrangements

G.

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

	(b)	Do you agree that the proposed alternative classification Rules described in paragraph 166 of the Consultation Paper should also apply to the termination?		
		V Yes		
		□ No		
		If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.		
Н.		nor changes to clarify the requirements relating to independent vice on connected transactions		
22.	Do you agree with the proposed Rule change to clarify that the independent boa committee also needs to advise whether the connected transaction is on norm commercial terms and in the issuer's ordinary and usual course of business?			
		Yes		
		No		
	If y	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.		

# **Chapter VI: Exemptions for Connected Transactions**

De n	minimis exemptions
	ou agree that we should retain the monetary limit of HK\$1 million for fully exempted transactions?
	Yes
	No
•	ur answer is "No", do you think that the limit should be increased to HK\$2 million million, HK\$4 million, HK\$5 million, or some other amount ( <i>please specify with ons</i> )?
<b>√</b>	HK\$2 million HK\$3 million HK\$4 million HK\$5 million Other amount (please specify):
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
	limit shall be increased to HK\$2 million taking into account inflationary factors e the de-minimis limit of HK\$1 million was set.
	ou agree that we should retain the monetary limit of HK\$10 million for connected actions exempt from the shareholder approval requirements?
	Yes
	No. The appropriate limit should be (please specify):
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.

19

J.	Exemption f	for provision (	of consumer	goods or	services

25.	Do you support the proposal described in paragraph 181 of the Consultation Paper to remove the 1% cap on transaction value for the exemption for provision or receipt of consumer goods or services?			
	√ Yes			
	□ No			
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			
K.	Exemption for provision of director's indemnity			
26.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 183 of the Consultation Paper to exempt an issuer granting indemnity to a director against liabilities that may be incurred in the course of the director performing his duties, if it does not contravene any law of the issuer's place of incorporation?			
	V Yes			
	□ No			
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			

27.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 186 of the Consultation Paper to exempt an issuer purchasing and maintaining insurance for a director against liabilities to third parties that may be incurred in the course of performing his duties, if it does not contravene any law of the issuer's place of incorporation?			
	Yes			
	■ No			
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			
28.	Do you have any other comments or suggestions relating to the connected transaction Rules?			
	V Yes			
	□ No			
	If your answer is "Yes", please elaborate your views.			
	- End -			