## Part B Consultation Questions - Volatility Control Mechanism

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes and provide reasons to support your views. Where there is insufficient space, please attach additional pages as necessary.

1.	Do you support the introduction of an instrument-level VCM based on a dynamic price limit model in Hong Kong?				
	⊠ Yes				
	□ No				
	Please give reasons for your view.				
	It is important to have circuit breakers to protect investors from price dislocation events which have occurred in global markets over the past few years. These thresholds do not currently exist with the Hong Kong market. The HKEx may also wish to consider absolute limis in addition to dynamic controls.				
2.	Do you agree that the proposed VCM model should only be applied to the HSI and HSCEI constituent stocks in the <u>securities</u> market?				
	⊠ Yes				
	□ No				
	Please give reasons for your view.				
	We would like to see these measures applied to all securities however it is acceptable to start with index constitutents and broaden out to a wider universe at a later date.				
3.	Do you agree that the proposed VCM model should only be applied to the HSI, HHI, MHI & MCH (spot month and the next calendar month) index futures in the derivatives market?				
	⊠ Yes				
	□ No				
	Please give reasons for your view.				

1	start with index constitutents and broaden out to a wider universe at a later date.
	ou agree that the market should have a 15-minute uninterrupted trading period e the end of the last continuous trading?
	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No
Pleaso	e give reasons for your view.
The	e VCM should apply to all stages of trading throughout the session.
	ou agree with the proposed reference price for the securities market, namely the of last trade 5 minutes ago? If not, what would you prefer?
$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No, I would prefer:
Please	e give reasons for your view.
refi exa	s is acceptable as a first step however we would like to see the VCM further ned to potentially include both dynamic and static reference prices. There are mples where dynamic limits alone have failed to adequately protect investors from rp moves.
	ou agree with our proposed reference price for the derivatives market, namely the of last trade 5 minutes ago? If not, what would you prefer?
$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No, I would prefer:
Please	e give reasons for your view.
refi exa	s is acceptable as a first step however we would like to see the VCM further ned to potentially include both dynamic and static reference prices. There are mples where dynamic limits alone have faled to adequately protect investors from rp moves.

7. Do you agree with the proposed triggering level for the <u>securities</u> market, namely <u>10%</u>

	from the reference price across the proposed instruments covered by the VCM? If not, what level would you prefer?
	⊠ Yes
	No, level that I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
	Acknowledging the variety of opinions in the market, 10% is wide for a dynamic mechanism. During a major dislocation, markets may move significantly without triggering the dynamic 10% limit. If combined with a static limit, the dynamic limit could be reduced as far as 5% without causing excessive interruptions.
8.	Do you agree with the proposed triggering level for the <u>derivatives</u> market, namely <u>5%</u> from the reference price across the proposed instruments covered by the VCM? If not, what level would you prefer?
	⊠ Yes
	No, level that I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
9.	No strong view.  Do you agree that a maximum of two VCM triggers per trading session per instrument
, ·	should be imposed to minimise market interruption?
	⊠ Yes
	No, I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
	However, we would encourage the HKEx to consider perhaps no maximum number of triggers given under the current proposoal the market could become vulnerable after two triggers are hit.
10.	Do you support trading within a price limit during the cooling-off period? If not, do you prefer another approach?
	⊠ Yes

	No, another approach that I prefer:
Pleas	se give reasons for your view.
	owever, the HKEx may want to consider full trading halts during the cooling off riod which may stabalize the market in a more efficient manner.
moni	the cooling-off period, do you support resuming the same dynamic price limit toring mechanism (i.e. $\pm 10\%$ ( $\pm 5\%$ ) from the last trade 5 minutes ago in the tities (derivatives) market)? If not, do you prefer another approach?
$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No, I would prefer:
Pleas	se give reasons for your view.
the sug	hile implementing the VCM is the first priority, extensions similar to those used by LSE, may preserve market safety without causing additional interruptions. As was ggested in the response to Question 10, an auction price could be used as the erence.
Do y	ou have any other suggestions on enhancing the resumption procedures?
	suming trading post a cooling off period through an auction may be worth ploring as a more orderly method.
_	ou agree that the duration of the cooling-off period should be 5 minutes for both ecurities and derivatives markets? If not, what would you prefer and why?
$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No, I would prefer:
Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
5 n	ninutes seems sufficient and there is no reason to halt trading for a longer period.
•	ou agree with the additional market data dissemination for the proposed VCM el? If not, what would you propose and why?

	Please give reasons for your view.  Reference price, price limits, trading state and interruption times should be adequate market data for investors in these instances.
15.	If a VCM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of related instruments (e.g. futures contract of different contract months) on the same underlying continue as normal?
	Yes
	⊠ No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	Related instructments should also be halted in order to realize the full potential of the VCM.
16.	If a VCM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of derivatives (e.g. single stock options or warrants) of that instrument continue as normal?
	Yes
	No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	Related instructments should also be halted in order to realize the full potential of the VCM.
17.	Do you have any other comments on the VCM proposal?
	We support getting an initial VCM model in place now with improvements and enhancements added over time.

## Part C Consultation Questions - Closing Auction Session

18.		Do you support the introduction of the new CAS model in the Hong Kong securities market?				
	$\boxtimes$	Yes				
		No				
	Pleas	se give r	easons	s for your view.		
	im	portant	feature	global developed exchanges, we think a closing auction is an e of a well functioning market. Multiple assets track the Hong Kong ag price and the CAS would significantly minimize tracking error.		
19.	const Com	tituent	stocks MidCa <sub>l</sub>	the new CAS model should only be applied to the major index (i.e. Hang Seng Composite LargeCap Index and Hang Seng p Index constituents as well as other Stock Connect Securities for g)?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes				
		No				
	Pleas	e give r	easons	for your view.		
	sup			t the CAS be implemented now without further delay, however, we ng the universe of other stocks/instruments which the CAS covers in		
20.	_	ou agree should l		he new CAS model should be applied to ETF? If yes, which type of lied?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes				
		$\boxtimes$	(i)	Apply to all ETFs		
			(ii)	Only apply to ETFs with Hong Kong stocks as underlying		
		No				

	Please give reasons for your view.
	We see no reason to exclude any ETFs.
21.	Do you agree that at a later stage, the new CAS model should be expanded to other equity securities and funds as proposed? If so, when should the CAS be rolled out to these securities and funds?
	Yes, roll out time should be:
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	The CAS should be expanded to all equities and ETFs traded on the HKEx in the near future.
22.	Do you agree that that the new CAS model should exclude structured products, equity warrants and debt securities?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	Closing auctions typically do not apply to these type of securities based on our understanding.
23.	Do you support introducing a price limit during the CAS?
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	Equilibrium effects of a closing auction tends to be good at promoting price discovery with an outcome of a fair closing level.

24.	Do you support a price limit of 5% during the Order Input Period for all CAS Securiti	
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
	wit	uilibrium effects of a closing auction tends to be good at promoting price discovery than outcome of a fair closing level. However, if a price limit must be used, we vocate using 10%. Tighter thresholds would be triggered too easily.
25.		ou agree that a further price limit within the best bid and best ask should be applied g the No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	e give reasons for your view.
		do not feel this is necessary and would add further constraint to the price disovery cess.
26.	Do yo	ou agree that at-auction limit orders should be allowed throughout the CAS?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your view.
	Thi	s will help the price discovery process during the closing auction period.
27.	Do yo	ou think short selling orders with a tick rule should be allowed during the CAS?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your view.

This will help the price discovery process during the closing auction period  If short selling order is to be allowed, should it be at or higher than the reference price  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your view.  In line with the uptick rule.  Do you agree that order amendment and cancellation should be disallowed during the No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your view.  This will help prevent gaming and allow the CAS to work efficiently. However, the needs to be a adequate process for allowing members to cancel orders which may have been submitted in error and could result in meaningful loss.  Do you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your view.  This is an effective method to reduce (not prevent) gaming.		
No Please give reasons for your view.  In line with the uptick rule.  Do you agree that order amendment and cancellation should be disallowed during the No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?  Yes  No Please give reasons for your view.  This will help prevent gaming and allow the CAS to work efficiently. However, the needs to be a adequate process for allowing members to cancel orders which may have been submitted in error and could result in meaningful loss.  Do you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?  Yes  No Please give reasons for your view.	Thi	is will help the price discovery process during the closing auction period
□ No  Please give reasons for your view.  In line with the uptick rule.  Do you agree that order amendment and cancellation should be disallowed during the No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?  Yes □ No  Please give reasons for your view.  This will help prevent gaming and allow the CAS to work efficiently. However, the needs to be a adequate process for allowing members to cancel orders which may have been submitted in error and could result in meaningful loss.  Do you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?  Yes □ No  Please give reasons for your view.	If sho	ort selling order is to be allowed, should it be at or higher than the reference price?
Please give reasons for your view.  In line with the uptick rule.  Do you agree that order amendment and cancellation should be disallowed during the No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your view.  This will help prevent gaming and allow the CAS to work efficiently. However, the needs to be a adequate process for allowing members to cancel orders which may have been submitted in error and could result in meaningful loss.  Do you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your view.	$\boxtimes$	Yes
In line with the uptick rule.  Do you agree that order amendment and cancellation should be disallowed during the No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your view.  This will help prevent gaming and allow the CAS to work efficiently. However, the needs to be a adequate process for allowing members to cancel orders which may have been submitted in error and could result in meaningful loss.  Do you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your view.		No
Do you agree that order amendment and cancellation should be disallowed during the No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your view.  This will help prevent gaming and allow the CAS to work efficiently. However, the needs to be a adequate process for allowing members to cancel orders which may have been submitted in error and could result in meaningful loss.  Do you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your view.	Please	e give reasons for your view.
No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your view.  This will help prevent gaming and allow the CAS to work efficiently. However, the needs to be a adequate process for allowing members to cancel orders which may have been submitted in error and could result in meaningful loss.  Do you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your view.	In I	ine with the uptick rule.
<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Please give reasons for your view.</li> <li>This will help prevent gaming and allow the CAS to work efficiently. However, the needs to be a adequate process for allowing members to cancel orders which may have been submitted in error and could result in meaningful loss.</li> <li>Do you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?</li> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Please give reasons for your view.</li> </ul>		
Please give reasons for your view.  This will help prevent gaming and allow the CAS to work efficiently. However, the needs to be a adequate process for allowing members to cancel orders which may have been submitted in error and could result in meaningful loss.  Do you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your view.	$\boxtimes$	Yes
This will help prevent gaming and allow the CAS to work efficiently. However, the needs to be a adequate process for allowing members to cancel orders which may have been submitted in error and could result in meaningful loss.  Do you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your view.		No
needs to be a adequate process for allowing members to cancel orders which may have been submitted in error and could result in meaningful loss.  Do you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your view.	Please	e give reasons for your view.
<ul><li>✓ Yes</li><li>☐ No</li><li>Please give reasons for your view.</li></ul>	nee	eds to be a adequate process for allowing members to cancel orders which may
No Please give reasons for your view.	Do yo	ou agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?
Please give reasons for your view.	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
This is an effective method to reduce (not prevent) gaming.	Please	e give reasons for your view.
	Thi	is is an effective method to reduce (not prevent) gaming.

If random closing is to be adopted, should it be over a period of up to 2 minutes or would you prefer a different duration?

31.

	$\boxtimes$	Up to 2 minutes					
		A different duration:					
	Pleas	Please give reasons for your view.					
	2 m	ninutes should be sufficient. Any longer will delay the CAS unneccesarily.					
32.		absence of a final IEP, do you agree that the reference price should be used as the g price and for trade matching?					
	$\boxtimes$	Yes					
		No					
	Please	e give reasons for your view.					
	Yes	s, this is acceptable.					
33.	What	would be the preferred duration of the CAS?					
	(i)	Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:12					
	(ii)	5-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:10					
	(iii)	Others, please specify:					
	$\boxtimes$	Approach (i)					
		Approach (ii)					
		Approach (iii), please specify:					
	Please	give reasons for your view.					
	I	ile implementing the CAS is the first priority, HKEx may consider tick controls in ition to limits and extensions as are practiced by the LSE.					

34.	Do you agree that some features of the new CAS model may also be beneficial for the POS and/or the Trading Halts? If so, which feature(s)?
	Yes, the feature(s):
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	We would support an enhanced pre-opening session as the current model does not adequately promote price discovery.
35.	Do you agree that any enhancements for POS and/or the Trading Halts should be implemented later rather than during the introduction of the new CAS?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	We would not like to see any further delays with CAS. Future enhancements should come at a later date.
36.	Do you foresee any issues with your day end processing such as margin calls in the cash market due to the extended trading time for 12 minutes? If yes, how may the issue be resolved?
	Yes, suggested solution:
	⊠ No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	We do not see any material impact from the extended market hours as a result of the CAS.
37.	To maintain the 45 minutes break before the start of AHFT, do you agree that the start time of AHFT to be changed from 17:00 to 17:15? If not, what time do you prefer?
	⊠ Yes

	No, time that you prefer:	
Please	e give reasons for your view.	
We	e do no see any issues with this.	

## Part D Consultation Questions – Implementation Approach and Timeline

38.	Which	implementation approach for the securities market would you prefer:
	(i)	the development and testing of the VCM, CAS and Trading Halts functionalities are to be implemented together on the AMS/3.8 platform and be rolled out one by one; or
	(ii)	(1) the development, testing and rollout of VCM and CAS are to be implemented together on the AMS/3.8 platform, and (2) Trading Halts proposal is to be introduced as part of the Exchange's next-generation trading system, the Orion Trading Platform-Cash; or
	(iii)	Others, please specify.
		Approach (i)
	$\boxtimes$	Approach (ii)
		Approach (iii), please specify:
	,	support the method which would allow for the fastest implemenation of VCM and S.
39.		should be the implementation priority among the three initiatives (i.e. VCM, CAS rading Halts) in the securities market?
	Please	give reasons for your view.
	All	are high priority but we would would like to see CAS, VCM then trading halts.
40.		long do you need to prepare for the rollout starting from the issuance of the ication for each initiatives:
	(i)	VCM:
	$\boxtimes$	a). under 3 months;
		b). 4-6 months;

	c). 7-12 months
	d). >12 months
Plea	se give reasons for your view.
	is will not be a meaningful impact to our workflow or require systems nancements.
(ii)	CAS:
$\boxtimes$	a). under 3 months;
	b). 4-6 months;
	c). 7-12 months
	d). >12 months
Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
	is will not be a meaningful impact to our workflow or require systems nancements