## Part B Consultation Questions - Volatility Control Mechanism

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes and provide reasons to support your views. Where there is insufficient space, please attach additional pages as necessary.

1. Do you support the introduction of an instrument-level VCM based on a dynam limit model in Hong Kong?						
	Yes					
	₩ No					
	Please give reasons for your view.					
	Similar mechanisms have proven to be effective in ensuring fair activity and removing unneccesary volatility in other regions where they have been introduced (eg. London) and we feel the spirit in which they are proposed to be introduced is in the best interest of all HK market participants.					
2.	Do you agree that the proposed VCM model should only be applied to the HSI and HSCEI constituent stocks in the securities market?					
	Yes					
	No No					
	Please give reasons for your view.					
	While it makes sense to launch VCM covering HSI and HSCEI constituent stocks at initial stage, we see it beneficial to apply VCM to all listed securities with possible introduction of differing price limits for securities trading within different price bands.					
3.	Do you agree that the proposed VCM model should only be applied to the HSI, HHI, MHI & MCH (spot month and the next calendar month) index futures in the <u>derivatives</u> market?					
	Yes					
	⊠ No					
	Please give reasons for your view.					

Yes No
No
give reasons for your view.
think this should promote an orderly close and would remove uncertainty rding whether or not stocks would close if it was not put in place. Ie. without it k could effectively be prevented from closing which may become particularly sible around index events.
u agree with the proposed reference price for the securities market, namely to flast trade 5 minutes ago? If not, what would you prefer?
Yes
No, I would prefer:
give reasons for your view.
s seems to be a fair window, not too far and not too close to last.
ou agree with our proposed reference price for the derivatives market, namely of last trade 5 minutes ago? If not, what would you prefer?
ou agree with our proposed reference price for the <u>derivatives</u> market, namely of last trade 5 minutes ago? If not, what would you prefer?  Yes
of last trade 5 minutes ago? If not, what would you prefer?
t 1 k

4.

5.

6.

7.

Do you agree with the proposed triggering level for the securities market, namely 10%

	what level would you prefer?
	⊠ Yes
	No, level that I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
	We are of the view that the proposed 10% is a reasonable trigger level during the initial stage. We see if fit for the range to be tighted to 5% given a 5% move in 5 minutes is relatively drastic.
8.	Do you agree with the proposed triggering level for the <u>derivatives</u> market, namely <u>5%</u> from the reference price across the proposed instruments covered by the VCM? If not, what level would you prefer?
	⊠ Yes
	No, level that I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
	We are of the view that 5% is a reasonable level for HSI contracts. However, we see room for the limit to be relaxed to 7% for HSCEI contracts given the historically higher volatility vs. HSI.
9.	Do you agree that a maximum of two VCM triggers per trading session per instrument should be imposed to minimise market interruption?
	⊠ Yes
	No, I would prefer:
	Please give reasons for your view.
	The primary benefit of the VCM will be it's ability to allow market participants opportunity to react to meaningful price fluctuations and thus reduce unneccesary volatily. But once a stock's VCM has been triggered the market has effectively been warned, so subsequent halts to continous trading will not be as effective or neccesary.
10.	Do you support trading within a price limit during the cooling-off period? If not, do you prefer another approach?

dig	e agree this approach will serve to maintain orderly market, allow investors to gest the reasons, or the lackthereof, for the substantial move and to react and trade cordingly with minimal interruptions.
moni	the cooling-off period, do you support resuming the same dynamic price limitoring mechanism (i.e. $\pm 10\%$ ( $\pm 5\%$ ) from the last trade 5 minutes ago in the ities (derivatives) market)? If not, do you prefer another approach?
$\boxtimes$	Yes
<b>4</b>	No, I would prefer:
Pleas	se give reasons for your view.
	the diamin allowed to take along during the cooling off period investors are given.
tin are las	trading is allowed to take place during the cooling-off period, investors are given ne to react and hence stock price should advance or decline reasonably. Hence we so of the view that resuming the same dynamic limit monitoring mechanism from st trade 5 minutes ago is reasonable.  You have any other suggestions on enhancing the resumption procedures?
Do y	the to react and hence stock price should advance or decline reasonably. Hence we confide the view that resuming the same dynamic limit monitoring mechanism from state trade 5 minutes ago is reasonable.  You have any other suggestions on enhancing the resumption procedures?
Do y	the to react and hence stock price should advance or decline reasonably. Hence we confide the view that resuming the same dynamic limit monitoring mechanism from state trade 5 minutes ago is reasonable.  You have any other suggestions on enhancing the resumption procedures?
Do y	ne to react and hence stock price should advance or decline reasonably. Hence we cof the view that resuming the same dynamic limit monitoring mechanism from st trade 5 minutes ago is reasonable.  You have any other suggestions on enhancing the resumption procedures?  YA  You agree that the duration of the cooling-off period should be 5 minutes for bo
Do y	the to react and hence stock price should advance or decline reasonably. Hence we cof the view that resuming the same dynamic limit monitoring mechanism from st trade 5 minutes ago is reasonable.  You have any other suggestions on enhancing the resumption procedures?  YA  You agree that the duration of the cooling-off period should be 5 minutes for both securities and derivatives markets? If not, what would you prefer and why?
Do y  Do :	ne to react and hence stock price should advance or decline reasonably. Hence we cof the view that resuming the same dynamic limit monitoring mechanism from st trade 5 minutes ago is reasonable.  You have any other suggestions on enhancing the resumption procedures?  YA  You agree that the duration of the cooling-off period should be 5 minutes for be recurities and derivatives markets? If not, what would you prefer and why?  Yes

model? If not, what would you propose and why?

	No, I would propose:
1	Please give reasons for your view.
	We are of the view that additional market data dissemination can help enhance market transparency.
f	f a VCM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of related instruments (e.g. utures contract of different contract months) on the same underlying continue as normal?
Ţ	Yes
	No No
F	Please give reasons for your view.
	We are of the view that if the front month future is under VCM trigger, all other futures of the same underlying should also be subject to the same VCM trigger so as to prevent drastic price move from spilling over to other contract months.
	f a VCM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of derivatives (e.g. single tock options or warrants) of that instrument continue as normal?
	Yes
	No No
P	lease give reasons for your view.
	During cooling-off period, market makers will be unable to hedge their products effectively. We believe brokers should not be held against market-making obligations upon VCM trigger, preferably for the remainder of the concerned session. Market-making should only continue on best-effort basis under the special circumstance. This is inline with practice of other markets.
Γ	Do you have any other comments on the VCM proposal?
	N/A
	12

 $\boxtimes$ 

Yes

## Part C Consultation Questions - Closing Auction Session

18.

market?

Do you support the introduction of the new CAS model in the Hong Kong securities

	$\boxtimes$	Yes						
		■ No						
	Please	e give re	asons	for your view.				
	Tra	ding tar buy sid	gettin e and	g a close benchmark will be fairer, more orderly and will save both the sell side in terms of slippage and execution costs.				
constituent stocks (i.e. Hang				the new CAS model should only be applied to the major index (i.e. Hang Seng Composite LargeCap Index and Hang Seng Index constituents as well as other Stock Connect Securities for ()?				
		Yes						
	$\boxtimes$	No						
	Please	give re	asons	for your view.				
	We stru	think it ctured p	shoul roduc	d be applied to ALL securities on the HK Exchange excluding its, equity warrants and debt securities.				
20.	Do you agree that the new CAS model should be applied to ETF? If yes, which type of ETF should be applied?							
	$\boxtimes$	Yes						
	,	$\boxtimes$	(i)	Apply to all ETFs				
		City City	(ii)	Only apply to ETFs with Hong Kong stocks as underlying				
		No						

Please give reasons for your view.

21.

22.

	e think it should be applied to ALL securities on the HK Exchange excluding actured products, equity warrants and debt securities.					
equit	Do you agree that at a later stage, the new CAS model should be expanded to other equity securities and funds as proposed? If so, when should the CAS be rolled out to these securities and funds?					
×	Yes, roll out time should be: As soon as practicable					
×	No					
Pleas	se give reasons for your view.					
int	per response provided to Questions 19-20, we are in favour of CAS being roduced for all securities excluding structured products, equity warrants and debt curities					
warr	Do you agree that that the new CAS model should exclude structured products, equity warrants and debt securities?					
$\boxtimes$	Yes					
	No					
Plea	se give reasons for your view.					
di	e are of the view that structured products, warrants and debt securities may have fferent characteristics and hence may not be suitable for these products to be overed under CAS model.					
Do	ou support introducing a price limit during the CAS?					
	Yes					
	No					
Plea	se give reasons for your view.					
	Te are of the view that price limit during the proposed CAS will help promote fair arket and reduce volatility.					

24.	Do yo	u support a price limit of 5% during the Order Input Period for all CAS Securities?
	⊠.	Yes
		No .
	Please	give reasons for your view.
	Ası	per above.
25.	during	u agree that a further price limit within the best bid and best ask should be applied the No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?
		Yes
	×	No
	Please	give reasons for your view.
	unii	are of the view that further price limit within best bid/offer may introduce ntended complications and also substantial effort by the stock exchange to ensure ket participants understand the specifics of the mechanism.
26.	Do yo	u agree that at-auction limit orders should be allowed throughout the CAS?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your view.
		are of the view that at auction limit orders can serve to enhance liquidity and litate price discovery.
27.	Do yo	ou think short selling orders with a tick rule should be allowed during the CAS?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your view.

- 1	Ve are of the view that tick rule in CAS should be consistent with continuous trading ession.
If sł	nort selling order is to be allowed, should it be at or higher than the reference price?
X	Yes
	No
Plea	ase give reasons for your view.
th	We are of the view that shortselling orders allowed in CAS should be at, or higher han, the reference price. However, we are also of the view that stock option market-nakers should be exempted from the rule.
	you agree that order amendment and cancellation should be disallowed during the Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period?
X	Yes
X	No
Plea	ase give reasons for your view.
- 1	We are of the view that such restrictions may not be necessary during the Random Closing period.
Do	you agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming?
X	Yes
	No
Ple	ase give reasons for your view.
	We are of the view that if random closing is to be implemented, then non-cancel period needs to be abolished in order to ensure anti-gaming effectiveness

28.

29.

30.

31.

If random closing is to be adopted, should it be over a period of up to 2 minutes or would you prefer a different duration?

	Up to 2 minutes
	A different duration:
Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
clo	e are of the view that it is reasonable to resume closing auction with a random sing period of up to 2 minutes. We have seen this implemented in other exchanges I working well.
In the	e absence of a final IEP, do you agree that the reference price should be used as the ng price and for trade matching?
×	Yes
	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
	would be the preferred duration of the CAS?  Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:12
(i)	Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at
(i) (ii)	Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:12
(i) (ii) (iii)	Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:12  5-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:10
What (i) (ii) (iii)	Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:12  5-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:10  Others, please specify:
(i) (ii) (iii)	Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:12  5-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:10  Others, please specify:  Approach (i)

-	Do you agree that some features of the new CAS model may also be beneficial for the POS and/or the Trading Halts? If so, which feature(s)?					
×	Yes, the feature(s):					
	No					
Pleas	e give reasons for your view.					
	e see it logical that the same mechanisms be implemented when resuming a stock has had it's VCM triggered.					
	ou agree that any enhancements for POS and/or the Trading Halts should be emented later rather than during the introduction of the new CAS?					
X	Yes					
蹇	No					
Pleas	e give reasons for your view.					
	e are of the view that it is more critical for the stocck exchange to resume closing ction, especially given the increased index-related trading activities.					
cash	ou foresee any issues with your day end processing such as margin calls in the market due to the extended trading time for 12 minutes? If yes, how may the issue solved?					
33.1 33.2	Yes, suggested solution:					
X	No					
Pleas	se give reasons for your view.					
	naintain the 45 minutes break before the start of AHFT, do you agree that the start of AHFT to be changed from 17:00 to 17:15? If not, what time do you prefer?					
28	Yes					

$\boxtimes$	No, time that you prefer:	 	
Please	e give reasons for your view.		

## Part D Consultation Questions – Implementation Approach and Timeline

38.	Which implementation approach for the securities market would you prefer:		
	(i)	the development and testing of the VCM, CAS and Trading Halts functionalities are to be implemented together on the AMS/3.8 platform and be rolled out one by one; or	
	(ii)	(1) the development, testing and rollout of VCM and CAS are to be implemented together on the AMS/3.8 platform, and (2) Trading Halts proposal is to be introduced as part of the Exchange's next-generation trading system, the Orion Trading Platform-Cash; or	
	(iii)	Others, please specify.	
	<b>*</b>	Approach (i)	
	×	Approach (ii)	
		Approach (iii), please specify:	
	Please give reasons for your view.		
39.	What should be the implementation priority among the three initiatives (i.e. VCM, CAS and Trading Halts) in the securities market?		
	Please give reasons for your view.		
		aer of the view that CAS is the most important initiative followed by VCM and ing halts. Closing auction is particularly important for hedging warrants at ry.	
40.	How long do you need to prepare for the rollout starting from the issuance of the specification for each initiatives:		
	(i)	VCM:	
		a). under 3 months;	
	$\boxtimes$	b). 4-6 months;	

nonths
nonth

d). >12 months

Please give reasons for your view.

We are of the view that VCM is important and we shall allocate sufficient resource to endeavor to complete required changes.

- (ii) CAS:
- a). under 3 months;
- b). 4-6 months;
- c). 7-12 months
- d). >12 months

Please give reasons for your view.

We are of the view that VCM is important and we shall allocate sufficient resource to endeavor to complete required changes.