## Part B Consultation Questions - Volatility Control Mechanism

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes and provide reasons to support your views. Where there is insufficient space, please attach additional pages as necessary.

Do you support the introduction of an instrument-level VCM based on a dynamic price limit model in Hong Kong?			
M	Yes		
	No		
Please	e give reasons for your view.		
	is should bring more price stability, and therefore investor protection and ifidence.		
	ou agree that the proposed VCM model should only be applied to the HSI and EI constituent stocks in the securities market?		
	Yes		
$\boxtimes$	No .		
Please	e give reasons for your view.		
	hough HIS and HSCEI represent most of the activity, we feel this type of measure uld be generalised to the whole market.		
	ou agree that the proposed VCM model should only be applied to the HSI, HHI, & MCH (spot month and the next calendar month) index futures in the derivatives et?		
	Yes		
	No		
Please	e give reasons for your view.		

4.	Do you agree that the market should have a 15-minute uninterrupted trading period before the end of the last continuous trading?
	▼Yes
	■ No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	It would be beneficial to have an uninterrupted trading period leading to the close/closing auction, in order to lead up to it, and absorb any liquidity that wouldn't be absorbed by the closing auction.
5.	Do you agree with the proposed reference price for the <u>securities</u> market, namely the price of last trade 5 minutes ago? If not, what would you prefer?
	No, I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
	No strong views
6.	Do you agree with our proposed reference price for the <u>derivatives</u> market, namely the price of last trade 5 minutes ago? If not, what would you prefer?
	Yes Yes
	No, I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
7.	Do you agree with the proposed triggering level for the <u>securities</u> market, namely <u>10%</u> from the reference price across the proposed instruments covered by the VCM? If not, what level would you prefer?
	Yes

		No, level that I would prefer:
	Pleas	se give reasons for your view.
8.	from	you agree with the proposed triggering level for the <u>derivatives</u> market, namely <u>5%</u> the reference price across the proposed instruments covered by the VCM? If not, level would you prefer?
		Yes
		No, level that I would prefer:
	Pleas	se give reasons for your view.
9.		ou agree that a maximum of two VCM triggers per trading session per instrument ld be imposed to minimise market interruption?
	M	Yes
		No, I would prefer:
	Pleas	se give reasons for your view.
10.		ou support trading within a price limit during the cooling-off period? If not, do you r another approach?
		Yes
		No, another approach that I prefer:
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.

	It's the solution which would be the less disruptive for nromal market behaviour.
11.	After the cooling-off period, do you support resuming the same dynamic price limi monitoring mechanism (i.e. ±10% (±5%) from the last trade 5 minutes ago in the securities (derivatives) market)? If not, do you prefer another approach?
	No, I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
12.	Do you have any other suggestions on enhancing the resumption procedures?
10	
13.	Do you agree that the duration of the cooling-off period should be 5 minutes for both the securities and derivatives markets? If not, what would you prefer and why?
	Yes
	No, I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
14.	Do you agree with the additional market data dissemination for the proposed VCM model? If not, what would you propose and why?
	Yes
	No, I would propose:

	The clearer the information the better.
15.	If a VCM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of related instruments (e.g. futures contract of different contract months) on the same underlying continue as normal?
	Yes
	Mo No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	if the price volatility is not driven by normal market forces (if it's a "fat finger" for instance), leaving the related instruments trading should guarantee an swift normalisation of the prices.
16.	If a VCM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of derivatives (e.g. single stock options or warrants) of that instrument continue as normal?
	Yes
	No No
	Please give reasons for your view.
	See above
17.	Do you have any other comments on the VCM proposal?
	l

Please give reasons for your view.

## Part C Consultation Questions – Closing Auction Session

18.		Do you support the introduction of the new CAS model in the Hong Kong securities market?			
	M	Yes			
		No			
	Pleas	se give r	easons	s for your view.	
	pro	oducts (f	ùnds,	sier to replicate closing price will be beneficial for the emission of derivatives,) with HK securities as underlying, and more generally nore efficient index tracking when using HK underlyings.	
19.	const Com	ituent s	stocks 1idCa <sub>j</sub>	t the new CAS model should only be applied to the major index (i.e. Hang Seng Composite LargeCap Index and Hang Seng p Index constituents as well as other Stock Connect Securities for g)?	
		Yes			
	M	No			
	Pleas	e give re	easons	s for your view.	
				ld be generalised to all products (or as much as possible).	
20.	Do yo	ou agree should b	that t e appl	he new CAS model should be applied to ETF? If yes, which type of lied?	
	<b>⊠</b>	Yes			
		<b>⊠</b>	(i)	Apply to all ETFs	
			(ii)	Only apply to ETFs with Hong Kong stocks as underlying	
	24	No			

Th	ne wider the universe, the better for clarity and investor's confidence.
equit	you agree that at a later stage, the new CAS model should be expanded to only securities and funds as proposed? If so, when should the CAS be rolled on securities and funds?
<b>⊠</b>	Yes, roll out time should be: ASAP
	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your view.
	ou agree that that the new CAS model should exclude structured products, equiants and debt securities?
warra	
warra	Yes
warra	Yes No
warra	Yes No
Pleas	Yes No
Pleas	Yes  No se give reasons for your view.
Pleas Do y	Yes  No se give reasons for your view.  ou support introducing a price limit during the CAS?
Pleas Do y	Yes No se give reasons for your view.  ou support introducing a price limit during the CAS?  Yes

24.	Do y	ou support a price limit of 5% during the Order Input Period for all CAS Securities?				
	×	Yes				
		No				
	Pleas	se give reasons for your view.				
25.	Do y	ou agree that a further price limit within the best bid and best ask should be applied g the No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?				
		Yes				
		No				
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.				
		will necessarily be between best bid and best offer, according to a normal auction schanism. Any other limit would be illogical.				
26.	Do ye	ou agree that at-auction limit orders should be allowed throughout the CAS?				
	<b>X</b>	Yes				
	<b>36</b>	No				
	Pleas	Please give reasons for your view.				
	It's	the most common order type in other developped markets.				
27.	Do yo	ou think short selling orders with a tick rule should be allowed during the CAS?				
	×	Yes				
		No				
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.				

I think short selling should be possible at the Auction price to allow for the most liquid possible market.
If short selling order is to be allowed, should it be at or higher than the reference price?
Yes
No No
Please give reasons for your view.
I think short selling should be allowed in the auction, regardless of the reference price, as the auction price will work independently of the referece price
Do you agree that order amendment and cancellation should be disallowed during the No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?
Yes
No No
Please give reasons for your view.
To avoid possibility for price manipulation.
Do you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?
Yes
No No
Please give reasons for your view.
To avoid possibility for price manipulation.
If random closing is to be adopted, should it be over a period of up to 2 minutes or would you prefer a different duration?

28.

29.

30.

31.

	×	Up to 2 minutes				
		A different duration:				
	Please give reasons for your view.					
	Re	elatively indifferent. 2mn is a good duration.				
32.	In the absence of a final IEP, do you agree that the reference price should be used as the closing price and for trade matching?					
		Yes				
	$\boxtimes$	No				
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.				
	Wo	ouldn't the last trade price be more pertinent?				
33.	What	would be the preferred duration of the CAS?				
	(i)	Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:12				
	(ii)	5-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:10				
	(iii)	Others, please specify:				
		Approach (i)				
	M	Approach (ii)				
		Approach (iii), please specify:				
	Pleas	Please give reasons for your view.				
	5m	n input/cancelation period is enough.				

34.	-	POS and/or the Trading Halts? If so, which feature(s)?					
	M	Yes, the feature(s):	Order input period + No-cancelation period + random opening time after a Trading Halt/ for the POS.				
		No	opening time after a trading time to the too.				
	Pleas	e give reasons for your	view.				
	It v	would make sense and h	armonise all mechanism, for clarity ansd simplicity.				
35.	-		nancements for POS and/or the Trading Halts should be a during the introduction of the new CAS?				
	$\boxtimes$	Yes					
		No					
	Pleas	e give reasons for your	view.				
36.	cash:	Do you foresee any issues with your day end processing such as margin calls in the cash market due to the extended trading time for 12 minutes? If yes, how may the issue be resolved?					
		Yes, suggested solution	on:				
	<b>M</b>	No					
	Pleas	e give reasons for your	view.				
37.			break before the start of AHFT, do you agree that the start from 17:00 to 17:15? If not, what time do you prefer?				
		Yes					

<b>M</b>	No, time that you prefer:	As early as possible	
Please	give reasons for your view.		

## Part D Consultation Questions - Implementation Approach and Timeline

8.	Which	n implementation approach for the securities market would you prefer:
	(i)	the development and testing of the VCM, CAS and Trading Halts functionalities are to be implemented together on the AMS/3.8 platform and be rolled out one by one; or
	(ii)	(1) the development, testing and rollout of VCM and CAS are to be implemented together on the AMS/3.8 platform, and (2) Trading Halts proposal is to be introduced as part of the Exchange's next-generation trading system, the Orion Trading Platform-Cash; or
	(iii)	Others, please specify.
		Approach (i)
		Approach (ii)
		Approach (iii), please specify:
	and Tr	should be the implementation priority among the three initiatives (i.e. VCM, CAS ading Halts) in the securities market?  give reasons for your view.
		M, CAS, Trading halts would seem the most logical sequence. No strong views on side.
		long do you need to prepare for the rollout starting from the issuance of the ication for each initiatives:
	(i)	VCM:
		a). under 3 months;
	$\boxtimes$	b). 4-6 months;
		20

32	c). 7-12 months
	d). >12 months
Plea	ase give reasons for your view.
(ii)	CAS:
	a). under 3 months;
	b). 4-6 months;
×	c). 7-12 months
<b>31</b>	d). >12 months
Pleas	se give reasons for your view.
Re	ealistically, we'd need to input and test two new order types (MOC LMT or MOC).