#### Part B Consultation Questions

ī

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201304.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

# Chapter III: Plain Language Amendments to Connected Transaction Rules

Do you support the proposal to re-write Chapter 14A?

•	
V	Yes
	No
If you	ur answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	•
	on consider that the draft new Chapter 14A in Appendix I of the Consultation Paper ately reflects the current Chapter I4A?
accura	ately reflects the current Chapter I4A?
accura	ately reflects the current Chapter I4A?
accura	Yes
accura	Yes No comment.

3.	Do yo	ou have any other comments on the draft Rule amendments in Appendix I of the altation Paper?
		Yes
	$\overline{\mathbf{M}}$	No
	If you	ur answer is "Yes", please elaborate your views.
•		
	<u> </u>	
	pter isact:	IV: Scope of Connected Persons and Connected ions
Part	1 – S	cope of connected persons
A.	Defin	nition of connected person
A(1)	Conr	nected persons at the issuer level
4.		ou agree that there is no need to extend the definition of connected person to the key gement personnel of an issuer's controlling shareholder/holding company?
	Ø	Yes
		No
	If you	or answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

.

### A(2) Connected persons at the subsidiary level

5.

Do	you supp	ort;
(a)	transaction approval directors	cosal described in paragraph 90(a) of the Consultation Paper to require ons with persons connected only at the subsidiary level be subject to the of the issuer's board members (including independent non-executive) who do not have a material interest in the transaction, instead of the of shareholders, and disclosed to the shareholders?
		Yes
		No
	If your a	nswer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
(b)	transaction other than	osal described in paragraph 90(b) of the Consultation Paper to exempt all one between the issuer group and connected persons at the subsidiary level on transactions between a subsidiary (or any subsidiary below it) and the connected with that subsidiary?
		Yes
		No
	If your a	nswer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

	•	1
В,	The deeming provision	
6.	Do you agree with the proposal to introduce principle-based tests described in paragraph 95 of the Consultation Paper for deeming a person as connected?	
	☐ Yes	
	☑ No	ſ
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	·
	See practical difficulty to ascertain who is shadow director / person with de facto control so as in the procedure for monitoring.	
C. C(1)	Exceptions to the definition of connected person  Insignificant subsidiary exemption (if persons connected at the subsidiary level are not excluded from the definition of connected person)	
	Insignificant subsidiary exemption (if persons connected at the subsidiary level are not excluded from the definition of connected	
C(1)	Insignificant subsidiary exemption (if persons connected at the subsidiary level are not excluded from the definition of connected person)  Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 100 of the Consultation Paper to exempt all persons connected only because of its relationship with the issuer's	
C(1)	Insignificant subsidiary exemption (if persons connected at the subsidiary level are not excluded from the definition of connected person)  Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 100 of the Consultation Paper to exempt all persons connected only because of its relationship with the issuer's insignificant subsidiaries?	
C(1)	Insignificant subsidiary exemption (if persons connected at the subsidiary level are not excluded from the definition of connected person)  Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 100 of the Consultation Paper to exempt all persons connected only because of its relationship with the issuer's insignificant subsidiaries?  Yes	

ľ

#### C(2) Exemption for trustee interests

8.	exclu	ou agree with the proposal described in paragraph 105 of the Consultation Paper to de from the definition of associate any trustee of an employee share scheme or pational pension scheme if the connected persons' interests in the scheme are less 10%?
	M	Yes
		No
	If you	ir answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
C( <b>3</b> )		nption for connected person holding an interest in an associate ugh the issuer
9.	clarify apply	ou agree with the proposal described in paragraph 110 of the Consultation Paper to y that the exemption in Note I to Rule 14A.I1(4) (paragraph 9 of the Guide) would if the connected person and his associate's interests in the entity (other than those hrough the issuer) are less than 10%?
		Yes
		No
	If you	No answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

## Part 2 – Scope of connected transactions

T. HIIS	ncing arrangements with a commonly held entity
Do y arran	on agree that we should retain the connected transaction requirements for financing gements with commonly held entities?
	Yes   Indifference.
	No Indifference.
Shor	er comments: ald exempt finances from the connected persons in excess of its shareholding ortion and under terms which is more favourable to the commonly held entity.
До у	ing or selling interests in a target company ou agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(a) of the Consultation Paper
Do y	ou agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(a) of the Consultation Paper
Do y	ou agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(a) of the Consultation Paper strict Paragraph (i) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 27 to 29 of the Guide) to
Do y to re trans	ou agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(a) of the Consultation Paper strict Paragraph (i) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 27 to 29 of the Guide) to actions involving controllers at the issuer level?

12.	to ex	ou agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(b) of the Consultation Paper relude disposals of interests in target companies from Paragraph (i) of Rule 13(I)(b) (paragraphs 27 to 29 of the Guide)?
	V	Yes
		No
	If you	or answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
13.	Do y to re Guid	ou agree with the proposal described in paragraph 131(c) of the Consultation Paper
		move Paragraphs (ii) to (iv) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 31 and 32 of the
	<b>V</b>	move Paragraphs (ii) to (iv) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 31 and 32 of the
		move Paragraphs (ii) to (iv) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 31 and 32 of the e)?
		move Paragraphs (ii) to (iv) of Rule 14A.13(1)(b) (paragraphs 31 and 32 of the e)?  Yes

## Chapter V: Connected Transaction Requirements

F.	Compliance framework for continuing connected transactions ("CCTs")
14.	Do you consider that information provided to shareholders regarding CCTs conducted under framework agreements contains sufficient specificity, in particular as to the methods or procedures to determine pricing for investors to make informed decisions?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please also state the information that you consider should be disclosed in announcements and circulars.
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Consider too much information (especially information about pricing basis). The determination of cap may have to be based on business projection and detailed pricing formulae. Such information which may be confidential trade information of the company and the disclosure may jeopardise the interest of the issuer.
15.	Do you consider that the current Rules governing CCTs and market practice in relation to CCTs that are conducted under framework agreements are appropriate? Do they provide sufficient safeguards to ensure that the transactions will be on normal commercial terms and will not be prejudicial to the interests of the issuers and its minority shareholders?
	Yes (subject to the following view)
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	As the CCTs have to be subject to annual review by independent non-executive directors and external auditors.  However, not all transactions can be put under a framework agreement, in particular those transactions which falls under the normal and usual course of business of the transacting parties. E.g. normal banking transaction (but the counter party bank is an overseas bank which does not fall into the current exemption of the Listing Rules.

F	(1)	Written	agreements
---	-----	---------	------------

16.	Do you agree with the proposal to codify the waiver practice to allow an issuer to obtain a shareholders' mandate (or a mandate from the board if the transactions is exempt from the shareholder approval requirement) in lieu of a framework agreement with the connected person?			
	V	Yes		
		No		
	Ify	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	1	
	1			
17.	_	our answer to Question 16 is 'Yes':  Do you agree to limit the mandate period to not more than 3 years?		
	(a)			
		☑ Yes		
		□ No		
		If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.		
	(b)	Do you agree with the waiver conditions described in paragraph 151 of Consultation Paper?	the	
		☑ Yes		
		□ No		
		If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.		

F(2)	Annual cap
18.	Do you support the proposal to allow the cap for a CCT of a revenue nature be expressed a percentage of the issuer's annual revenue or other financial items in its published audited accounts?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	Other views: Please consider further extending it to be expressed in other basis, e.g. total profit before / after tax, total asset, as considered appropriate to the nature of transaction.
F(3)	Auditors' confirmation letter
19.	Do you support the proposal described in paragraph 161 of the Consultation Paper to modify the Rules relating to auditors' confirmation on CCTs in line with PN 740?
	☑ Yes
	□ №
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

G.	Requirements for connected transactions involving option arrangements
G(1)	Transfer or non-exercise of option
20.	Do you agree with the proposed alternative classification Rules for any transfer or non-exercise of an option?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
G( <b>2</b> )	Termination of option
21.	For any termination of an option involving a connected person:
	(a) Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 170 of the Consultation Paper to classify the termination as if the option is exercised unless the issuer has no discretion over the termination?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

(b) Do you agree that the proposed alternative classification Rules described in paragraph 166 of the Consultation Paper should also apply to the termination?

	⊻i Yes		
	No No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.		
H.	Minor changes to clarify the requirements relating to independent advice on connected transactions		
22.	Do you agree with the proposed Rule change to clarify that the independent board committee also needs to advise whether the connected transaction is on normal commercial terms and in the issuer's ordinary and usual course of business?		
	☑ Yes		
	□ No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.		

## Chapter VI: Exemptions for Connected Transactions

I.	De minimis exemptions				
23.	Do you agree that we should retain the monetary limit of HK\$1 million for fully exempt connected transactions?				
		Yes			
	V	No			
	If your answer is "No", do you think that the limit should be increased to HK\$2 million, HK\$3 million, HK\$4 million, HK\$5 million, or some other amount (please specify with reasons)?				
, "' 13,		HK\$2 million			
. **		HK\$3 million			
		HK\$4 million			
		HK\$5 million			
		Other amount (please specify):			
	Please give reasons for your views.				
24.	Do you agree that we should retain the monetary limit of HK\$10 million for connected transactions exempt from the shareholder approval requirements?				
		Yes			
	V	No. The appropriate limit should be (please specify): HK\$20 million.			
	Please give reasons for your views.				

J.	Exemption for provision of consumer goods or services		
25.	Do you support the proposal described in paragraph 181 of the Consultation Paper to remove the 1% cap on transaction value for the exemption for provision or receipt of consumer goods or services?		
	☑ Yes		
	□ No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.		
K.	Exemption for provision of director's indemnity		
26.	Do you agree with the proposal described in paragraph 183 of the Consultation Paper to exempt an issuer granting indemnity to a director against liabilities that may be incurred in the course of the director performing his duties, if it does not contravene any law of the issuer's place of incorporation?		
	exempt an issuer granting indemnity to a director against liabilities that may be incur in the course of the director performing his duties, if it does not contravene any law of	red	
	exempt an issuer granting indemnity to a director against liabilities that may be incur in the course of the director performing his duties, if it does not contravene any law of	red	
	exempt an issuer granting indemnity to a director against liabilities that may be incur in the course of the director performing his duties, if it does not contravene any law of issuer's place of incorporation?	red	
	exempt an issuer granting indemnity to a director against liabilities that may be incurs in the course of the director performing his duties, if it does not contravene any law of issuer's place of incorporation?  Yes	red	

27.	exempt an third parti	gree with the proposal described in paragraph 186 of the Consultation Paper to issuer purchasing and maintaining insurance for a director against liabilities to set that may be incurred in the course of performing his duties, if it does not any law of the issuer's place of incorporation?		
	☑ Ye	28		
	□ No			
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			
	:			
28.	Do you have any other comments or suggestions relating to the connected transaction Rules?			
خس <i>ـ</i>	Ŭ Ye	es e		
	□ No			
	If your answer is "Yes", please elaborate your views.			
	with finar	should include an exemption to allow issuer to do normal banking business neighbors (licensed in other jurisdictions), not just limited to financial as under the Banking Ordinance in Hong Kong.		
	<u></u>			