Part B Consultation Questions - Volatility Control Mechanism

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes and provide reasons to support your views. Where there is insufficient space, please attach additional pages as necessary.

1.

Do you support the introduction of an instrument-level VCM based on a dynamic price

| | limit model in Hong Kong? |
|----|---|
| | ⊠ Yes |
| | No No |
| | Please give reasons for your view. |
| | VCM like mechanism is implemented in developed Asian markets such as Japan, Singapore to prevent "fat finger" and to give investors sufficient time to react in the event of extreme volatility. This is a desirable feature. The mechanism of delivery needs to be simple and transparent, yet effective. Ideally, the VCM process is also a price discovery process which act as a liquidity event rather than just a volatility bump (ie intraday auction as a VCM). |
| 2. | Do you agree that the proposed VCM model should only be applied to the HSI and HSCEI constituent stocks in the securities market? |
| | Yes |
| | ⊠ No |
| | Please give reasons for your view. |
| | As an initial phase probably yes. But the spirit of the mechanism is to protect investors, not just investors who invests in big cap. |
| 3. | Do you agree that the proposed VCM model should only be applied to the HSI, HHI, MHI & MCH (spot month and the next calendar month) index futures in the <u>derivatives</u> market? |
| | ⊠ Yes |
| | No |
| | Please give reasons for your view. |

| - | ou agree that the market should have a 15-minute uninterrupted trading period to the last continuous trading? |
|-------------|--|
| | Yes |
| | No |
| Pleas | e give reasons for your view. |
| | s is a bit inconsistent and can re-direct volatility towards the last 15 minutes. E.g. iha in Japan doesn't automatically open up in the last 15 minute. |
| | ou agree with the proposed reference price for the securities market, namely the of last trade 5 minutes ago? If not, what would you prefer? |
| | Yes |
| \boxtimes | No, I would prefer: a median rolling defer reference price say 5 minutes ago. |
| Pleas | e give reasons for your view. |
| the | ingle print from 5 minute ago could be an outlier. Using one sample that triggers mechanism may generate some false starts. Using median price that takes a mber of prints is a more reliable reference and subject to less noise. |
| - | ou agree with our proposed reference price for the <u>derivatives</u> market, namely the of last trade 5 minutes ago? If not, what would you prefer? |
| | Yes |
| \boxtimes | No, I would prefer: ditto |
| Pleas | e give reasons for your view. |
| ditt | 30 |

7. Do you agree with the proposed triggering level for the <u>securities</u> market, namely <u>10%</u>

| | | the reference price across the proposed instruments covered by the VCM? If not, level would you prefer? |
|-----|------------------|--|
| | \boxtimes | Yes |
| | | No, level that I would prefer: |
| | Please | e give reasons for your view. |
| | Yes | s, as long as the reference is a live market feed and hence the trigger is transparent. |
| 8. | from 1 | ou agree with the proposed triggering level for the <u>derivatives</u> market, namely <u>5%</u> the reference price across the proposed instruments covered by the VCM? If not, level would you prefer? |
| | \boxtimes | Yes |
| | | No, level that I would prefer: |
| | Please | e give reasons for your view. |
| | | |
| 9. | | ou agree that a maximum of two VCM triggers per trading session per instrument d be imposed to minimise market interruption? |
| | | Yes |
| | \boxtimes | No, I would prefer: a price discovery process which attracts liquidity as well |
| | Please your v | e give reasons for view. |
| | be e | ring 2 triggers per session is disruptive, and potentially have 4 triggers in a day can even more disruptive. a mechanism that facilitates price discovery in one go is re effective. Something similar to the auction process in the morning but hopfully it simpler. |
| 10. | - | ou support trading within a price limit during the cooling-off period? If not, do you another approach? |
| | 1000 | Yes |

| | Dlaga. | No, another approach that I prefer: | a price discovery process where the equibrilibrium price is determined by balanced volume |
|-----|-------------|---|--|
| | Please | e give reasons for your view. | balanced volume |
| | nur | ng a price discovery process rather than nber of VCM triggers down. it's a smar ps HK to stay competitive and be a lead | |
| 11. | monit | | rt resuming the same dynamic price limit from the last trade 5 minutes ago in the u prefer another approach? |
| | | Yes | |
| | \boxtimes | No, I would prefer: a price discov | very process |
| | Please | e give reasons for your view. | |
| | If v | ve use a price discovery process, then w | e do not need this question |
| 12. | Do yo | ou have any other suggestions on enhance | cing the resumption procedures? |
| | | s, when imbalance is matched, and equil tion). | librium price obtained (like the opening |
| 13. | • | ou agree that the duration of the coolin curities and derivatives markets? If not | g-off period should be 5 minutes for both, what would you prefer and why? |
| | | Yes | |
| | \boxtimes | No, I would prefer: a duration sim | ilar to the opening auction is ok |
| | Please | e give reasons for your view. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

model? If not, what would you propose and why?

14.

Do you agree with the additional market data dissemination for the proposed VCM

| | ⊠ Yes |
|----|---|
| | No, I would propose: |
| | Please give reasons for your view. |
| | Potentially there can be 4 VCM in the whole day. Would there be a field to indicate which VCM the current state is ? |
| 5. | If a VCM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of related instruments (e.g. futures contract of different contract months) on the same underlying continue as normal? |
| | Yes |
| | ⊠ No |
| | Please give reasons for your view. |
| | that will create arbitrage opportunity and give advantage to professional investors or those with good access to market and monitoring tools. |
| • | If a VCM is triggered for a given instrument, should trading of derivatives (e.g. single stock options or warrants) of that instrument continue as normal? |
| | Yes |
| | ⊠ No |
| | Please give reasons for your view. |
| | ditto |
| 7. | Do you have any other comments on the VCM proposal? |
| | i would like to see the 10% band to be changed to a less rigid mechanism. An intraday auction until imbalance is matched when VCM is triggered is perhaps a smarter and more efficient mechanism to deliver VCM in my humble opinion. |

Part C Consultation Questions – Closing Auction Session

| 18. Do you support the introduction of the new CAS model in the Hong is market? | | | e introduction of the new CAS model in the Hong Kong securities | |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| | \boxtimes | Yes | | |
| | 1880 | No | | |
| | Pleas | e give re | easons | for your view. |
| | on tra: pro | a proper nsfer fro mote or | r closii m less ir amb | rd biggest financial centre in the world, is severely lagging behind ng mechanism. A fair closing mechanism will help reduce wealth sophisticated players to more sophisticated player. It will also ition in becoming a major ETF centre, and protect mass public (e.g. ich requires rebalances from time to time) |
| 19. | const Com | Do you agree that the new CAS model should only be applied to the major index constituent stocks (i.e. Hang Seng Composite LargeCap Index and Hang Seng Composite MidCap Index constituents as well as other Stock Connect Securities for Southbound trading)? | | |
| | | Yes | | |
| | × | No | | |
| | Pleas | e give re | easons | for your view. |
| | (or | new add | dition/ | istent across all classes of stocks. but i agree that index constituients deletion) have a more burning urgency to have a fair mechanism tage 1, i agree that CAS should apply to index constituients first. |
| 20. | Do you agree that the new CAS model should be applied to ETF? If yes, which type of ETF should be applied? | | | |
| | \boxtimes | Yes | | |
| | | \boxtimes | (i) | Apply to all ETFs |
| | | | (ii) | Only apply to ETFs with Hong Kong stocks as underlying |
| | | No | | |

| | Please give reasons for your view. | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
| | the more consistent the treatment is, the better is for investors. | | | | |
| 21. | Do you agree that at a later stage, the new CAS model should be expanded to other equity securities and funds as proposed? If so, when should the CAS be rolled out to these securities and funds? | | | | |
| | Yes, roll out time should be: within 6 months of initial roll out | | | | |
| | No No | | | | |
| | Please give reasons for your view. | | | | |
| | the more consistent the market is, the easier it is for investors. | | | | |
| 22. | Do you agree that that the new CAS model should exclude structured products, equity warrants and debt securities? | | | | |
| | ⊠ Yes | | | | |
| | No | | | | |
| | Please give reasons for your view. | | | | |
| | this is probably an exception on the consistency point above. it is because those products are run by market makers anyway. but then again, there's not a lot of downside in being consistent. but to have CAS for these types of products is certainly not a priority. | | | | |
| 23. | Do you support introducing a price limit during the CAS? | | | | |
| | ⊠ Yes | | | | |
| | No | | | | |
| | Please give reasons for your view. | | | | |
| | as a safety valve, yes. | | | | |

| 24. | Do you support a price limit of 5% during the Order Input Period for all C | AS Securities? |
|-----|--|----------------|
| | ∑ Yes | |
| | No No | |
| | Please give reasons for your view. | |
| | 5% seems not overly restirictive (based on Standard Deviation price more provides some protection on extreme price move. | ove) yet |
| 25. | Do you agree that a further price limit within the best bid and best ask sho during the No-Cancellation Period and Random Closing Period? | uld be applied |
| | Yes Yes | |
| | No No | |
| | Please give reasons for your view. | |
| | i think the 5% is already in place, and with the random period, this extra measure is perhaps redundant and making things unnecessarily complication not hold a strong view on this. | |
| 26. | Do you agree that at-auction limit orders should be allowed throughout the | e CAS? |
| | ∑ Yes | |
| | No No | |
| | Please give reasons for your view. | |
| | a fat fingered MKT order (fat finger in quantity) is irreversible in the no period. a concious price input is perhaps a compromise to safeguard suc (although not fool proof). | |
| 27. | Do you think short selling orders with a tick rule should be allowed during | g the CAS? |
| | ∑ Yes | |
| | No No | |
| | Please give reasons for your view. | |

Absolutely. The tick rule protects amplified downside price move yet provide more liquidity. It helps with more efficient price discovery, gives better liquidity, and reduce auction volatility (given the downside restriction with tick rule). And most exchanges with CAS allows for SS because of the above reasons.

| 28. | If short selling order is to be allowed, should it be at or higher than the reference price? | | | | |
|-----|--|---|--|--|--|
| | \boxtimes | Yes | | | |
| | | No | | | |
| | Please give reasons for your view. | | | | |
| | | s i agree, it's meant for better liquidity and lower auction volatility, not to increase atility. | | | |
| 29. | | ou agree that order amendment and cancellation should be disallowed during the ancellation Period and Random Closing Period? | | | |
| | \boxtimes | Yes | | | |
| | | No | | | |
| | Please | e give reasons for your view. | | | |
| | | n indifferent to this. I see pros and cons in arguing either way. Couple with dom close, it discourages people from gaming the closing auction. | | | |
| 30. | Do yo | ou agree that random closing be adopted in the CAS to prevent gaming? | | | |
| | \boxtimes | Yes | | | |
| | | No | | | |
| | Please | e give reasons for your view. | | | |
| | | solutely, to discourage gaming, increase transparency and better price/liquidity covery. | | | |
| | | | | | |

31. If random closing is to be adopted, should it be over a period of up to 2 minutes or would you prefer a different duration?

| \boxtimes | Up to 2 minutes |
|----------------------------|--|
| 2000 2000 2000 | A different duration: |
| Pleas | e give reasons for your view. |
| | |
| | |
| | absence of a final IEP, do you agree that the reference price should be used as to price and for trade matching? |
| \boxtimes | Yes |
| | |
| | No |
| - Access | No e give reasons for your view. |
| - Access | |
| - Access | |
| Pleas | |
| Pleas | would be the preferred duration of the CAS? |
| Please | would be the preferred duration of the CAS? Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS |
| Please What | would be the preferred duration of the CAS? Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS 16:12 |
| Please What (i) | would be the preferred duration of the CAS? Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS 16:12 5-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:10 |
| Please What (i) (ii) (iii) | would be the preferred duration of the CAS? Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS 16:12 5-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:10 Others, please specify: |
| Please What (i) (ii) (iii) | would be the preferred duration of the CAS? Same as the proposed model, i.e. 7-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS 16:12 5-minute Order Input Period to end the CAS at 16:10 Others, please specify: Approach (i) |

| 34. | | Do you agree that some features of the new CAS model may also be beneficial for the POS and/or the Trading Halts? If so, which feature(s)? | | | | | |
|-----|-------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | \boxtimes | Yes, the feature(s): | at auction limit to be allowed at all times is probably good for POS | | | | |
| | | No | B | | | | |
| | Pleas | se give reasons for your | view. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 35. | | | nancements for POS and/or the Trading Halts should be a during the introduction of the new CAS? | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | Yes | | | | | |
| | | No | | | | | |
| | Pleas | Please give reasons for your view. | | | | | |
| | | m indifferent to the timi sing auciton vs the POS | ng. But would be nice to see some consistency in the | | | | |
| 36. | cash | Do you foresee any issues with your day end processing such as margin calls in the cash market due to the extended trading time for 12 minutes? If yes, how may the issue be resolved? | | | | | |
| | | Yes, suggested solution | on: | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | No | | | | | |
| | Pleas | Please give reasons for your view. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 37. | | | break before the start of AHFT, do you agree that the start from 17:00 to 17:15? If not, what time do you prefer? | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | Yes | | | | | |

| | No, time that you prefer: |
|-------|-----------------------------------|
| Pleas | give reasons for your view. |
| I d | n't have a strong opinion on this |
| | |

Part D Consultation Questions – Implementation Approach and Timeline

| | implementation approach for the securities market would you prefer: |
|---------------------|---|
| (i) | the development and testing of the VCM, CAS and Trading Halts functionalities are to be implemented together on the AMS/3.8 platform and be rolled out one by one; or |
| (ii) | (1) the development, testing and rollout of VCM and CAS are to be implemented together on the AMS/3.8 platform, and (2) Trading Halts proposal is to be introduced as part of the Exchange's next-generation trading system, the Orion Trading Platform-Cash; or |
| (iii) | Others, please specify. |
| | Approach (i) |
| | Approach (ii) |
| \boxtimes | Approach (iii), please specify: Implement CAS first, ASAP |
| Please | give reasons for your view. |
| | y opinion, the CAS is the highest priority. We should not let other projects get in vay in rolling it out. |
| | ay in rouning it out. |
| | hould be the implementation priority among the three initiatives (i.e. VCM, CAS ding Halts) in the securities market? |
| and Tra | hould be the implementation priority among the three initiatives (i.e. VCM, CAS |
| and Tra | hould be the implementation priority among the three initiatives (i.e. VCM, CAS ding Halts) in the securities market? |
| and Tra | hould be the implementation priority among the three initiatives (i.e. VCM, CAS ding Halts) in the securities market? |
| CAS | hould be the implementation priority among the three initiatives (i.e. VCM, CAS ding Halts) in the securities market? |
| CAS How lospecific | hould be the implementation priority among the three initiatives (i.e. VCM, CAS ding Halts) in the securities market? give reasons for your view. VCM, Trading Halts ong do you need to prepare for the rollout starting from the issuance of the |
| CAS How logpecific | hould be the implementation priority among the three initiatives (i.e. VCM, CAS ding Halts) in the securities market? give reasons for your view. , VCM, Trading Halts ong do you need to prepare for the rollout starting from the issuance of the ration for each initiatives: |
| | (ii) (iii) Please |

| × | c). 7-12 months |
|---|-----------------|
| | d). >12 months |

Please give reasons for your view.

Most other markets have CAS one way or another so to implement it shouldn't take a long time. the VCM is a bit more perculiar so might require more time.

- (ii) CAS:
- a). under 3 months;
- b). 4-6 months;
- c). 7-12 months
- d). >12 months

Please give reasons for your view.

Most other markets have CAS one way or another so to implement it shouldn't take a long time. the VCM is a bit more perculiar so might require more time.