Part B Consultation Questions - Volatility Control Mechanism

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes and provide reasons to support your views. Where there is insufficient space, please attach additional pages as necessary.

1.	Do you support the introduction of an instrument-level VCM based on a dynamic price limit model in Hong Kong?
	⊠ Yes
	™ No
	Please give reasons for your view.
2.	Do you agree that the proposed VCM model should only be applied to the HSI and HSCEI constituent stocks in the <u>securities</u> market?
	⊠ Yes
	No No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	As a first step, limiting the VCM to index constituents allows the HKEx to de-risk the market with less impact to a broad array of smaller, more volatile stocks. The VCM could be extended to other listed securities later if it was felt necessary.
3.	Do you agree that the proposed VCM model should only be applied to the HSI, HHI, MHI & MCH (spot month and the next calendar month) index futures in the <u>derivatives</u> market?
	No No
	Please give reasons for your view.

4.		ou agree that the market should have a 15-minute uninterrupted trading period e the end of the last continuous trading?
	X	Yes
		No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
	per	would prefer that the protection is in place throughout the entire regular trading iod but as an interim introductory measure, can accept that an uninterruptable iod may be appreciated by some investors.
5.		ou agree with the proposed reference price for the securities market, namely the of last trade 5 minutes ago? If not, what would you prefer?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No, I would prefer:
	Please	e give reasons for your view.
6.	Do yo	ou agree with our proposed reference price for the <u>derivatives</u> market, namely the of last trade 5 minutes ago? If not, what would you prefer?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No, I would prefer:
	Please	e give reasons for your view.
7.	from	ou agree with the proposed triggering level for the securities market, namely 10% the reference price across the proposed instruments covered by the VCM? If not, level would you prefer?
	\boxtimes	Yes

and the control of th

		No, level that I would prefer:					
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.					
8.	from	Do you agree with the proposed triggering level for the <u>derivatives</u> market, namely <u>5%</u> from the reference price across the proposed instruments covered by the VCM? If not, what level would you prefer?					
	M	Yes					
	驪	No, level that I would prefer:					
	Please	e give reasons for your view.					
9.		ou agree that a maximum of two VCM d be imposed to minimise market interest Yes	triggers per trading session per instrument ruption?				
		No, I would prefer:					
		e give reasons for your view.					
	trig	-	itially require a maximum of two VCM eem to be more complex), but see no reason				
10.		Do you support trading within a price limit during the cooling-off period? If not, do you prefer another approach?					
	M	Yes					
		No, another approach that I prefer:	No trading would seem to be more sensible but determining a reasonable re-				
	Please	e give reasons for your view.	opening mechanism might mean more delays so we can accept this proposal.				

	The five minute cooling off period should give people time to re-evaluate the situation and allow market imbalance to ease.
11.	After the cooling-off period, do you support resuming the same dynamic price liminonitoring mechanism (i.e. ±10% (±5%) from the last trade 5 minutes ago in the securities (derivatives) market)? If not, do you prefer another approach?
	⊠ Yes
	No, I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
12.	Do you have any other suggestions on enhancing the resumption procedures?
	The current market open auction mechanism is suboptimal and should not be considered as a mechanism for any resumption after a cooling off period where there was no trading allowed.
13.	Do you agree that the duration of the cooling-off period should be 5 minutes for both the securities and derivatives markets? If not, what would you prefer and why?
	⊠ Yes
	No, I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
14.	Do you agree with the additional market data dissemination for the proposed VCN model? If not, what would you propose and why?
	⊠ Yes
	No, I would propose:

	CM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of related instruments (e.g es contract of different contract months) on the same underlying continue as al?
	Yes
×	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
If e	easily achievable, related instruments should also be halted (eg warrants, options
	d CFDs).
and If a V	
and If a	VCM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of derivatives (e.g. single
If a V	VCM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of derivatives (e.g. single options or warrants) of that instrument continue as normal?
If a V stock	VCM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of derivatives (e.g. single options or warrants) of that instrument continue as normal? Yes
If a V stock	VCM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of derivatives (e.g. single options or warrants) of that instrument continue as normal? Yes No

Part C Consultation Questions - Closing Auction Session

10.	marke		OIC GIN	c introduction of the new CAS model in the Hong Rong securities
	\boxtimes	Yes		
	認	No		
	Please	e give re	easons	for your view.
19.	consti Comp	tuent s	itocks IidCap	the new CAS model should only be applied to the major index (i.e. Hang Seng Composite LargeCap Index and Hang Seng Index constituents as well as other Stock Connect Securities for (3)?
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Please	e give re	asons	for your view.
	seci		out car	d be simpler to understand to implement the CAS across all accept that some investors might prefer a more limited
20. Do you agree that the new CAS model should be applied to ETF? If yes, ETF should be applied?				
	\boxtimes	Yes		
			(i)	Apply to all ETFs
			(ii)	Only apply to ETFs with Hong Kong stocks as underlying
		No		

equit	you agree that at a later stage, the new CAS model should be expanded to one securities and funds as proposed? If so, when should the CAS be rolled one securities and funds?
\boxtimes	Yes, roll out time should be: As soon as practicable
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your view.
	ou agree that that the new CAS model should exclude structured products, equi
warr	ants and debt securities?
warr	Yes
warr	Yes No
warr:	Yes
warr:	Yes No se give reasons for your view.

normal market imbalances to clear.

24.	Do y	ou support a price limit of 5% during the Order Input Period for all CAS Securities?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Pleas	se give reasons for your view.
	Th	is limit is tolerable but would be the minimum acceptable as discussed above.
25.	Do y durin	ou agree that a further price limit within the best bid and best ask should be applied g the No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
	Ad	ditional functional complexity with the CAS is unwelcome.
26.	Do y	ou agree that at-auction limit orders should be allowed throughout the CAS?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
27.	Do yo	ou think short selling orders with a tick rule should be allowed during the CAS?
	×	Yes
		No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.

28.	If short selling order is to be allowed, should it be at or higher than the reference price?
	® No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	Generally there should be no reason to limit short selling to the reference price or higher but this could be considered for later enhancement once CAS was stable and established.
29,	Do you agree that order amendment and cancellation should be disallowed during the No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?
	₩ Yes
	No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	This seems to increase risk in case of incorrect entry of orders which cannot be amended or the inability to react to other movements in markets. The random auction should be sufficient to minimise gaming.
30.	Do you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?
	⊠ Yes
	■ No
	Please give reasons for your view.
31.	If random closing is to be adopted, should it be over a period of up to 2 minutes or would you prefer a different duration?

. . .

	\boxtimes	Up to 2 minutes			
		A different duration:			
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.			
	A s	shorter period (1 min) would also be reasonable, but 2 minutes is not unacceptable.			
32.		In the absence of a final IEP, do you agree that the reference price should be used as the closing price and for trade matching?			
	\boxtimes	Yes			
		No			
	Please	e give reasons for your view.			
33.	What	would be the preferred duration of the CAS?			
	(i)	Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:12			
	(ii)	5-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:10			
	(iii)	Others, please specify:			
	\boxtimes	Approach (i)			
	籘	Approach (ii)			
		Approach (iii), please specify:			
	Please	e give reasons for your view.			

34.		ou agree that some features of the new CAS model may also be beneficial for the and/or the Trading Halts? If so, which feature(s)?
	\boxtimes	Yes, the feature(s):
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your view.
	The	e less complexity the better so a consistent auction process would be appreciated.
35.		ou agree that any enhancements for POS and/or the Trading Halts should be mented later rather than during the introduction of the new CAS?
	\boxtimes	Yes
	3	No
	Please	e give reasons for your view.
36.	cash i	ou foresee any issues with your day end processing such as margin calls in the market due to the extended trading time for 12 minutes? If yes, how may the issue solved?
		Yes, suggested solution:
	X	No
	Please	e give reasons for your view.
37.		aintain the 45 minutes break before the start of AHFT, do you agree that the start of AHFT to be changed from 17:00 to 17:15? If not, what time do you prefer?
	M	Yes

纏	No, time that you prefer:	 - .
Please	give reasons for your view.	

Part D Consultation Questions – Implementation Approach and Timeline

38.	Which implementation approach for the securities market would you prefer:		
	(i)	the development and testing of the VCM, CAS and Trading Halts functionalities are to be implemented together on the AMS/3.8 platform and be rolled out one by one; or	
	(ii)	(1) the development, testing and rollout of VCM and CAS are to be implemented together on the AMS/3.8 platform, and (2) Trading Halts proposal is to be introduced as part of the Exchange's next-generation trading system, the Orion Trading Platform-Cash; or	
	(iii)	Others, please specify.	
		Approach (i)	
	\boxtimes	Approach (ii)	
		Approach (iii), please specify:	
	Please give reasons for your view.		
39.	What should be the implementation priority among the three initiatives (i.e. VCM, CAS and Trading Halts) in the securities market?		
	Please give reasons for your view.		
	CA	S should have the highest priority, followed by VCM and then Trading Halts.	
40.	How long do you need to prepare for the rollout starting from the issuance of the specification for each initiatives:		
	(i)	VCM:	
	×	a). under 3 months;	

	c). 7-12 months		
	d). >12 months		
Please give reasons for your view.			
(ii)	CAS:		
×	a). under 3 months;		
	b). 4-6 months;		
	c). 7-12 months		
AZ.	d). >12 months		
Please give reasons for your view.			

- End -