

SECTION 2: QUESTIONS FOR RESPONSE

Please indicate your views by providing comments as appropriate. Where there is insufficient space, please use additional sheets of paper as necessary.

HKEx's Proposal 1: Revise HKEx Stress Testing Assumptions

Questions

1. Do you support the proposed revision of the Price Movement assumptions in stress testing?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

2. Do you support the proposed revision of the Counterparty Default assumption in stress testing?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

HKEx's Proposal 2: Introduce Margining and Dynamic Guarantee Fund in HKSCC

Questions

3. Do you agree with the proposed margining arrangements at HKSCC?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

4. Do you agree with the proposed Dynamic GF model at HKSCC?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

HKEx's Proposal 3: Revise HKCC Reserve Fund Calculation

Questions

5. Do you support the proposed revisions to the HKCC Collateral assumption?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

6. Do you support the use of HKCC Contingent Advance in relieving burden of CPs?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

7. What is your view on allowing RF contribution to be counted as liquid capital? Will this help your company in terms of reducing liquid capital funding burden?

We agree that allowing RF contribution to be counted as liquid capital can reduce the liquid capital funding burden.

HKEx's Proposal 4: Revise SEOCH Reserve Fund Calculation

Questions

8. Do you support the proposed revisions to the SEOCH Collateral assumption?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:
