## **Part B** Consultation Questions

Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Concept Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: [add link]. Please indicate your preference by ticking the appropriate boxes.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

We encourage you to read all of the following questions before responding.

		d the E	Exchange in no circumstances allow companies to use WVR structures?
		Yes	(in no circumstances allow companies to use WVR structures)
	$\boxtimes$	No	
	Please	e give	reasons for your views below.
			the remaining questions if you believe there are circumstances in which be allowed to use WVR structures.
2.	Shoul	d the E	Exchange permit WVR structures:
2.	Shoul (a)	d the I	Exchange permit WVR structures:  for all companies, including existing listed companies; or
2.		d the H	
2.	(a)	d the H	for all companies, including existing listed companies; or only for new applicants (see paragraphs 147 to 152 of the Concept Paper); or

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  References to "the Exchange" in this Questionnaire mean The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited, a HKEx subsidiary.

		or
	(ii)	"innovative" companies (see paragraphs 163 to 164 of the Concept Paper), please specify how we should define such companies below;
		or
	(iii)	companies with other specific pre-determined characteristics (for example, size or history), please specify with reasons below;
		or
(d)		only in "exceptional circumstances" as permitted by current Listing Rule $8.11^2$ (see paragraph 81 of the Concept Paper) and, if so, please give examples below.
Please	give 1	reasons for your views below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GEM Rule 11.25.

ease identify	the restrictions and	give reasons fo	r your views be	alow
				LIOW.
	WVR structures be l, if so, which ones a			
	asons for your views			
-	3 in relation to suc	-	iculai, now wo	ala you allower Q
		rporate governa	_	tory framework is
•	e changes to the co			•
ong are nece	ssary to allow com	panies to use W	VR structures	•
ong are nece	•	panies to use W	VR structures	•
ong are nece	ssary to allow com	panies to use W	VR structures	•
ong are nece d Appendix	ssary to allow com	panies to use W	VR structures	•

	you have any comments or suggestions regarding the additional matters discugraphs 33 to 47 of the Concept Paper:
(a)	using GEM, a separate board, or a professional board to list companies with structures (paragraphs 33 to 41 of the Concept Paper); and
1	
(b)	the prospect of overseas companies seeking to list for the first time on the Exchange with a WVR structure or seeking a further primary or secondary

7. Do you have any other comments or suggestions regarding WVR structures?

It must be emphasized that the focused review on WVR structures, while important on its own right, is not a sufficiently comprehensive review of the rationale why some of the companies have chosen not to be listed in Hong Kong. Analysing the recent IPO process undertaken by Alibaba for example highlighted that (1) they can increase the IPO price during price discovery (whereas price is capped in Hong Kong once the prospectus has been printed), (2) institutional demand does not have to rank behind retail demand and is subject to claw back, (3) post pricing market risk is minimised by shorter settlement period and the ability to conduct "when issued" trading. With the limitations and inflexibility of the IPO process in Hong Kong, companies will continue to choose to list elsewhere even consensus has been reached with regards to WVR structures.

Please refer to http://www.fsdc.org.hk/sites/default/files/IPO4-2%20%28Final%2017-6-2014%29.pdf for further analysis and proposals to enhance Hong Kong's position as an IPO centre of choice.